**U.S. Department of Justice** Office of Justice Programs *National Institute of Justice* 



# **National Institute of Justice**

**Issues and Practices** 

Connections (M. 1997) 125 Johnson Cr. Gallynburg PA 17325

# National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **1993 Supplement**

# About the National Institute of Justice

The National Institute of Justice, a component of the Office of Justice Programs, is the research and development agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. NIJ was established to prevent and reduce crime and to improve the criminal justice system. Specific mandates established by Congress in the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended, and the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 direct the National Institute of Justice to:

- Sponsor special projects and research and development programs that will improve and strengthen the criminal justice system and reduce or prevent crime.
- Conduct national demonstration projects that employ innovative or promising approaches for improving criminal justice.
- Develop new technologies to fight crime and improve criminal justice.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of criminal justice programs and identify programs that promise to be successful if continued or repeated.
- Recommend actions that can be taken by Federal, State, and local governments as well as private organizations to improve criminal justice.
- *Carry out research on criminal behavior.*
- Develop new methods of crime prevention and reduction of crime and delinquency.

The National Institute of Justice has a long history of accomplishments, including the following:

- Basic research on career criminals that led to the development of special police and prosecutor units to deal with repeat offenders.
- Research that confirmed the link between drugs and crime.
- The research and development program that resulted in the creation of police body armor that has meant the difference between life and death to hundreds of police officers.
- Pioneering scientific advances such as the research and development of DNA analysis to positively identify suspects and eliminate the innocent from suspicion.
- The evaluation of innovative justice programs to determine what works, including drug enforcement, community policing, community anti-drug initiatives, prosecution of complex drug cases, drug testing throughout the criminal justice system, and user accountability programs.
- Creation of a corrections information-sharing system that enables State and local officials to exchange more efficient and cost-effective concepts and techniques for planning, financing, and constructing new prisons and jails.
- Operation of the world's largest criminal justice information clearinghouse, a resource used by State and local officials across the Nation and by criminal justice agencies in foreign countries.

The Institute Director, who is appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate, establishes the Institute's objectives, guided by the priorities of the Office of Justice Programs, the Department of Justice, and the needs of the criminal justice field. The Institute actively solicits the views of criminal justice professionals to identify their most critical problems. Dedicated to the priorities of Federal, State, and local criminal justice agencies, research and development at the National Institute of Justice continues to search for answers to what works and why in the Nation's war on drugs and crime.

**1993 Supplement** 

July 1993

### National Institute of Justice Michael J. Russell Acting Director

#### **Data Gathering and Publication Support**

Data Gathering/Verification: Cheryll Bissell, Katherine Furr (Meyers), Brenda Harding, Mark La Rue, Sharon Williams Editorial: Joan Alpert, Linda Odda, Judy Reardon, Celeste Silevinac, Vivian Workman Design: Richard Creighton, John Guzman National Criminal Justice Reference Service

> This 1993 Supplement of the *National Directory of Corrections Construction* was prepared by Aspen Systems Corporation under contract number OJP–90–C–005. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

> The National Institute of Justice is a component of the Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime.

## Foreword

National Institute of Justice

The Nation's prisons and jails continue to face a crowding crisis. A steady stream of offenders has more than doubled Federal and State prison populations during the past 10 years, bringing the current total to a record 883,593, according to the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Local jail populations have surpassed 420,000, and the probation and parole population now exceeds 3.2 million.

Prison and jail capacities are lacking in many localities, compromising efforts to effectively punish offenders and deter crime. Federal, State, and local authorities need practical, proven information on more rapid and economical ways to meet the increasing demand for bed space.

That is why the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) created the Construction Information Exchange (CIE)—an important resource that can help Federal, State, and local jurisdictions make crucial investment decisions when building or expanding corrections facilities. The Exchange provides easy access to the latest concepts and techniques for planning, financing, and constructing new prisons and jails. Federal, State, and local officials can tap into this valuable network and obtain the information they need through the Construction Data Base, the Construction Information Exchange Reference and Referral Service, the *National Directory of Corrections Construction*, and Construction Bulletins. Specific information on how CIE works is included in this directory.

A key element of the Exchange is this *National Directory* of Corrections Construction, based on results of a national survey administered by the American Institute of Architects, Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture Council (ACSA) on Architectural Research. The directory is a catalog of up-to-date designs, construction and finance methods, and costs for 148 of the prisons and jails completed and operational since 1985. Two previous editions of the directory focused on similar information for prisons and jails completed after 1978. For the first time, this supplement features:

■ Data on complex-use facilities (secure areas of facilities with law enforcement or courts components located within the same complex).

■ Facilities that serve special inmate populations, such as drug offenders and females.

■ Facilities using advanced technology systems, such as closed-circuit television, perimeter detection/alarm, paging or sound systems, and satellite or cable communication.

The costs of land acquisitions, equipment and furnishings purchases, and site development.

■ Location-specific information on the Nation's cities, suburbs, and rural communities.

Corrections officials can turn to this compendium for a wide range of options to help them make the most informed decisions on corrections construction for today and in the future.

The construction projects featured in this directory are also available in the Construction Information Exchange data base in greater detail. The data base includes all the information—more than 400 types of data on each facility—obtained from architects and facility administrators. This data base reflects continuous activities to collect and maintain information on prison and jail projects as they are completed and become operational.

With support from the Bureau of Justice Assistance and cooperation from the American Institute of Architects, ACSA Council on Architectural Research, NIJ's Construction Information Exchange can benefit Federal, State, and local officials by providing innovative approaches that incorporate techniques of proven effectiveness. By building on the experience of others, officials can develop well-designed, cost-effective prison and jail facilities that will serve the needs of their jurisdictions.

Michael J. Russell Acting Director

## Acknowledgments

Many people provided support and assistance for this publication. Special thanks go to the following:

#### **National Institute of Justice**

Paul Cascarano, Assistant Director Carole Knapel, Visiting Fellow

#### **Bureau of Justice Assistance**

Jack A. Nadol, Acting Director

#### **American Institute of Architects**

Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture Council on Architectural Research

Deane Evans, AIA, Director Emily Cole

#### **Federal Bureau of Prisons**

Kathleen Hawk, Director William J. Patrick, AIA, Deputy Assistant Director, Administration Division Mary Robinson, Design and Construction Branch Mike Staggs, Facilities Management Branch

We are also indebted to all of the facility administrators, government officials, and architects who contributed information about their projects.

### Introduction

The National Institute of Justice developed the *National Directory of Corrections Construction* to assist corrections officials and others seeking to expand their facility capacities. The directory is one of four components of NIJ's Construction Information Exchange (CIE)—a resource that promotes sharing information on building jails and prisons in a timely and cost-effective manner.

This supplement of the *National Directory of Corrections Construction* features many of the prison and jail projects completed since 1985. The three sections and three appendixes, highlighted below, can assist officials in the various phases of planning, designing, financing, and constructing new and expanded corrections resources.

#### Section 1

The first section includes a glossary of terms used in the facility profiles in section 3. The sequence of these terms corresponds to their order of appearance in the profiles, and each is coded to distinguish the source of data used: the architect, facility administrator, or a mathematical calculation. In some instances, profile data fields may be listed as "Unknown" or "N/A." "Unknown" indicates that information was not provided, and "N/A" indicates that the item does not apply to the particular project. For example, the profile does not show the total cost per inmate for a complex-use project because the calculation would be invalid; hence, the data field lists "N/A."

Also included in section 1 are cost indexes to assist in comparing construction projects. These cost indexes enable readers to make detailed cost comparisons between different years and geographic regions. Years of comparison are available from 1978 through 1992. The 1978 base allows for comparison of facilities featured in previous editions of the *National Directory of Corrections Construction*.

#### Section 2

The second section is composed of indexes that serve as locators for particular projects based on location, inmate design capacity by facility type, and category of construction. An alphabetical listing by facility name is also provided. These indexes can assist readers in locating projects that are comparable to their individual needs. Additional information is provided in the appendixes.

#### Section 3

The primary component of the directory, section 3 features profiles of 148 prison and jail construction projects. These profiles were prepared from data submitted and verified by architects and facility administrators of projects completed and operational since 1985. Profiles appear in a two-page format, and in most instances, each is augmented with a floor plan of the typical housing unit. The projects highlighted in this directory were accepted without evaluation and include examples of both positive and negative experiences. Facility profile pages are presented alphabetically by the State in which they are located and alphabetically by facility name.

Each facility profile provides 91 pieces of information; additional information for each project, illustrated in appendix B, is available in the data base.

#### Appendixes

Appendix A provides an alphabetical listing of architectural firms and construction managers appearing in this directory. Appendix B shows a sample layout of the full range of facility data available on the CIE data base. Finally, appendix C lists additional sources and readings for corrections construction-related information. Publications featured include those by the National Institute of Justice as well as those available in the NIJ/NCJRS literature data base.

#### **Data Comparison**

In identifying similar projects and facilities, caution must be exercised when actually comparing and contrasting data.

**Category of construction.** Comparisons of different jails or prisons may be inappropriate when the facilities to be examined do not share common characteristics. For example, a jail or prison categorized as a "new, ancillary building" may not have complete support spaces such as kitchen or administration. If such a building were compared with a "new, independent facility" offering complete support services, the appearance of a reduced cost might be misleading. For this reason, it is most appropriate to compare facilities in the same construction category.

V

Costs. Cost comparisons can be especially difficult. To facilitate comparison of construction costs alone, the total cost excludes costs of land acquisition and architects' fees. Moreover, several factors can influence the project costs shown, and comparisons should be made with caution. For example, readers will find that jails and prisons with multiple occupancy cells are invariably less expensive (per inmate) than facilities designed with single occupancy cells. And highrise facilities are almost always more expensive than lowrise buildings even when their capacities are the same.

**Dimensions.** When comparing dimensions, readers should examine "gross square feet per inmate." This is computed by dividing the "gross square feet/corrections" by the inmate design capacity. This statistic may show that some buildings provide much more space per inmate than otherwise comparable projects. However, it simply may be that the policy of a particular jurisdiction requires that more space be provided for vocational training, counseling, and other support activities rather than larger cells. An example of a common difference is where one facility has dayrooms contiguous to cells and another facility has only corridors.

**Housing areas.** To facilitate comparisons of different types of correctional facilities, each profile includes a special series of housing statistics. For readers interested primarily in those portions of a construction project that relate to custody and housing of inmates, it is important to note terms such as "Housing area," "Housing per inmate," "Housing area square feet," and "Size of cells." These statistics allow comparisons that might otherwise be impossible.

#### The Construction Information Exchange Program

In addition to the directory, the Exchange provides three other information resources.

**Construction data base.** Features the full range of data supplied by the architects and facility administrators for each completed and operational prison and jail. Diskettes and hardcopy printouts to meet individual needs are available for analysis purposes.

**Reference and referral**. Assist in responding to questions and concerns about jail and prison crowding, alternatives, and construction issues. Information specialists are available to provide information through searches of the CIE data base, publications, and referrals.

**Construction Bulletins.** Report on selected projects and topics that illustrate substantial time and cost savings in constructing correctional facilities.

Once a decision has been made to build, officials must then consider many critical questions that will determine what shape, size, or cost the new or expanded facility will take. Through the Construction Information Exchange and the directory, corrections officials can build upon the experiences of others.

For further assistance, contact the Construction Information Exchange: NIJ/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850; 800–851–3420.

# Contents

Foreword (NIJ)iii
Acknowledgmentsiv
Introductionv
Contentsvii
Section 1 Glossary2 Construction Cost Indexes10
Section 2 Index: State/county/city 20   Index: Inmate design capacity 23   Index: Category of construction 27   Index: Facility name 31
Section 3 Facility Profiles Arkansas
Varner Unit
Alameda City Police Department and Jail
ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center
Metropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles
South County Detention Facility
Colorado Alamosa County Detention Center
Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center
Connecticut Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution
Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility

Florida	
Brevard County Detention Center	84
Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	86
Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail	88
James I. Montgomery Correctional Center	90
John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility	92
Martin Correctional Institution	94
Metro West Detention Center	96
Palm Beach County Stockade	98
Pinellas County Jail	100
Georgia	
Central Correctional Institute	102
Central Probation Detention Center	104
Clayton County Correctional Institution	106
Coastal Correctional Institution	108
Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center	110
Georgia Women's Correctional Institute	112
Glynn County Detention Center	114
Hays Correctional Institute—Bootcamp	116
Henry County Jail	118
Homerville Parole Center	120
I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center	122
Lowndes County Jail	124
Northeast Probation Detention Center	126
Northwest Probation Detention Center	128
Phillips Correctional Institute-Bootcamp	130
Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center	132
Rogers Correctional Institute	134
Southeast Probation Detention Center	136
Telfair Correctional Institute—Bootcamp	138
Treutlen Shock Unit	140
U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta	142
Ware Correctional Institute	144
Washington Correctional Institute—Bootcamp	146
Washington County Correctional Institution	148
West Central Probation Detention Center	150
Western Probation Detention Center	152
Women's Probation Detention Center	154
Illinois	
Danville Correctional Center	156
Hill Correctional Center	158
Illinois River Correctional Center	160
McHenry County Government Center	162
Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	164
Robinson Correctional Center	166
Taylorville Correctional Center	168
Western Illinois Correctional Center	170
Will County Adult Detention Facility	172

# Contents (continued)

Indiana	
Boone County Jail	.174
Gibson County Jail	.176
Kosciusko County Work Release	.178
Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center	.180
Kansas	
El Dorado Correctional Facility	. 182
Johnson County Jail	.184
Lansing Correctional Facility—East	.186
Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility	188
Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility.	. 190
Sedgwick County Work Release	192
Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility	194
Stanton County I aw Enforcement Center	196
Wyandotte County Detention Center	109
Kentuchu	. 170
Carroll County Regional Detention Center	200
Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	200
L ouisiana	. 202
Educal Compational Institution — Onlydela I	204
Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale 1	. 204
Federal Detention Center—Oakdale II	. 200
Orleans Parish Prison	.208
St. Bernard Jail Complex	.210
Tulane Avenue Facility	.212
Maine	<b>.</b>
Androscoggin County Jail	.214
Maryland	
Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women	.216
Central Laundry Facility	.218
Jessup Pre-Release Unit	.220
Montgomery County Detention Center	.222
Montgomery County Detention Center	.224
Patuxent Institution	.226
Massachusetts	
Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and	
Correctional Center	.228
Michigan	
Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility	.230
Macomb County Jail	.232
Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility	.234
Minnesota	
Hennepin County Adult Detention Center	.236
Minnesota Correctional Facility—Shakopee	.238
Sherburne County Jail.	240
Mississioni	0
Harrison County Jail	242
maniform country sum maniformation and and and and and and and and and an	272

IVIISSOUTI
Boone County Jail
Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center
Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center . 248
Western Missouri Correctional Center
Nevada
Fly State Prison 252
New Jersey
Cumberland County Jail 254
Endered Correctional Institution Entern 256
Monmouth County Correction Institution 259
Monificulti County Confection Institution
Cetters Centre L-11
Canaraugus County Jan
Genesee County Jail
Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building
Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center
North Carolina
Duplin County Jail268
Durham County Jail Annex270
Wake County Public Safety Center
Ohio
Grafton Correctional Institution274
Lorain Correctional Institution
Northwest Ohio Regional Jail
Warren Correctional Institution
Oregon
Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan
Marion County Corrections Facility
Pennsylvania
Bucks County Rehabilitation Center
Federal Correctional Institution—McKean 288
Federal Correctional Institution—Schuvlkill
Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center 292
State Correctional Institution at Waymart 294
Vork County Prison 296
Tork County Trison
Pag County Joil 209
Caldwall County Jail
Caldwell County Jan
Federal Correctional Institution—Inree Rivers 302
Frio County Jail
Lew Sterrett Justice Center
Nacogdoches County Jail Law Enforcement
Center
Rusk County Sheriff's Department
Utah
Oxbow Jail Facility

# Contents (continued)

Virginia
Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional
Adult Detention Center
Greensville Correctional Center
Keen Mountain Correctional Center
Lorton Maximum Security Facility
Washington
Clallam Bay Correctional Center
Wisconsin
Columbia Correctional Institution
Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal
Justice Facility
Racine Correctional Institution
Wyoming
Sublette County Jail
A and in A
Appendix A Defension Architecto and Genetrostian Management 222
Reference: Architects and Construction Managers 555
Appendix B
Data Base Profile Information
Appendix C
Additional Resources

.

.

.

# Section 1

Glossary

**Construction Cost Indexes** 

•

## Glossary

The terms explained in this glossary follow the order in which they appear in the facility profiles.

Some of the responses in the facility profiles may include the following:

N/A-not applicable.

Unknown—information not provided or unable to determine.

None—as in the case of "inmate labor" and "prefabrication," none used.

To distinguish the sources of information, the following codes are used in this glossary:

(A)—as reported by the architect.

(F)—as reported by the facility contact.

(C)-calculations.

#### **Responsible official**

The title and jurisdiction of the responsible elected official under which the facility falls (for example, sheriff, mayor, or commissioner). (F)

#### Contact

The name, title, and phone number of the responsible official at the facility (for example, sheriff, jail administrator, or warden). This includes (where applicable) both the actual location and mailing address. (F)

#### Architect

The name, address, and phone number of the primary architectural firm(s) responsible for the project. This may also include architectural consultants. (A)

#### **Construction manager**

If applicable, the name, address, and phone number of the company hired to oversee the construction process. (A)

#### **Facility type**

**Complex use:** building with multiple purposes. In a local facility, jail space may be combined with courts, law enforcement, or other related uses. Cost comparisons are discouraged for this type of facility because it may not be possible to isolate corrections costs from the costs for other areas of the building. (F)

**Jail:** facility operated by any Federal, State, or local (county/city/combination) government. Jails operated by

these units of government typically house pretrial detainees. Jails may also house locally sentenced inmates (typically sentenced to 1 year or less). Inmates may be held on both felony and misdemeanor charges. Several States permit inmates from State prison systems to be housed in local jail facilities. Some States such as Pennsylvania refer to their detention facilities as prisons. Other States (i.e., Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Rhode Island, and Vermont) have integrated prison and jail systems. (F)

**Prison:** facility operated by any State, the District of Columbia, or the Federal Government. Unlike local jails, prisons house only sentenced offenders for periods typically longer than 1 year. Some States permit units of local government to board jail inmates in State facilities, but this practice is unusual. (F)

**Private:** facility operated by a private entity. Inmates are sentenced to the Federal, State, or local correctional system and can be housed in privately operated facilities. (F)

#### Jurisdiction type

The jurisdictional level of inmates confined to the facility. Options include Federal, State, county, or city. (F)

#### **Commitment type**

The type of inmate housed in the facility, classified as either pretrial or sentenced. (F)

#### Category

The category of construction for the specific project. Several types of projects are included in this directory, and each has different characteristics. (A)

**Conversion:** acquiring an existing facility such as a warehouse and converting it into a correctional facility.

**Expansion project:** extension or new wing added to an existing facility. Data refer to the expansion only and do not include existing or original building information (except where noted).

New, ancillary building: an entirely new building, but relying on existing buildings for some or all support services. The new facility does not provide a complete array of "core" spaces. Data refer to the new addition only and do not include existing or original building information.

**New, independent facility:** an entirely new facility with complete support services that does not rely on other facilities.

**Phased project (future):** when costs invested in construction relate to future expansion or addition in a master plan.

**Phased project (past):** completion of previously "master planned" space(s) "shelled out" or partially constructed at an earlier date.

**Remodeling/renovation project:** improvements within an existing facility, typically to modernize an older jail or prison and to bring the design into compliance with current standards.

**Temporary facility/housing:** premanufactured or portable units not intended for long-term use.

#### **Building configuration**

The physical arrangement of individual buildings. In a complex-use facility, building configuration is provided for the court or law enforcement building(s). (Figure 1) (A)

**Campus style:** a number of individual buildings that are not interconnected.

Clusters: a number of interconnected individual buildings.

Courtyard: linear cell blocks interconnected around a central enclosed courtyard.

Highrise: one building, more than four stories.

Integrated structure: one building.

Ladder, telephone pole: linear cell blocks arranged in parallel off a central connecting corridor.

Wheel, spoke, or radial: linear cell blocks that emanate from one central control area (like spokes from the hub of a wheel).

#### **Complex use**

Lists other spaces within the project. These include, but are not limited to, courts or law enforcement. Cost comparisons are discouraged for this type of facility because it may not be possible to isolate corrections costs from the costs for other areas of the building. (A)

#### **Facility location**

Description of the project location—rural, suburb, or urban. (F)

#### Amount of land

The total acreage acquired for the project. (F)

#### Time

**Groundbreaking:** the official date marking the beginning of construction. (A)

Finish date: the date when the project is ready for occupation and operation. (F)

**Construction time:** the elapsed time, in months, from groundbreaking to substantial completion of the facility. (A)

**Substantial completion:** the stage in the progress of construction when the work or designated portion thereof is sufficiently complete in accordance with the contract documents so the owner can occupy or utilize the facility for its intended use. (F)

#### Costs

**Total:** the total cost of building and site improvements, plus all approved change orders. However, this figure does not include the cost of acquiring the site, the time of public employees, or administrative expenses for the project. Because it is intended to show the cost of construction, it does not include fees for architects and other consultants. (F)

**Building only:** the cost for the facility only, excluding all site work. Costs such as grading, paving, and providing utilities are not included here. (F)

**Final construction cost:** indicates whether the final cost for the project was higher or lower than, or the same as, the construction cost prior to bid. (F)

Housing area: the cost for those areas of the correctional facility designed for housing inmates. This includes the total gross square footage of the entire housing building, unit, or level, including the contiguous and associated spaces for mechanical, circulation, storage, visiting, control, counseling, multipurpose room, dayrooms, exercise, interviews, and so forth. Excluded from this figure are costs of independent or unrelated areas and buildings such as intake, medical, special housing, administration, food service, vocational, educational, and agricultural. (A)

**Housing per inmate:** the housing costs per general population inmate. This figure is computed by dividing the total number of general population inmates (design capacity) into the housing area cost. (C)

**Housing per cell:** the housing cost per general population cell. This figure is computed by dividing the total number of general population cells into the housing area cost. (C)

.

### Figure 1 Building configuration



**Total per inmate:** the total construction costs per inmate. This figure is computed by dividing the total number of inmates (design capacity) into total construction cost. This cost is not calculated for complex-use facilities. (C)

**Total per GSF:** the total construction costs per gross square foot. This figure is computed by dividing the total gross square feet into the total construction cost. (C)

**Total per NSF:** the total construction cost per net square foot. This figure is computed by dividing the total net square footage into the total construction cost. (C)

**Total annual operating costs:** the total current fiscal year budget for the new facility, addition, or expansion. Cost shown is related to the construction project. In the case of an addition, this figure represents the operating cost for that portion of the facility only. This figure only represents corrections costs; it does not include costs that are affiliated with a complex-use facility. This figure is computed by adding staff, utilities, medical, food, transportation, supplies and equipment, maintenance, communications, and other operating costs. (F)

#### **Construction type**

**Structural:** the frame that carries the building's weight and provides structural support for the facility. Examples of a type of structural system include steel frame, load-bearing precast panels, cast-in-place concrete frame, precast concrete frame, precast concrete frame, precast concrete cells, and modular metal cells. (A)

**Exterior walls:** the construction and materials that form outside walls of the building, creating the security shell or "envelope." Options include, but are not limited to, materials such as precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, and brick. (A)

**Interior walls:** the construction materials that form inside walls or partitions that divide cells and rooms within the building. Options may include, but are not limited to, materials such as precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, and brick. (A)

Exterior surface or facade: the exterior finish, resulting in the completed outside appearance (sometimes called the building's "skin"). Examples include, but are not limited to, stucco, brick, natural wall, textured, colored concrete, and CMU block. (A)

**Electronic technology systems:** the type, if applicable, of electronic technology system(s) installed in the facility. Options include lighting protection, video camera surveillance and closed-circuit television, staff intercommunica-

tion (radio and telephone), inmate intercoms, paging and sound systems, duress and fire alarms, door control and monitoring systems, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, and satellite and cable. (A)

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: the total square footage of the construction project. If the project was an addition or expansion, this figure represents the square footage of that addition or expansion only. This figure is computed by adding the total gross square footage for corrections, law enforcement, courts, and other. Gross square feet is defined by the American Institute of Architects as the sum of the areas of the several floors of the building, including basements, mezzanine, and intermediate floored tiers and penthouses of headroom height, measured from the exterior faces of exterior walls or from the center line of walls separating buildings. Covered walkways, open roofs over paved areas, porches, and similar spaces have the architectural area multiplied by an area factor of 0.50. The architectural area does not include such features as pipe trenches, exterior terraces, steps, chimneys, and roof overhangs. (A)

**Total NSF:** the total net square footage of the construction project. If the project was an addition or expansion, this represents the net square footage of that addition or expansion only. This figure is calculated by adding corrections, law enforcement, courts, and other net square footage. (A)

**Corrections GSF:** the gross square feet (as defined under Total GSF above) of all spaces relating to corrections in the construction project shown in the profile. In the case of an addition or expansion, this figure represents the square footage of that addition or expansion only. (A)

**Corrections NSF:** the net square footage of all spaces relating to corrections in the construction project. In the case of an addition or expansion, this figure represents the square footage of that addition or expansion only. (A)

Housing area GSF: the size of spaces related directly to the custody and housing of inmates, not the entire facility. The figure includes total gross square footage of the housing building, unit, or level, including the contiguous and associated spaces for mechanical, circulation, storage, visiting, control, staff areas, multipurpose rooms, dayrooms, exercise, interviews, and so forth. Excluded are independent areas not contiguous to inmate housing such as intake, medical, special housing, administration, food service, vocational, educational, and other support activities not directly associated with housing. In expansion or addition construction projects, the square footage shown for housing may be almost equal to the total square footage, since support spaces may not be provided. (A)

Housing area NSF: the total net square footage of the housing building, unit, or level. (A)

**GSF per inmate (design):** the gross square footage assigned to an inmate based on design capacity. This figure is computed by dividing the total number of inmates (design capacity) into the total corrections gross square footage. (C)

**GSF per inmate (current):** the gross square footage assigned to an inmate based on the current population. This figure is computed by dividing the current population into the total corrections gross square footage. (C)

**NSF per inmate (design):** the net square footage assigned to an inmate based on design capacity. This figure is computed by dividing the total number of inmates (design capacity) into the total corrections net square footage. (C)

NSF per inmate (current): the net square footage assigned to an inmate based on the current population. This figure is computed by dividing the current population into the total corrections net square footage. (C)

**Size of cells:** space inside a typical cell. This figure is the useful space and does not include areas consumed by a plumbing chase or protruding walls. The type of cell (single, double, dorm) is indicated. (A)

**Net/gross square feet:** this percentage is an expression of building efficiency. Because spaces not used by staff or inmates are excluded from net space, this percentage represents how much of the total square footage is actually devoted to everyday use purposes. The remaining space is the amount consumed by spaces necessary for the building but not used by its occupants. This percentage is calculated by dividing the total gross square footage into the total net square footage, multiplied by 100. (C)

#### Inmate design capacity

General population: the number of inmates for general population housing based on facility design. (A)

**Special population:** the number of inmates for special housing based on facility design (i.e., holding, medical and detoxification, psychiatric, isolation, protective custody, work release). (A)

**Total design (inmates):** the total number of inmates (general population and special housing) for whom the project was designed. (A)

**Current population:** the total current population of the project as reported by the facility official and the date of the calculation. (A)

#### **Inmate housing areas**

**Design:** the options for layout or arrangement of the inmate cells. Options include linear outside cells, where cells have windows on outside walls, permitting direct light into cells; linear inside cells, where cells are not on outside walls and light to cells is indirect; and modular/pod, where cells are arranged around a common dayroom with cell doors opening into this activity area. (Figure 2) (A)

**Cells per unit:** the number of cells in each block, pod, or module; the number of cells included in one housing unit of the facility. If dorms only, one dorm equals one unit. (A)

**Inmates per unit (design):** the number of inmates (design capacity) in a typical block, pod, module, or dorm. (A)

**Inmates per unit (current):** the number of inmates currently housed in a typical block, pod, module, or dorm. This number and the current population are given for the same date. (F)

**Management type (design):** the type of surveillance used for a typical housing unit per project design. Options include intermittent surveillance, where officers may periodically walk down hallways lined with cells and do not have constant view of all inmate areas; remote surveillance, where officers have inmates under constant observation but are separated from inmates by a security enclosure or barrier; or direct supervision, where officers are in constant contact with inmates and are not enclosed in a workstation or separated by other barriers. (A)

Management type (current): the type of surveillance currently used for a typical housing unit. Options include intermittent surveillance, where officers may periodically walk down hallways lined with cells and do not have constant view of all inmate areas; remote surveillance, where officers have inmates under constant observation but are separated from inmates by a security enclosure or barrier; or direct supervision, where officers are in constant contact with inmates and are not enclosed in a workstation or separated by other barriers. (F)

### Figure 2 Design of inmate housing areas





Linear, cells are on outside walls

Linear, cells are inside





**Means to handle crowding:** the means by which the facility handles, or plans to handle, an inmate population greater than the designed capacity. Options include, but are not limited to, transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cell, mattresses on floor, beds in dayroom, and second bunk permanently attached to wall. (F)

**Total officers per unit:** the number of officers assigned to an average housing unit by shift designations of day, evening, and midnight. (F)

#### **Construction process**

**Contract method:** the legal and financial steps used in the building process. Options include, but are not limited to, conventional design-bid-build, turnkey design-build, multiple bid packages, and fast track. (A)

**Finance method:** the method of payment and the source of funds for the construction project. Options include State or local general obligation bonds, lease-purchase, State or local tax levy, fixed or variable financing rate, other Federal funds, rent, private-sector funds, and shared cost from multiple jurisdictions. (A)

Use of inmate labor: use of inmate labor in the construction of the new facility, addition, or expansion. If applicable, a brief explanation of the way in which inmate labor was used and whether the percentage of inmate labor used was extensive (greater than 50 percent), moderate (greater than 25 percent but less than 50 percent), or limited (less than 25 percent). (A)

Use of prefabrication: techniques that may have been used to manufacture portions of the facility away from the construction site. If applicable, the nature of the components is described and whether use of plant manufacturing was extensive (greater than 50 percent), moderate (greater than 25 percent but less than 50 percent), or limited (less than 25 percent). (A)

#### **Inmate cells**

The finishes, fixtures, and furnishings selected for general population cells. These aspects may be different for special custody, isolation, medical, and other special population areas of a jail or prison. (A)

**Doors:** the type, material, and locking mechanisms. Type may be swinging or sliding. Material may be steel or wood. Locking may be motor driven and remote locking, remote locking only, or manual locking. **Floor surface:** materials of the floor surface. Options include, but are not limited to, materials such as linoleum, carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, and vinyl tile.

**Intercom:** the type of communications system between officers and inmates. Options include, but are not limited to, either one- or two-way systems to cells or common areas, or no system.

**HVAC:** heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning. Describes the environmental systems included in the facility. While all facilities have some form of heating, not every jail or prison has an air conditioning system. Solar systems, steam, gas, or other specialized energy recycling systems are indicated here.

**Plumbing:** inmate plumbing fixtures, options include but not are not limited to, stainless steel, china, or aluminum. Indicates whether the lavatory and toilet are a combination unit or separate units.

**Furniture:** chairs, beds, tables, and other furniture in cells and dayrooms. Choices include, but are not limited to, materials such as steel, wood, vinyl, plastic, and concrete.

**Fire protection:** fire protection systems used in inmate housing areas. Hardware may include components such as smoke detectors for cells, common areas, or both; sprinklers for cells, common areas, or both; or manual alarm stations.

Windows: styles of windows. Choices include, but are not limited to, slit windows, security screens, special security windows, and standard windows and bars.

#### Security

**Perimeter:** the type(s) of perimeter security used in the facility. Options include, but are not limited to, single, double, or triple fence; razor wire on/between fence(s); towers; video camera surveillance; building exterior only; perimeter patrols; and perimeter detection and alarm systems. (A)

**Costs included:** indicates that the costs for the perimeter security are included in the total construction cost. (A)

**Costs excluded:** indicates that the costs for the perimeter security are excluded from the total construction cost. (A)

**Inmate security level:** the percentage of inmate cells in maximum, medium, minimum, and other security levels. (A)

Maximum: most secure construction and the highest level of staff supervision in the system. (A)

Medium: although not as restrictive as maximum, has a locked unit and secure perimeter. (A)

**Minimum:** the least restrictive, allows the greatest movement for inmates. There may be dormitories; living areas may not be locked; and perimeter security is limited or not present. (A)

**Other:** a percentage and brief explanation, if applicable. (A)

The Federal Bureau of Prisons identifies and ranks all Federal facilities into five security levels: minimum, low, maximum, high, and administrative.

#### **Current staff**

Administration: includes wardens, superintendents, directors, administrators, colonels, captains, majors, and managerial and budget staff. Also includes support and administrative staff, secretaries, typists, stenographers, bookkeepers, clerks, and switchboard operators. (F)

**Security:** the current number of full-time line staff and first line supervisors, both sworn and unsworn; correctional officers; deputies; sergeants; lieutenants; and security supervisors assigned to the new facility, addition, or expansion. (F)

**Programs and treatment:** the current number of recreation, education, religious, vocational, industrial, classification, and other program personnel assigned to the new facility, addition, or expansion. (F)

**Maintenance:** the current number of full-time engineers, electricians, plumbers, janitors, repair people, groundskeepers, food service workers, commissaries, and other maintenance/support personnel for the new facility, expansion, or addition. (F)

**Total:** the total number of current full-time staff members assigned to the new facility, addition, or expansion as reported by the facility administration. This figure is computed by adding current administration, security, maintenance, program, and treatment staff. (F) **Current inmate/staff ratio:** the number of inmates per total staff based on the current population. This figure/ratio is computed by dividing the current total number of staff into the current population. Total staff includes administrative, security, maintenance and support, program, and treatment staff. (C)

**Contracted services:** the contracting of facility services to private vendors. Possibilities include, but are not limited to, medical, food, and transportation services. (F)

#### Architect's reported analysis

Architects have reported positive and negative factors which, in their opinion, strongly influenced both costs and length of time that were required for completion of the facility. (A)

**Positive cost factors:** factors that positively affected the cost of the project. Options include, but are not limited to, prefabricated and factory-assembled components; simple construction methods, including repetitiveness of design, phased construction; good competition and a favorable market; and less expensive materials and hardware.

**Negative cost factors:** factors that negatively affected the cost of the project. Options include, but are not limited to, slow construction; high labor costs; difficult site conditions; government procedures and regulations; and complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems.

**Positive time factors:** factors that positively affected the time schedule. Options include, but are not limited to, use of prefabricated, factory-assembled components; simple construction methods, including repetitiveness of design, phased construction; advanced order of materials and hardware; and coordination of design between the construction manager and the owner.

Negative time factors: factors that negatively affected the time schedule. Options include, but are not limited to, slow responses and delivery problems from vendors and suppliers; labor problems; weather problems; government procedures and regulations; and complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems.

# **Construction Cost Indexes**

Facilities appearing in the NIJ National Directory of Corrections Construction show the date and cost of construction at a particular location, and you can use the following table of Cost Indexes to estimate what it would cost to construct that facility in your geographic region. Likewise, you may have an NIJ **Construction Information Exchange Facility Data** Base Search printout that shows the date and cost of construction for a jail or prison that you would like to consider for your own jurisdiction. You can use these indexes to make reasonably estimated comparisons. These comparisons should not be substituted, however, for detailed budget estimates, which include appropriate contingencies and consider your specific site and program.

The Cost Indexes are based on a 69-city national average, with a 1978 base of 100. To estimate or compare costs, use the index factors for the cities closest to the geographic areas you wish to compare, or closest to the area in which you wish to construct a new facility. The Cost Indexes are in alphabetical order by State for your convenience. The table does not list any cities in North Dakota.

The two main uses of the Cost Indexes involve the estimation and comparison of costs for: 1. Construction in different regions for different years (examples 1 and 2). 2. Construction in a particular region for different

years (example 3).

Х

T	'he	formula:
---	-----	----------

Index factor for date and place desired Index factor for date and place known

known cost

= estimated cost

# **Example 1**

You are interested in adapting the Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center (DRDC), constructed in 1991 in Denver, Colorado, for construction in your own region. The total construction cost for the DRDC in 1991 was \$36,000,000. Your new facility is to be built in Charlotte, North Carolina.

#### Procedure

(a) Divide the 1992 Charlotte index factor by the 1991 Denver index factor.

(b) Multiply the DRDC known cost (\$36,000,000) by the result of (a).

(c) The result of (b) is the total estimated cost for your new jail in Charlotte.

#### Calculation

1992 Charlotte index factor 1991 Denver index factor	=	140.3 159.2
140.3 159.2 × \$36,000,000	=	\$31,726,130

Example 1 formula:

(1992 Charlotte index factor) (1991 Denver index factor)

DRDC known cost Х

estimated cost for Charlotte

# **Example 2**

You are interested in adapting the Knox County Jail, constructed in 1984 in Barbourville, Kentucky, for construction in your own region. The total construction cost for the Knox County Jail in 1984 was \$666,000. Your new facility is to be built in Billings, Montana.

#### **Procedure**

(a) Divide the 1992 Billings index factor by the 1984 Louisville (closest city to Barbourville, Kentucky) index factor.

(b) Multiply the Knox County Jail known cost (\$666,000) by the result of (a). (c) The result of (b) is the total projected cost for your new jail in Billings.

#### Calculation

1992 Billings index factor 1984 Louisville index factor	=	162.4 130.4
$\frac{162.4}{130.4}$ x \$666,000	<u></u>	\$829,436

Example 2 formula:	(1992 Billings index factor) (1984 Louisville index factor)	x	Knox County Jail known cost		estimated cost for Billings
--------------------	--	---	--------------------------------	--	--------------------------------

# Example 3

A replacement cost is needed for a State prison located near Birmingham, Alabama. You would like to model the new prison after the Federal Correctional Institution constructed in 1979 in Talladega, Alabama. The total construction cost for that facility was \$10,069,300.

#### **Procedure**

(a) Divide the 1992 Birmingham index factor by the 1979 Birmingham (closest city to Talladega) index factor.

(b) Multiply the Federal Correctional Institution known construction cost (\$10,069,300) by the result of (a).

(c) The result of (b) is the total projected cost for the replacement prison near Birmingham.

#### Calculation

1992 Birmingham index factor	=	144.9
979 Birmingham index factor	=	94.3
<sup>144,9</sup> 94,3 × \$10,069,300	=	\$15,472,338

Example 3 formula:	(1992 Birmingham index factor) (1979 Birmingham index factor)	x	Federal Correctional	=	estimated cost for Birmingham	
	(1)/) Dimingham mack factor)		mistitution known cost		101 Duninghan	

The National Institute of Justice is grateful to CRSS Constructors, Inc., located at Suite 600, 1530 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22209, 703-528-1300; Suite 1700, 216 16th Smeet Mall, Denver, CO 80202, 303-820-5200; and 243 Vallejo Street, San Francisco, CA 94111, 415-693-9140, who provided these Cost Indexes. They are intended only for general guidance and preliminary planning purposes. They should not be used in lieu of a professionally prepared cost estimate.

					C	)NSTRU(	TION
	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Alabama						100.0	105 6
Birmingham	86.5	94.3	103.5	110.3	115.5	120.2	125.6
Alaska Anchorage	121.2	131.5	144.8	159.4	172.9	182.7	187.9
Arizona Phoenix	95.7	105.0	116.7	126.7	134.2	139.1	140.7
Arkansas Little Rock	86.2	92.1	101.6	110.6	117.1	120.6	126.5
California							
Fresno	106.8	117.3	128.9	140.6	150.3	160.5	163.5
Los Angeles	111.3	122.1	133.7	146.7	156.1	163.8	169.2
San Diego	108.3	119.5	130.1	142.0	152.5	158.0	161.5
San Francisco	117.7	128.2	142.5	155.8	168.3	178.8	184.4
Colorado							
Denver	96.0	105.3	115.8	122.5	132.7	140.4	148.4
Connecticut Hartford	94.6	101.4	111.2	118.7	127.8	136.3	144.3
Delaware							
Wilmington	98.2	105.4	115.8	125.3	135.1	142.9	148.4
District of Columbia	95.9	102.6	113.8	122.5	130.1	138.2	141.6
Florida							
Jacksonville	85.7	93.5	102.4	108.6	115.8	121.0	127.6
Miami	88.3	95.7	103.5	112.9	121.9	126.6	129.0
Tampa	86.9	94.6	103.4	112.7	118.6	125.9	129.8
<b>Georgia</b> Atlanta	87.7	95.0	103.6	111.1	118.8	125.6	127.9
Hawaii							
Honolulu	114.8	124.6	137.1	151.0	163.8	173.1	178.0
Idaho		100.4		101.5	101.0	107.1	140.4
Boise	91.7	100.4	110.4	121.5	131.9	137.1	140.4
Illinois							
Chicago	102.3	110.9	122.0	131.9	139.7	146.6	152.1
Peoria	92.3	100.0	109.5	120.0	128.7	135.2	139.3
<b>Indiana</b> Indianapolis	98.5	107.1	116.7	125.6	133.7	138.1	144.6
Iowa Des Moines	90.7	97.9	108.9	114.7	122.1	126.0	130.5
Kansas Wichita	89.4	95.8	104.2	112.9	119.4	123.1	130.8
			,	· .			

..

COST	INDEXES						
1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
·····							
128.1	128.5	130.8	136.6	139.4	140.1	142.9	144.9
190.3	195.2	202.2	205.7	210.2	214.7	221.0	223.8
145.2	143.9	147.1	157.2	160.7	163.7	169.2	1 <b>72.</b> 1
127.1	127.3	128.4	137.9	140.9	144.1	147.5	149.0
164.3	167.1	171.7	179.6	183.5	187.5	193.0	195.5
174.6	176.1	180.8	184.3	187.8	190.3	198.1	202.1
167.3	168.6	174.4	179.7	183.7	1 <b>87.1</b>	193.2	196.7
186.6	192.1	198.8	201.3	205.0	208.9	215.8	218.2
144.2	144.0	149.0	154.6	152.9	155.0	159.2	161.8
150.0	155.5	160.3	161.3	165.6	169.6	173.3	175.9
151.2	155.4	162.2	165.3	169.8	174.2	1 <b>78</b> .7	182.5
143.7	148.5	153.0	155.6	159.0	162.9	168.5	169.2
128.9	131.8	134.7	138.9	142.1	144.2	147.5	149.6
132.5	133.9	137.9	143.2	146.4	149.7	153.3	155.7
133.2	136.2	140.8	143.1	146.2	149.5	153.1	155.5
130.3	133.3	137.8	140.6	143.2	146.4	149.4	152.0
180.2	184.9	191.6	194.8	199.1	203.3	209.3	212.0
141.3	143.6	147.5	152.6	156.6	160.1	163.2	167.5
155.3	156.4	162.5	169.4	173.4	177.3	181.5	187.1
141.5	143.3	149.1	154.1	157.9	161.5	165.5	169.3
145.5	149.0	152.0	158.1	161.8	165.2	169.3	171.7
132.7	135.4	137.8	147.9	150.4	153.8	156.8	159.6
127.4	129.1	133.2	141.4	144.4	147.7	151.3	152.8

			an a' de Latad Stad an Stad Reserve Part	al a dan san Roman ang san Roman ang san	C(	)NSTRU	CTION
	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Kentucky Louisville	91.0	99.0	106.9	114.4	120.4	123.3	130.4
Louisiana New Orleans	89.2	96.6	106.4	113.8	121.6	127.8	134.2
<b>Maine</b> Portland	86.1	92.5	101.0	110.6	120.9	127.6	131.8
Maryland Baltimore	95.5	102.5	112.5	122.9	129.2	136.6	141.3
Massachusetts Boston	107.7	114.1	125.0	132.4	145.9	154.5	163.7
<b>Michigan</b> Detroit Grand Rapids	105.5 86.0	114.8 93.2	125.5 101.7	136.2 111.8	145.8 120.6	150.6 125.6	155.7 129.2
Minnesota Minneapolis	98.3	107.6	117.6	124.7	134.7	140.3	149.3
<b>Mississippi</b> Jackson	80.1	88.7	98.8	105.5	111.0	115.9	120.2
<b>Missouri</b> Kansas City St. Louis	98.0 97.2	105.9 106.2	115.4 117.0	124.2 124.0	133.6 131.2	138.6 137.4	145.2 144.9
Montana Billings	93.8	101.4	110.7	118.8	128.1	135.5	142.2
Nebraska Omaha	90.2	99.8	107.4	114.6	123.0	128.3	132.9
<b>Nevada</b> Las Vegas	102.0	112.1	124.2	137.0	143.6	151.6	155.0
<b>New Hampshire</b> Nashua	87.0	93.4	102.0	111.7	122.2	128.9	133.2
<b>New Jersey</b> Newark	104.7	112.2	122.9	131.6	141.7	149.6	159.5
New Mexico Albuquerque	93.9	101.5	113.8	123.3	132.7	137.0	142.9
New York Buffalo New York Rochester	103.6 117.7 100.7	111.5 124.5 108.3	121.9 136.3 118.1	132.6 147.8 129.6	141.8 160.2 141.6	151.3 170.4 147.7	156.4 180.5 153.1
North Carolina Charlotte	79.4	86.8	93.5	101.9	106.9	113.7	116.9
	-						

COST	INDEXES					n an stàitean an Alachan Antai Nacamhráitean a	
1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
131.4	132.9	136.9	146.8	149.2	152.7	155.6	158.4
136.1	136.3	139.2	143.3	142.8	145.2	147.6	150.1
133.6	136.5	141.5	145.8	149.7	153.2	156.8	159.2
143.1	147.7	153.2	153.0	155.8	159.7	166.5	165.6
169.3	176.2	183.2	186.1	191.4	196.1	199.3	202.6
157.0 130.3	160.1 132.9	165.8 137.9	172.1 142.3	177.1 146.2	180.9 149.5	185.3 153.2	189.1 155.9
149.0	153.5	157.9	160.7	164.2	167.8	171.7	174.6
122.3	122.3	123.8	131.6	134.6	136.6	139.7	141.7
145.0 149.1	147.4 153.3	152.6 158.5	156.5 164.0	159.4 165.3	162.9 169.0	166.4 171.0	167.4 174.6
137.8	142.3	145.7	151.7	152.8	155.8	159.9	162.4
130.2	133.4	137.8	145.8	149.2	152.6	156.4	158.8
156.5	157.5	162.3	171.3	175.0	178.8	184.0	186.4
134.9	137.9	143.0	147.3	151.2	154.8	158.4	160.8
167.5	173.8	180.7	180.1	185.5	191.2	196.8	200.8
142.6	143.6	145.5	151.5	153.5	157.3	159.4	163.7
159.8 188.8 155.4	167.6 196.1 162.4	172.8 206.1 167.3	173.4 207.7 169.1	176.9 215.0 172.5	178.9 223.4 174.5	184.5 230.4 179.9	186.5 236.7 181.8
119.1	121.0	126.6	129.1	131.9	135.0	138.1	140.3
							ς

					CC	DNSTRU	TION
	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Ohio Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus	98.5 103.8 97.0	107.8 113.5 106.7	117.6 124.7 116.4	125.5 134.3 127.2	133.7 144.8 136.2	138.0 149.9 141.9	145.1 157.2 145.9
Oklahoma Oklahoma City	88.5	96.5	105.7	116.9	125.7	129.4	132.3
Or <u>eg</u> on Portland	99.8	110.5	120.2	132.1	140.6	145.3	148.0
Pennsylvania Erie Philadelphia Pittsburgh Rhode Island	95.3 104.1 101.1	103.0 111.2 109.4	112.8 123.4 120.4	123.5 130.2 129.8	131.6 139.5 135.8	138.5 148.1 142.9	143.6 155.8 150.1
Providence South Carolina	96.4	103.6	113.0	123.9	135.4	142.9	147.6
Charleston	78.0	84.3	91.6	101.3	110.0	116.2	118.1
South Dakota Sioux Falls	79.7	86.6	94.5	102.7	111.2	116.4	121.5
<b>Tennessee</b> Memphis Nashville	87.1 84.8	93.9 93.6	105.5 102.3	111.2 110.2	118.4 116.5	123.8 120.0	128.8 125.0
<b>Texas</b> Dallas El Paso Houston San Antonio	87.8 81.7 91.7 84.9	95.9 90.1 101.5 93.6	106.7 98.7 110.4 102.4	115.3 107.1 122.4 112.2	123.8 115.2 129.5 120.2	130.3 120.3 136.4 124.6	136.2 123.4 141.1 127.8
Utah Salt Lake City	<b>94.</b> 1	101.4	111.1	117.8	125.5	132.4	140.9
Vermont Burlington	84.7	90.9	99.2	108.8	118.9	125.5	129.6
Virginia Richmond	87.1	93.6	102.1	112.4	117.6	125.1	127.5
Washington Seattle Spokane	101.4 99.6	112.9 109.8	124.5 119.9	135.8 131.9	146.8 139.7	151.4 146.2	155.0 150.4
West Virginia Charleston	98.3	106.2	116.3	127.4	135.8	142.9	148.2
Wisconsin Milwaukee	99.9	108.2	117.3	128.3	135.5	140.7	146.0
Wyoming Cheyenne	87.6	95.5	104.7	113.7	123.3	130.0	134.9

COST	INDEXES						
1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
145.0	146.2	150.6	155.5	158.9	161.9	165.6	167.9
158.4	163.8	168.6	174.1	176.7	176.6	182.9	184.1
145.1	149.1	152.7	158.8	162.5	166.0	170.1	172.5
134.6	132.3	132.9	142.0	143.9	147.5	149.4	153.5
149.1	150.9	153.5	163.2	167.5	171.2	174.6	179.1
146.2	149.0	153.6	156.3	159.3	163.8	167.4	169.8
160.1	165.6	173.1	175.9	181.1	186.1	190.8	196.1
154.1	157.1	160.4	162.0	164.3	169.5	172.6	175.0
149.6	152.8	158.5	163.3	167.6	171.6	175.6	178.2
119.1	121.3	126.3	129.4	132.4	135.5	138.8	140.9
122.0	125.0	129.3	132.0	135.1	138.2	141.7	143.9
130.9	132.9	135.4	144.1	146.6	149.9	152.8	155.5
127.3	127.7	130.1	137.6	140.8	142.8	146.1	148.2
135.6	133.0	134.8	134.8	138.4	142.1	142.1	147.8
122.8	121.9	126.3	131.6	133.4	136.7	138.5	142.3
138.3	136.5	136.4	147.9	149.9	153.6	155.6	159.9
128.1	128.2	130.3	137.0	138.8	142.2	144.1	148.0
136.3	140.9	143.6	150.3	151.5	154.4	158.5	161.0
131.3	134.2	139.1	143.4	147.1	150.6	154.2	156.5
129.6	133.6	136.5	140.7	143.7	147.3	152.3	153.0
155.5	157.7	160.2	166.7	171.2	174.8	176.8	183.8
152.3	154.6	158.2	164.4	168.7	172.5	175.9	180.4
150.8	153.7	158.4	161.2	164.3	168.9	172.7	175.2
147.7	150.4	156.0	163.1	167.1	170.9	175.2	179.1
134.1	135.0	139.9	144.6	145.8	148.6	152.6	154.9

• • • • •

# Section 2

Index: State/county/city Index: Inmate design capacity Index: Category of construction Index: Facility name

# State/county/city

# Index

State	County/city	Facility type	Facility name	Page
AR	Grady	State prison	Varner Unit	36
CA	Alameda	City complex	Alameda City Police Department and Jail	38
CA	Alameda County	County jail	Alameda County Jail	40
CA	Butte County	County jail	Butte County Minimum Security Facility	42
CA	Dublin	Federal detention/jail	Federal Detention Center-Dublin	46
CA	Kern County	County jail	Lerdo Pre-Trial	48
CA	Live Oak	State prison (privately operated)	ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	44
CA	Los Angeles	Federal detention/jail	Metropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles	50
CA	San Bernardino County	County jail	West Valley Detention Center	60
CA	San Diego	Regional jail (military)	Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar	52
CA	San Mateo County	County and city jail	South County Detention Facility	56
CA	Santa Clara County	County complex	Santa Clara County Main Jail	54
CA	Stanislaus County	County jail	Stanislaus County Public Safety Center	58
CO	Alamosa County	County complex	Alamosa County Detention Center	62
CO	Arapahoe County	County complex	Arapahoe County Justice Center	64
CO	Boulder County	County jail	Boulder County Jail	66
CO	Denver	State prison	Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center	68
CO	Huerfano County	County complex	Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center	70
ĊO	Mesa County	County complex	Mesa County Detention Facility	72
CO	Pueblo County	County jail	Pueblo County Detention Facility—Work Release	74
CO	Saguache	County jail	Saguache County Jail	76
CT	Sandy Hook	State prison	Gamer Correctional Institution	80
CT	Somers	State prison	Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution	78
DE	Wilmington	State prison	Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	82
FL	Brevard County	County jail	Brevard County Detention Center	84
FL	Dade County	County jail	Metro West Detention Center	96
FL	Duval County	County jail	James I. Montgomery Correctional Center	90
Т.	Duval County	County and city complex	John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility	92
FL	Hillsborough County	County tail	Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail	88
FL	Indiantown	State prison	Martin Correctional Institution	94
FL.	Orange County	County jail	Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	86
FL.	Palm Beach County	County juit	Palm Beach County Stockade	98
FL.	Pinellas County	County jui	Pinellas County Jail	100
GA	Atlanta	Federal prison	U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta	142
GA	Blairsville	State prison	Northeast Probation Detention Center	176
GA	Buford	State prison	Phillips Correctional Institute-Boot Camp	130
GA	Butler	State prison	Western Probation Detention Center	150
GA	Cadwell	State prison	Central Probation Detention Center	104
GA	Cedartown	State prison	Northwest Probation Detention Center	128
GΔ	Clayton	State prison	Southeast Probation Detention Center	120
GΔ	Clayton	State prison	Women's Probation Detention Center	150
GA GA	Clayton County	County jail	Clayton County Correctional Institution	104
GA	Convers	State prison	Rockdale Dekalb Probation Detention Center	132
GA GA	Davishoro	State prison	Washington Correctional InstitutionBoot Camp	1.12
GA GA	Davisboro	State prison	Washington Correctional Institution	140
GA	Garden City	State prison	Coastal Correctional Institution	100
GA GA	Glunn County	County jail	Glypp County Detention Center	100
GA GA	Hardwick	State prison	Georgia Women's Correctional Institute	114
GA	Helona	State prison	Talfair Correctional Institute Dect Corre	112
GA GA	Henry County	Country inil	Tenar Contentorial Histitute—Door Callip Henry County Isil	100
UA	Tern's Comity	County jan	nemy county Jan	118

· · · .

# State/county/city (continued)

## Index

State	e County/city	Facility type	Facility name	Page
GA	Homerville	State prison	Homerville Parole Center	120
GA	Jackson	State prison	Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center	110
GA	Jefferson	State prison	I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center	122
GA	Lowndes County	County jail	Lowndes County Jail	124
GA	Macon	State prison	Central Correctional Institute	102
GA	Reidsville	State prison	Rogers Correctional Institute	134
GA	Soperton	State prison	Treutlen Shock Unit	140
GA	Trion	State prison	Hays Correctional InstituteBoot Camp	116
GA	Waycross	State prison	Ware Correctional Institute	144
GA	Zebulon	State prison	West Central Probation Detention Center	150
IL	Canton	State prison	Illinois River Correctional Center	160
IL	Danville	State prison	Danville Correctional Center	156
IL	Galesburg	State prison	Hill Correctional Center	158
IL.	Lake County	County complex	Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	164
IL	McHenry County	County complex	McHenry County Government Center	162
IL	Mt. Sterling	State prison	Western Illinois Correctional Center	170
IL	Robinson	State prison	Robinson Correctional Center	166
п.	Tavlorville	State prison	Taylorville Correctional Center	168
īL	Will County	County jail	Will County Adult Detention Facility	172
IN	Boone County	County jail	Boone County Jail	174
IN	Gibson County	County jail	Gibson County Jail	176
IN	Kosciusko County	County jail	Kosciusko County Work Release	178
IN	Tippecanoe County	County complex	Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center	180
KS	El Dorado	State prison	El Dorado Correctional Facility	182
ĸs	Johnson County	County jail	Johnson County Jail	184
KS	Lake County	County jail	Sedewick County Adult Local Detention Facility	190
KS	Lansing	State prison	Lansing Correctional Facility—Fast	186
KS	Lamed	State prison	Lamed Correctional Mental Health Facility	188
KS	Sedgwick County	County jail	Sedgwick County Work Release	192
KS	Shawnee County	County jail	Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility	192
KS	Stanton County	County complex	Stanton County I aw Enforcement Center	196
KS	Wyandotte County	County complex	Wyandotte County Detention Center	198
KY	Carroll County	Regional jail	Carroll County Regional Detention Center	200
KY	West Liberty	State prison	Fastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	200
ΙΔ	Oakdale	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution-Oakdale I	202
ΙΔ	Oakdale	Federal detention/iail	Federal Detention Center_Oakdale II	204
IΔ	Orleans Parish	County and city iail	Orleans Parish Prison	200
ΙΔ	Orleans Parish	County and city jail	Tulane Avenue Facility	200
ΤΔ	St Remard Parish	County and only Jan	St Bernard Jail Compley	212
MΔ	Norfolk County	County jail	St. Bernard and Complex Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center	210
MD	Reltimore	State prison	Rohow County Sherin's Office and Concentrat Center Baltimore Dra Release Unit for Women	220
MD	Jacoun	State prison	Jacour Dra Balaga Unit	210
MD	Jessup	State prison	Detwort Institution	220
MD	Sukooville	State prison	Central Laundry Engility	220
MD	Monteomory County	Countrini	Montgomery County Detention Center	210
MD	Montgomery County	County jail	Montgomery County Detention Center	224
ME	Andressonaria County	County jail	Androcoorgin County Joil	224
IVIEZ MAT	Androscoggar County	Stote prison	Androscoggai County Jan Ionio Movimum Compational Essibit:	214 120
IVIL MAT	Macomb County	State prison County init	Macomb County Joil	230
IVIL MIT	Waxma County	County jail	Ividuallo Coully Jall Sergeont William Diskamon Datantian Easility	232
IVII	wayne County	County jan	sergeant withan Dickerson Detention Facility	254

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

.'

State	County/city	Facility type	Facility name	Page
MN	Hennepin County	County jail	Hennepin County Adult Detention Center	236
MN	Shakopee	State prison	Minnesota Correctional Facility-Shakopee	238
ΜN	Sherburne County	County complex	Sherburne County Jail	240
MO	Boone county	County complex	Boone County Jail	244
MO	Cameron	State prison	Western Missouri Correctional Center	250
MO	Fulton	State prison	Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center	246
МО	Marion County	County complex	Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center	248
MS	Harrison County	County jail	Harrison County Jail	242
NC	Duplin County	County jail	Duplin County Jail	268
NC	Durham County	County jail	Durham County Jail Annex	270
NC	Wake County	County complex	Wake County Public Safety Center	272
NJ	Cumberland	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton	256
NJ	Cumberland County	County jail	Cumberland County Jail	254
NJ	Monmouth County	County jail	Monmouth County Correction Institution	258
NV	Ely	State prison	Ely State Prison	252
NY	Cattaraugus County	County jail	Cattaraugus County Jail	260
NY	Genesee County	County complex	Genesee County Jail	262
NY	Jefferson County	County complex	Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building	264
NY	Saratoga County	County complex	Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center	266
OH	Grafton	State prison	Grafton Correctional Institution	274
OH	Grafton	State prison	Lorain Correctional Institution	276
OH	Lebanon	State prison	Warren Correctional Institution	280
OH	William County	Regional jail	Northwest Ohio Regional Jail	278
OR	Marion County	County complex	Marion County Corrections Facility	284
OR	Sheridan	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan	282
PA	Bradford	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution—McKean	288
PA	Bucks County	County jail	Bucks County Rehabilitation Center	286
PA	Minersville	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution—Schuylkill	290
PA	Philadelphia	City jail	Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	292
PA	Waymart	State prison	State Correctional Institution at Waymart	294
PA	York County	County jail	York County Prison	296
ΤX	Bee County	County complex	Bee County Jail	298
TX	Caldwell County	County complex	Caldwell County Jail	300
ΤX	Dallas County	County jail	Lew Sterrett Justice Center	306
ΤX	Frio County	County complex	Frio County Jail	304
TX	Nacogdoches County	County complex	Nacogdoches County Law Enforcement Center	308
ΤX	Rusk County	County complex	Rusk County Sheriff's Department	310
TΧ	Three Rivers	Federal prison	Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers	302
UT	Salt Lake County	County jail	Oxbow Jail Facility	312
VA	Frederick County	Regional jail	Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional Adult Detention Center	314
VA	Greensville	State prison	Greensville Correctional Center	316
VA	Lorton	State prison	Lorton Maximum Security Facility	320
VA	Oakwood	State prison	Keen Mountain Correctional Center	318
WA	Clallam Bay	State prison	Clallam Bay Correctional Center	322
WI	Milwaukee County	County complex	Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility	326
WI	Portage	State prison	Columbia Correctional Institution	324
WI	Sturtevant	State prison	Racine Correctional Institution	328
WY	Sublette County	County jail	Sublette County Jail	330

22

# Inmate design capacity

÷

Inmates	County/city facility name	State	Page
8	Saguache County Jail	CO	76
12	Stanton County Law Enforcement Center	KS	196
22	Sublette County Jail	WY	330
26	Alameda City Police Department and Jail	CA	38
28	Pueblo County Detention Facility—Work Release	CO	74
39	Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center	CO	70
40	Duplin County Jail	NC	268
42	Kosciusko County Work Release	IN	178
45	Genesee County Jail	NY	262
48	Alamosa County Detention Center	CO	62
50	Sherburne County Jail	MN	240
62	Gibson County Jail	IN	176
80	Rusk County Sheriff's Department	TX	310
84	Carroll County Regional Detention Center	KY	200
90	Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center	МО	248
96	Butte County Minimum Security Facility	CA	42
99	Sedewick County Work Release	KS	192
100	Cumberland County Jail	NI	254
109	Hennepin County Adult Detention Center	MN	236
122	Androscoggin County Jail	ME	214
122	Bee County Jail	TX	298
120	Montgomery County Detention Center	MD	220
132	Cattaraugus County Jail	NY	260
148	Caldwell County Jail	TX	300
148	Nacogdoches County I aw Enforcement Center	TX	308
160	South County Detention Facility	CA	56
162	Durham County Jail Annex	NC	270
162	Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center	NY	266
170	Frio County Iail	TX	304
170	Henry County Jail	GA	118
181	Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building	NY	264
185	Tinnecanoe County Law Enforcement Center	IN	180
186	Boone County Iail	MO	244
100	Mesa County Detention Excility	ro CO	72
192	Boone County Joint addity	IN	174
100	McHenry County Government Center	Π	162
100	Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility	KS	102
200	Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	FI	86
200	Clauton County Correctional Institution	GA	106
256	Monmouth County Correction Institution	NI	258
256	Morino County Corrections Facility		250
250	Rucka County Databilitation Contar		20 <del>4</del> 286
272	Johnson County Ioil	IA VS	200 194
274	Glum County Jan Glum County Detention Center	CA	104
200	Dalm Reach County Stockade	UA ET	114
207	r ann brach County Stockade St. Demond Isil Complex		70 010
290	or. Demard Jan Complex Stanislava County Public Safety Contan		210
274	Statisticus County Fubic Safety Center Clarka Eradariak Winahastar Dagignal Adult	UA VA	Jð 21 <i>4</i>
211	Clarke-Frederick-winchester Kegional Adult Boulder County Jol	VA CO	514
216	Douider County Jan		170
310	will County Adult Detention Facility	L	172

# Inmate design capacity (continued)

## Index

Inmates	County/city facility name	State	Page
328	Lowndes County Jail	GA	124
330	Montgomery County Detention Center	MD	224
368	Oxbow Jail Facility	UT	312
371	Wyandotte County Detention Center	KS	198
372	Tulane Avenue Facility	LA	212
392	Brevard County Detention Center	FL	84
394	Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center	MA	228
396	Pinellas County Jail	FL	100
425	Lew Sterrett Justice Center	TX	306
429	Harrison County Jail	MS	242
430	Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility	KS	190
440	James I. Montgomery Correctional Center	FL	90
443	Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar	CA	52
480	Wake County Public Safety Center	NC	272
508	Northwest Ohio Regional Jail	OH	278
. 528	Orleans Parish Prison	LA	208
622	York County Prison	PA	296
650	Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	PA	292
698	Robert H. Babcox Correctional Center	· Π.	164
720	Santa Clara County Main Jail	CA	54
724	Aranahoe County Justice Center	CO	64
798	Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility	wi	326
886	Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility	MI	234
899	Macomh County Iail	M	234
1 004	Metro West Detention Center	HI .	96
1,004	Lerdo Pre-Trial		48
1,104	Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail	EA FI	
2,007	West Volley Detention Center		60 60
2,292	John E. Goode Bra Trial Detention Engility	E	00 07
2,297	Alameda County Jail		92 40
5,050	Alamoda County Jan	Ch	-0
Inmates	State facility name	State	Page
74	Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women	MD	216
106	Minnesota Correctional Facility—Shakopee	MIN	238
120	ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	CA	44
128	Patuxent Institution	MD	226
150	Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility	KS	188
154	Central Probation Detention Center	GA	104
154	I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center	GA	122
154	Northeast Probation Detention Center	GA	126
154	Northwest Probation Detention Center	GA	128
154	Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center	GA	132
154	Southeast Probation Detention Center	GA	136
154	West Central Probation Detention Center	GA	150
154	Western Probation Detention Center	GA	152
154	Women's Probation Detention Center	GA	154
200	Central Correctional Institute	GA	102
200	Coastal Correctional Institution	GA	108
200	Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center	GA	110
200	Georgia Women's Correctional Institute	GA	112
## Inmate design capacity (continued)

Inmates	State facility name	State	Page
200	Rogers Correctional Institute	GA	134
200	Ware Correctional Institute	GA	144
200	Lansing Correctional Facility—East	KS	. 186
200	Lorton Maximum Security Facility	VA	320
224	Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	GA	116
224	Homerville Parole Center	GA	120
224	Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	GA	138
224	Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	GA	130
224	Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp	GA	146
232	Treutlen Shock Unit	GA	140
256	Central Laundry Facility	MD	218
300	Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution	СТ	78
400	Clallam Bay Correctional Center	WA	322
420	Jessup Pre-Release Unit	MD	220
464	Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center	CO	68
480	Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	DE	82
511	Columbia Correctional Institution	WI	324
512	Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center	MO	246
528	Grafton Correctional Institution	OH	274
596	Martin Correctional Institution	FL	94
614	Robinson Correctional Center	IL	166
614	Taylorville Correctional Center	IL.	168
640	El Dorado Correctional Facility	KS	182
666	Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility	MI	230
680	Washington Correctional Institution	GA	148
696	Keen Mountain Correctional Center	VA	318
703	Racine Correctional Institution	WI	328
758	Western Illinois Correctional Center	L	170
766	Gamer Correctional Institution	СТ	80
776	Warren Correctional Institution	OH	280
814	Illinois River Correctional Center	IL.	160
926	Danville Correctional Center	IL.	156
926	Hill Correctional Center	IL.	158
1,008	Ely State Prison	NV	252
1,025	State Correctional Institution at Waymart	PA	294
1,219	Vamer Unit	AR	36
2,000	Western Missouri Correctional Center	MO	250
2,014	Lorain Correctional Institution	OH	276
2,144	Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	KY	202
2,282	Greensville Correctional Center	VA	316

### Inmate design capacity (continued)

### Index

Inmates	Federal facility name	State	Page
236	Federal Detention Center-Dublin	CA	46
426	U.S. Federal Penitentiary-Atlanta	GA	142
588	Metropolitan Detention Center-Los Angeles	CA	50
699	Federal Detention Center-Oakdale II	LA	206
725	Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I	LA	204
1,000	Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton	NJ	256
1,040	Federal Correctional Institution—McKean	PA	288
1,066	Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers	TX	302
1,088	Federal Correctional Institution—Schuylkill	PA	290
1,316	Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan	OR	282
. 19210			-

## Category of construction

### Index

Category	State	Facility name	Facility type	Page
New Construction	AR	Varner Unit	State prison	36
New Construction	CA	Alameda County Jail	County jail	40
New Construction	CA	Metropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles	Federal detention/jail	50
New Construction	CA	Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar	Regional jail (military)	52
New Construction	CA	Santa Clara County Main Jail	County complex	54
New Construction	CA	Stanislaus County Public Safety Center	County jail	58
New Construction	CA	West Valley Detention Center	County jail	60
New Construction	CO	Alamosa County Detention Center	County complex	62
New Construction	CO	Arapahoe County Justice Center	County complex	64
New Construction	CO	Boulder County Jail	County jail	66
New Construction	CO	Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center	State prison	68
New Construction	CO	Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center	County complex	70
New Construction	CT	Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution	State prison	78
New Construction	СТ	Garner Correctional Institution	State prison	80
New Construction	FL	Brevard County Detention Center	County jail	84
New Construction	FL	Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail	County jail	88
New Construction	FL	James I. Montgomery Correctional Center	County jail	90
New Construction	FL	John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility	County and city complex	92
New Construction	FL	Martin Correctional Institution	State prison	94
New Construction	GA	Central Probation Detention Center	State prison	104
New Construction	GA	Clayton County Correctional Institution	County jail	106
New Construction	GA	Glynn County Detention Center	County jail	114
New Construction	GA	Henry County Jail	County jail	118
New Construction	GA	I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center	State prison	122
New Construction	GA	Northeast Probation Detention Center	State prison	126
New Construction	GA	Northwest Probation Detention Center	State prison	128
New Construction	GA	Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center	State prison	132
New Construction	GA	Southeast Probation Detention Center	State prison	136
New Construction	GA	Treutlen Shock Unit	State prison	140
New Construction	GA	West Central Probation Detention Center	State prison	150
New Construction	GA	Western Probation Detention Center	State prison	152
New Construction	GA	Women's Probation Detention Center	State prison	154
New Construction	Π.	Danville Correctional Center	State prison	156
New Construction	Π.	Hill Correctional Center	State prison	158
New Construction	Π.	Illinois River Correctional Center	State prison	160
New Construction	Π.	McHenry County Government Center	County complex	162
New Construction	Π.	Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	County complex	164
New Construction	Π.	Robinson Correctional Center	State prison	166
New Construction	Π.	Taylorville Correctional Center	State prison	168
New Construction	Π.	Western Illinois Correctional Center	State prison	170
New Construction	Π.	Will County Adult Detention Facility	County jail	172
New Construction	ĪN	Boone County Tail	County juit	174
New Construction	IN	Tippecance County I aw Enforcement Center	County complex	180
New Construction	KS	Fl Dorado Correctional Facility	State prison	187
New Construction	KS	Johnson County Jail	County isil	184
New Construction	KS	Lamed Correctional Mental Health Facility	State prisop	189
New Construction	KS	Sedowick County Adult Local Detention Facility	County iail	100
New Construction	KS	Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility	County juit	104
New Construction	KČ	Wyandotte County Detention Center	County complex	109
New Construction	KV	Carroll County Regional Detention Center	Regional jail	200
	17.1	Carton County Regional Determion Center	Negional Jan	200

### NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

...

### Category of construction (continued)

### Index

Category	State	Facility name	Facility type	Page
New Construction	KY	Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	State prison	202
New Construction	LA	Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I	Federal prison	204
New Construction	LA	Federal Detention Center—Oakdale II	Federal detention/jail	206
New Construction	LA	St. Bernard Jail Complex	County jail	210
New Construction	MA	Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center	County jail	228
New Construction	MD	Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women	State prison	216
New Construction	MD	Jessup Pre-Release Unit	State prison	220
New Construction	ME	Androscoggin County Jail	County jail	214
New Construction	MI	Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility	State prison	230
New Construction	MĨ	Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility	County jail	234
New Construction	MN	Minnesota Correctional Facility—Shakopee	State prison	238
New Construction	MO	Boone County Jail	County complex	244
New Construction	MO	Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center	State prison	246
New Construction	MO	Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center	County complex	248
New Construction	MO	Western Missouri Correctional Center	State prison	250
New Construction	MS	Harrison County Jail	County complex	242
New Construction	NC	Wake County Public Safety Center	County complex	272
New Construction	NJ	Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton	Federal prison	256
New Construction	NV	Ely State Prison	State prison	252
New Construction	NY	Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building	County complex	264
New Construction	OH	Grafton Correctional Institution	State prison	274
New Construction	OH	Lorain Correctional Institution	State prison	276
New Construction	OH	Northwest Ohio Regional Jail	Regional jail	278
New Construction	OH	Warren Correctional Institution	State prison	280
New Construction	OR	Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan	Federal prison	282
New Construction	OR	Marion County Corrections Facility	County complex	284
New Construction	PA	Bucks County Rehabilitation Center	County jail	286
New Construction	PA	Federal Correctional Institution—McKean	Federal prison	288
New Construction	PA	Federal Correctional Institution—Schuylkill	Federal prison	290
New Construction	PA	Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	City jail	292
New Construction	TX	Bee County Jail	County complex	298
New Construction	TX	Caldwell County Jail	County complex	300
New Construction	TX	Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers	Federal prison	302
New Construction	TX	Frio County Jail	County complex	304
New Construction	TX	Nacogdoches County Law Enforcement Center	County complex	308
New Construction	TX	Rusk County Sheriff's Department	County complex	310
New Construction	UT	Oxbow Jail Facility	County jail	312
New Construction	VA	Clark-Frederick-Winchester Regional		
		Adult Detention Center	Regional jail	314
New Construction	VA	Greensville Correctional Center	State prison	316
New Construction	VA	Keen Mountain Correctional Center	State prison	318
New Construction	WI	Columbia Correctional Institution	State prison	324
New Construction	WI	Racine Correctional Institution	State prison	328
New, ancillary building	CA	Butte County Minimum Security Facility	County jail	42
New, ancillary building	CA	ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	State prison (privately operated)	44
New, ancillary building	CA	Federal Detention Center—Dublin	Federal detention/iail	46
New, ancillary building	CA	South County Detention Facility	County and city iail	56
New, ancillary building	CO	Mesa County Detention Facility	County complex	.72
New, ancillary building	FL.	Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	County jail	86
New, ancillary building	FL.	Metro West Detention Center	County jail	96

Page

98

102

108

110

112

116

120

130

134

138

144

146

148

176

186

208 212

218 222

226

268

296

320

322

326

48

76

82

142

176

178

192

196

232

258

294 296

306

328

36

38

44

74

76

82

100

124

196

224

232

:

,

٠.

.

• •

### Category

Stat

New, ancillary building
New, ancillary building
New ancillary building
New ancillary building
New ancillary building
New ancillary building
Remodel/renovation
Remodel/movation
Remodel/renovation
Remodel/movation
Remodel/renovation
Remodel/renovation
Remodel/removation
Remodel/monovation
Europaion
Expansion

FL   Palm Beach County Stockade   County jail     GA   Central Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center   State prison     GA   Georgia Vonnen's Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Regres Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Wase Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IA   Orleans Parish Prison   County jail     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenue Facility   County and city jail     MD   Patuxent Institution   State prison     MD <th>State</th> <th>Facility name</th> <th>Facility type</th>	State	Facility name	Facility type
GA   Central Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center   State prison     GA   Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center   State prison     GA   Hays Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Phillips Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Institute — Boot Camp   State prison     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenue Facility   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenue Facility   County jail     CD   Dupin County Jail   County jail     CD   Dupin County Jail   County jail <tr< td=""><td>FL</td><td>Palm Beach County Stockade</td><td>County jail</td></tr<>	FL	Palm Beach County Stockade	County jail
GA   Coastal Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center   State prison     GA   Hays Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Hays Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     LA   Otleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LS   Lansing Correctional Recility   County and city jail     MD   Central Laundry Facility   State prison     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     PA   York County Prison   County jail     PA   York County Jail   County jail     VA   Lorto Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WI   M	GA	Central Correctional Institute	State prison
GA   Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center   State prison     GA   Georgia Wornen's Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Facility—East   State prison     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenne Facility   County and city jail     MD   Central Laundry Facility   State prison     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     CD   Patuxent Institution   State prison     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State	GA	Coastal Correctional Institution	State prison
GA   Georgia Women's Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Ware Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IA   Orleans Parish Prison   County jail     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     IA   Tulane Avenue Facility   State prison     MD   Mottgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MD   Patuxent Institution   State prison     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     CA   Lerdo Pre-Trial   County jail <td>GA</td> <td>Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center</td> <td>State prison</td>	GA	Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center	State prison
GA   Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     CA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenue Facility   County and city jail     DD   Central Laundry Facility   State prison     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     DD   Dupin County Jail   County jail     PA   York County Prison   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WI   Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility   County jail     CO   Saguache County Jail   County jail     CO   Saguache County Jail   County jail	GA	Georgia Women's Correctional Institute	State prison
GA   Homerville Parole Center   State prison     GA   Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Ware Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Ware Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail     KS   Lansing Correctional Facility—East   State prison     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     MD   Central Laundry Facility   County and city jail     MD   Patuxent Institution   State prison     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     PA   York County Prison   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WA   Callam Bay Correctional Center   State prison     WI   Miliwaukee County Jail   County jail     CO   State prison   County jail     CO   State prison   County jail     CA   Lerdo Pre-Trial   County jail     CO   State prison   County jail	GA	Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	State prison
GA   Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Rogers Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     LA   Tulane Avenue Facility   State prison     MD   Central Laundry Facility   State prison     Not gomery County Detention Center   County jail     PA   York County Prison   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WA   Callam Bay Correctional Center   State prison     GA   U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta   Federal prison     GA<	GA	Homerville Parole Center	State prison
GA   Rogers Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Telfair Correctional Institute   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail     KS   Lansing Correctional Facility—East   State prison     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     MD   Central Laundry Facility   County and city jail     MD   Montgornery County Detention Center   County jail     NC   Duplin County Jail   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WA   Clallam Bay Correctional Center   State prison     WI   Milwaukee County Jail   County jail     CA   Lerdo Pre-Trial   County jail     CO   Saguache County Jail   County jail     CB   State prison   County jail     CA   Lerdo Pre-Trial   County jail     CO   Saguache County Jail   County jail     CB   S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta   Federal prison <td>GA</td> <td>Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp</td> <td>State prison</td>	GA	Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	State prison
GA   Teftair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Ware Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp   State prison     GA   Washington Correctional Institution   State prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail     KS   Lansing Correctional Pacility—East   State prison     LA   Orleans Parish Prison   County and city jail     MD   Central Laundry Facility   County and city jail     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     PA   York County Prison   County jail     VA   Lorton Maximum Security Facility   State prison     WA   Clalam Bay Correctional Center   State prison     WA   Lalam Bay Correctional Center   State prison     WI   Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility   County complex     CA   Lerdo Pre-Trial   County jail     CO   Saguache County Jail   County jail     DE   Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility   State prison     GA   U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta   Federal prison     IN   Gibson County Jail   County jail	GA	Rogers Correctional Institute	State prison
GAWare Correctional Institution—Boot CampState prisonGAWashington Correctional Institution—Boot CampState prisonGAWashington Correctional InstitutionState prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailKSLansing Correctional Facility—EastState prisonLAOrleans Parish PrisonCounty and city jailLATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonVALotton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterCounty jailCOSaguache County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailRAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County Work ReleaseCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSState Orrectional Institution at WaymartState prison <td< td=""><td>GA</td><td>Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp</td><td>State prison</td></td<>	GA	Telfair Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	State prison
GAWashington Correctional Institution—Boot CampState prisonGAWashington Correctional InstitutionState prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailKSLansing Correctional Pacility—EastState prisonLAOrleans Parish PrisonCounty and city jailLATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALotton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilvaukee County JailCounty jailCOsaguache County JailCounty jailCSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Pederal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStatton County Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSState Correctional InstitutionCounty jailKSState prisonCounty jailKSStatton County Correction InstitutionCounty jailRAWare County PrisonCounty jailKSState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonRAVark County PrisonCounty jailRAVark County Prison <td>GA</td> <td>Ware Correctional Institute</td> <td>State prison</td>	GA	Ware Correctional Institute	State prison
GAWashington Correctional InstitutionState prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailKSLansing Correctional Facility—EastState prisonLAOrleans Parish PrisonCounty and city jailLATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailCounty JailCounty jailCounty jailKSState County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSState County Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSState County JailCounty jailNMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNMacomb County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNMonmouth County Correction InstitutionState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailCALew Sterret Justice CenterCounty ja	GA	Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp	State prison
IN     Gibson County Jail     County jail       KS     Lansing Correctional Pacility—East     State prison       LA     Orleans Parish Prison     County and city jail       ILA     Tulane Avenue Facility     County and city jail       MD     Central Laundry Facility     State prison       MD     Montgomery County Detention Center     County jail       MD     Patuxent Institution     State prison       NC     Duplin County Jail     County jail       PA     York County Prison     County jail       VA     Lorton Maximum Security Facility     State prison       WA     Clallam Bay Correctional Center     State prison       WI     Miltwaukee County Jail     County complex       CA     Lerdo Pre-Trial     County jail       CO     Saguache County Jail     County jail       DE     Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility     State prison       GA     U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta     Federal prison       IN     Gibson County Jail     County jail       IN     Kosciusko County Work Release     County jail       KS     State Orrectional Institution     County jail <t< td=""><td>GA</td><td>Washington Correctional Institution</td><td>State prison</td></t<>	GA	Washington Correctional Institution	State prison
KSLansing Correctional Facility—EastState prisonLAOtleans Parish PrisonCounty and city jailLATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALotton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINGosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County JailCounty jailINMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMorneutin County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailCXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailCXLew Sterr	IN	Gibson County Jail	County jail
LAOrleans Parish PrisonCounty and city jailLATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterCounty jailCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCBMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailPAState Correctional InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional InstitutionState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailPAState Correctional InstitutionState prisonPAYork County Detention FacilityState prisonPAYork County Detention FacilityState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department an	KS	Lansing Correctional Facility—East	State prison
LATulane Avenue FacilityCounty and city jailMDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonNGGibson County JailCounty jailINGoison County JailCounty jailKSStaton County JailCounty jailKSStaton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNMomouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailNARacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailCAErit Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Che	LA	Orleans Parish Prison	County and city jail
MDCentral Laundry FacilityState prisonMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty jailCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStaton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailKSState Correctional InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailRAVarke County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCALeft Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Wornen's CenterState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Wornen's CenterState prisonCAELe Chesney Wornen's CenterState pris	LA	Tulane Avenue Facility	County and city jail
MDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty jailCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINGibson County Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSStaton County JailCounty jailKSStaton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailNGNamed City Police Department and JailCity complexCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison	MD	Central Laundry Facility	State prison
MDPatuxent InstitutionState prisonNCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal PenitentiaryAtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStaton County JailCounty jailINMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonAVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCDState CrisonFacil	MD	Montgomery County Detention Center	County jail
NCDuplin County JailCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINGibson County Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSState Ornet Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSState Correctional InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailVAKacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COSaguache County JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COSaguache County JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	MD	Patuxent Institution	State prison
PAYork County PrisonCounty jailVALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Pederal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINGibson County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Vork ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCOPueblo County JailCounty jail<	NC	Duplin County Jail	County jail
VALorton Maximum Security FacilityState prisonWAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStaton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCASaguache County JailCounty jailCAState prisonState prisonCALarneda City Police Department and JailCounty jailCASaguache County JailCounty jailCASaguache County JailCounty jailCACounty JailCounty jailCASaguache County JailCounty jailCASagua	PA	York County Prison	County jail
WAClallam Bay Correctional CenterState prisonWIMilwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStatton County JailCounty jailINMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailNIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAHere County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterCounty jail	VA	Lorton Maximum Security Facility	State prison
WIMilwauke Courty Jail and Criminal Justice FacilityCounty complexCALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStato County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCOPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prisonCAECI: Leo Chesney Wom	WA	Clallam Bay Correctional Center	State prison
CALerdo Pre-TrialCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal PenitentiaryAtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sternett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCO<	WI	Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility	County complex
COSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sternett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCOPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOState prison Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jail<	CA	Lerdo Pre-Trial	County jail
DEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonGAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailCALowndes County JailCounty jailCALowndes County JailCounty jailCAStatte prisonCounty jailCAStatte prisonCounty jailCAStatte DrisonCounty jailCAStatte prisonCounty jailCAStatte DrisonCounty jail<	CO	Saguache County Jail	County jail
GAU.S. Federal Penitentiary—AtlantaFederal prisonINGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailCOStanton County JailCounty jailCOSuguche County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOState prisonCounty jailCOState prisonCounty jailCO </td <td>DE</td> <td>Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility</td> <td>State prison</td>	DE	Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	State prison
INGibson County JailCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCOPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County LawCenterCounty jail	GA	U.S. Federal PenitentiaryAtlanta	Federal prison
INKosciusko County VarCounty jailINKosciusko County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jail	IN	Gibson County Jail	County jail
KSSedgwick County Work ReleaseCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailMIMontgomery County JailCounty jailMIMontgomery County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMIMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMontgomery County LawCounty jailMIMontgomery County LawCounty jailMIMacomb County LawCounty jailMIMacomb County LawCounty jailMIMacomb County LawCounty jail	IN	Kosciusko County Work Release	County jail
KSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMIMacomb County JailCounty jailNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sternett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailCALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMDMontgornery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County LawCenterCounty jail	KS	Sedgwick County Work Release	County jail
MIMacomb County JailCounty failNJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County JailCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County LailCounty jail	KS	Stanton County I aw Enforcement Center	County complex
NJMonmouth County Correction InstitutionCounty jailPAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlarneda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County LailCounty jail	M	Macomb County Jail	County iail
PAState Correctional Institution at WaymartState prisonPAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty jailMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County IailCounty iail	NI	Monmouth County Correction Institution	County jail
PAYork County PrisonCounty jailTXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County IailCounty jail	PA	State Correctional Institution at Waymart	State prison
TXLew Sterrett Justice CenterCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County IailCounty jail	PA	York County Prison	County jail
MinDownstance ContraCounty jailWIRacine Correctional InstitutionState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail	TX	Lew Sterrett Instice Center	County juit
ARVarner UnitState prisonARVarner UnitState prisonCAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail	wi	Racine Correctional Institution	State prison
CAAlameda City Police Department and JailCity complexCAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail	AR	Vamer Linit	State prison
CAECI: Leo Chesney Women's CenterState prison (privately operated)COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County IailCounty jail	CΔ	Alameda City Police Department and Jail	City complex
COPueblo County Detention Facility—Work ReleaseCounty jailCOSaguache County JailCounty jailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail		FCI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	State prison (privately operated)
COSaguache County JailCounty JailDEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail	CO	Pueblo County Detention Facility_Work Release	County iail
DEMulti-Purpose Criminal Justice FacilityState prisonFLPinellas County JailCounty jailGALowndes County JailCounty jailKSStanton County Law Enforcement CenterCounty complexMDMontgomery County Detention CenterCounty jailMIMacomb County JailCounty jail	0	Samache County Iail	County jail
FL   Pinellas County Jail   County jail     GA   Lowndes County Jail   County jail     KS   Stanton County Law Enforcement Center   County complex     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MI   Macomb County Jail   County jail	DE	Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	State prison
GA   Lowndes County Jail   County jail     KS   Stanton County Law Enforcement Center   County complex     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MI   Macomb County Lail   County jail	FI	Pinellas County Jail	County jail
KS   Stanton County Law Enforcement Center   County complex     MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MI   Macomb County Lail   County iail	GA	Loundes County Jail	County jui
MD   Montgomery County Detention Center   County jail     MI   Macomb County Iail   County jail	KS	Stanton County Jaw Enforcement Center	County complex
MI Macomb County Jail County jail	MD	Montgomery County Detention Center	County iail
	MI	Macomb County Jail	County jail

### Category of construction (continued)

### Index

Category	State	Facility name	Facility type	Page
Expansion	MN	Sherburne County Jail	County complex	240
Expansion	NJ	Cumberland County Jail	County jail	254
Expansion	NY	Cattaraugus County Jail	County jail	260
Expansion	NY	Genesee County Jail	County complex	262
Expansion	PA	York County Prison	County jail	296
Expansion	WY	Sublette County Jail	County jail	330
Phased project (past)	IL	Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	County complex	164
Phased project (past)	MN	Hennepin County Adult Detention Center	County jail	236
Phased project (future)	CA	West Valley Detention Center	County jail	60
Phased project (future)	OH	Lorain Correctional Institution	State prison	276
Conversion	IL	Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	County complex	164
Conversion	LA	Tulane Avenue Facility	County and city jail	212
Conversion	NC	Durham County Jail Annex	County jail	270
Conversion	PA	State Correctional Institution at Waymart	State prison	294
Unknown	NY	Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center	County complex	266

### Facility name

. -

• •

.

٠....

Facility name	State	Facility type
Alameda City Police Department and Jail	CA	City complex
Alameda County Jail	CA	County jail
Alamosa County Detention Center	CO	County complex
Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution	CT	State prison
Androscoggin County Jail	ME	County jail
Arapahoe County Justice Center	CO	County complex
Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women	MD	State prison
Bee County Jail	TX	County complex
Boone County Jail	IN	County jail
Boone County Jail	MO	County complex
Boulder County Jail	CO	County jail
Brevard County Detention Center	FL	County jail
Bucks County Rehabilitation Center	PA	County jail
Butte County Minimum Security Facility	CA	County jail
Caldwell County Jail	TX	County complex
Carroll County Regional Detention Center	KY	Regional jail
Cattaraugus County Jail	NY	County jail
Central Correctional Institute	GA	State prison
Central Laundry Facility	MD	State prison
Central Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison
Clallam Bay Correctional Center	WA	State prison
Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional Adult Detention Center	VA	Regional jail
Clayton County Correctional Institution	GA	County jail
Coastal Correctional Institution	GA	State prison
Columbia Correctional Institution	WI	State prison
Cumberland County Jail	NJ	County jail
Danville Correctional Center	IL	State prison
Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center	CO	State prison
Duplin County Jail	NC	County jail
Durham County Jail Annex	NC	County jail
ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	CA	State prison (privately operated)
Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	KY	State prison
El Dorado Correctional Facility	KS	State prison
Ely State Prison	NV	State prison
Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton	NJ	Federal prison
Federal Correctional InstitutionMcKean	PA	Federal prison
Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I	LA	Federal prison
Federal Correctional Institution—Schuylkill	PA	Federal prison
Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan	OR	Federal prison
Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers	TX	Federal prison
Federal Detention Center—Oakdale II	LA	Federal detention/jail
Federal Detention Center—Dublin	CA	Federal detention/jail
Frio County Jail	TX	County complex
Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center	MO	State prison
Garner Correctional Institution	CT	State prison
Genesee County Jail	NY	County complex
Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	FL	County jail
Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center	GA	State prison
Georgia Women's Correctional Institute	GA	State prison
Gibson County Jail	IN	County jail

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Facility name	State	Facility type	Page
Glynn County Detention Center	GA	County jail	114
Grafton Correctional Institution	OH	State prison	274
Greensville Correctional Center	VA	State prison	316
Harrison County Jail	MS	County jail	242
Hays Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	GA	State prison	116
Hennepin County Adult Detention Center	MN	County jail	236
Henry County Jail	GA	County jail	118
Hill Correctional Center	IL	State prison	158
Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail	FL	County jail	88
Homerville Parole Center	GA	State prison	120
Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center	CO	County complex	70
I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	122
Illinois River Correctional Center	IL	State prison	160
Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility	MI	State prison	230
James I. Montgomery Correctional Center	FL	County jail	90
Jessup Pre-Release Unit	MD	State prison	220
John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility	FL	County and city complex	92
Johnson County Jail	KS	County jail	184
Keen Mountain Correctional Center	VA	State prison	318
Kosciusko County Work Release	IN	County jail	178
Lansing Correctional Facility—East	KS	State prison	186
Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility	KS	State prison	188
Lerdo Pre-Trial	CA	County jail	48
Lew Sterrett Justice Center	TX	County jail	306
Lorain Correctional Institution	OH	State prison	276
Lorton Maximum Security Facility	VA	State prison	320
Lowndes County Jail	GA	County jail	124
Macomb County Jail	MI	County jail	232
Marion County Corrections Facility	OR	County complex	284
Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center	MO	County complex	248
Martin Correctional Institution	FL	State prison	94
McHenry County Government Center	IL	County complex	162
Mesa County Detention Facility	СО	County complex	72
Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building	NY	County complex	264
Metro West Detention Center	FL	County jail	96
Metropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles	CA	Federal detention/jail	50
Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility	WI	County complex	326
Minnesota Correctional Facility—Shakopee	MN	State prison	238
Monmouth County Correction Institution	NJ	County jail	258
Montgomery County Detention Center	MD	County jail	222
Montgomery County Detention Center	MD	County jail	224
Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	DE	State prison	82
Nacogdoches County Law Enforcement Center	TX	County complex	308
Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar	CA	Regional jail (military)	52
Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center	MA	County jail	228
Northeast Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	126
Northwest Ohio Regional Jail	OH	Regional iail	278
Northwest Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	128
Orleans Parish Prison	LA	County and city jail	208
Oxbow Jail Facility	UT	County jail	312

Facility name	State	Facility type	Page
Palm Beach County Stockade	FL	County jail	98
Patuxent Institution	MD	State prison	226
Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	PA	City jail	292
Phillips Correctional Institute—Boot Camp	GA	State prison	130
Pinellas County Jail	FL	County jail	100
Pueblo County Detention Facility—Work Release	CO	County jail	74
Racine Correctional Institution	WI	State prison	328
Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	IL	County complex	164
Robinson Correctional Center	IL	State prison	166
Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	132
Rogers Correctional Institute	GA	State prison	134
Rusk County Sheriff's Department	ΤX	County complex	310
Saguache County Jail	CO	County jail	76
Santa Clara County Main Jail	CA	County complex	54
Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center	NY	County complex	266
Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility	KS	County iail	190
Sedgwick County Work Release	KS	County jail	192
Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility	M	County jail	234
Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility	KS	County jail	194
Sherburne County Iail	MN	County complex	240
South County Detention Facility	CA	County and city iail	56
Southeast Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	136
St Bernard Jail Complex	τ A	County iail	210
Stanielaus County Bublic Safety Center	CA	County jai	58
Stanton County Law Enforcement Center	KC KC	County jan	106
State Correctional Institution at Waymart	DA	State prison	204
State Concellonal Institution at Wayman		Country joil	220
Superior County Jan	Ψ¥ J TL	State prison	169
Talfair Connectional Center Talfair Connectional Institute - Deet Comm		State prison	100
Tenan Contectional Insulate-Boot Camp	0A NI	County complex	100
Tippedanoe County Law Enforcement Center		State misen	140
	UA TA	State prison	140
I ulane Avenue Faculty	LA	County and city jail	212
U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta	UA AD	Federal prison	142
Varner Unit	AK	State prison	30
Wake County Public Safety Center	NC	County complex	2/2
Ware Correctional Institute	GA	State prison	144
Warren Correctional Institution	OH	State prison	280
Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp	GA	State prison	146
Washington Correctional Institution	GA	State prison	148
West Central Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	150
West Valley Detention Center	CA	County jail	60
Western Illinois Correctional Center	IL	State prison	170
Western Missouri Correctional Center	MO	State prison	250
Western Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	152
Will County Adult Detention Facility	IL	County jail	172
Women's Probation Detention Center	GA	State prison	154
Wyandotte County Detention Center	KS	County complex	198
York County Prison	PA	County jail	296

# Section 3

## Facility Profiles

### Varner Unit

Responsible official: Director, Arkansas Department of Corrections

Contact: Dale Reed, Warden, Varner Unit, Highway 65 South and Highway 388, P.O. Box 600, Grady, AR 71644–0600, 501–479–3311 Architect: Wittenberg, Delany, and Davidson, Savers Federal Building, Little Rock, AR 72201, 501–376–6681 Construction Manager: N/A Facility type: State prison

Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility), expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure, ladder, telephone pole Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1985 Finish date: March 1987 Construction time: 18 months

### Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$5,405,400 Housing per inmate: \$4,504 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$4,082,438

### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame, loadbearing masonry Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Unknown Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 117,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 117,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 83,160 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 96 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: Unknown

Dimensions

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 1,200 Special population Single occupancy: 1 Double occupancy: 18 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,219 Current population: Unknown

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 24 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 57 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Use of barrack areas **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight; 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State funds Use of inmate labor: Moderate; constructed 50% of housing areas Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 43% Minimum: 57%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 29 Security: 153 Programs and treatment: 45 Maintenance: 11 Total: 238 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, simple design Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

### Arkansas <sub>Grady</sub>



### Alameda City Police Department and Jail

Responsible official: Captain, Alameda City

Contact: Bruce Edwards, Captain, Alameda City Police Department and Jail, 1555 Oak Street, Alameda, CA 94501, 510–522–1221 Architect: VBN Architects, 501 14th Street, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94612, 510–763–1313 Construction Manager: JW and Sons, 3855 Cypress Drive, Suite A, Petaluma, CA 94954, 707–763–6114

Facility type: City jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: City Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: N/A

### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1990 Finish date: November 1991 Construction time: 18 months

### Costs

Total: \$1,800,000 Building only: \$1,800,000

Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$800,000 Housing per inmate: \$30,769 Housing per cell: \$61,538 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$64.16 Total per NSF: \$64.16 Total annual operating costs: \$509,644

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable

### Dimensions

Total GSF: 28,056 Total NSF: 28,056 Corrections GSF: 3,900 Corrections NSF: 3,900 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: 3,496 GSF per inmate (design): 150 GSF per inmate (design): 150 NSF per inmate (design): 150 NSF per inmate (current): 433 Size of cells: 58.5 (single and double), 212.5 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 100%

### Inmate design capacity

#### General population

Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 8 Dorms: 4 Special population Single occupancy: 1 Double occupancy: 2 Dorms: 7 Total design: 26 Current population: January 1993: 9

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls and interior of building Cells per unit: 13 Inmates per unit (design): 26 Inmates per unit (current): 9 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: General city fund Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Gas heat, air-conditioning Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 50% Medium: 42% Minimum: 8%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 9 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 10 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

## California Alameda



### Alameda County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Alameda County

Contact: Steven A. Krahnke, Captain, Alameda County Jail, 5325 Broder Boulevard, Dublin, CA 94568, 510–551–6500 Architect: VBN Architects, 501 14th Street, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94612, 510–763–1313 Construction Manager: Turner Construction, 353 Sacramento Street, 12th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111, 415–391–1310

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of Jand: 105 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: March 1986 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 40 months

### Costs

Total: \$126,800,000 Building only: \$111,200,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$70,300,000 Housing per inmate: \$23,155 Housing per cell: \$64,142 Total per inmate: \$41,765 Total per GSF: \$139 Total per NSF: \$139 Total annual operating costs: \$51,780,000

### Construction type

- Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, cast-in-place concrete frame, precast concrete frame
- Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete
- Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Exterior insulation system
- Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable

### Dimensions

Total GSF: 915,200 Total NSF: 915,200 Corrections GSF: 915,200 Corrections NSF: 915,200 Housing area GSF: 613,200 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 301 GSF per inmate (current): 381 NSF per inmate (design): 301 NSF per inmate (current): 381 Size of cells: 80 (single and double), 728 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 100%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 450 Double occupancy: 900 Dorms: 1,536 Special population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 100 Dorms: 0 Total design: 3,036 Current population: December 1991: 2,400

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 100 Inmates per unit (design): 163 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: State general obligation bonds, general county fund Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast walls

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/vinyl, carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air, air-conditioning Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 44% Medium: 23% Minimum: 33%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 125 Security: 312 Programs and treatment: 93 Maintenance: 110 Total: 640 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.8:1 Contracted services: Medical, food, maintenance

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition

Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials, coordination of design
- Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Alameda County

;



### **Butte County Minimum Security Facility**

Responsible official: Sheriff-Coroner, Butte County

Contact: Daniel Gaeeng, Jail Commander, Butte County Minimum Security Facility, 31 County Center Drive, Oroville, CA 95965, 916–538–7447

Dimensions

Architect: Unknown Construction Manager: Unknown

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 2 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: February 1986 Construction time: Unknown

### Costs

Total: \$1,770,757 Building only: \$1,339,738 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$18,445 Total per GSF: \$224.15 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$820,696

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Stucco Interior walls: Drywall Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 7,900 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 7,900 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 7,900 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 82 GSF per inmate (current): 82 NSF per inmate (current): 82 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 96 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 96 Current population: December 1991: 96

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): 96 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Alternative sanctions **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight; 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: Cost shared by multiple local government jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Unknown

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Unknown Type(s)of doors: Unknown Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, heat pumps Plumbing: China Furniture: Vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for dorms Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, double fence, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level

Maximum: 50% Medium: 25% Minimum: 25%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 10 Programs and treatment: 5 Maintenance: 2 Total: 19 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5:1 Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, good competition Negative: High labor costs, government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, coordination of design Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Butte County

### (No floorplan available at time of publication)

### ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center

Responsible official: Deputy Director, California Department of Corrections

Contact: Ruth Bluitt, Facility Director, ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center, 2800 Apricot Street, Live Oak, CA 95953, 916–695–1846 Architect: MZA, 594 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, 415–957–0909 Construction Manager: MZA, 594 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, 415–957–0909

Facility type: State prison, privately operated Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building), expansion Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 10 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1991 Finish date: March 1992 Construction time: 11 months

### Costs

Total: \$1,650,000 Building only: \$1,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$1,250,000 Housing per inmate: \$10,417 Housing per cell: N/A Total per inmate: \$13,750 Total per GSF: \$35.11 Total per NSF: \$41.25 Total annual operating costs: \$1,489,800

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable Total GSF: 47,000 Total NSF: 40,000 Corrections GSF: 47,000 Corrections NSF: 40,000 Housing area GSF: 14,400 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 392 GSF per inmate (current): 470 NSF per inmate (design): 333 NSF per inmate (current): 400 Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 85%

Dimensions

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 120 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 120 Current population: June 1992: 100

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 60 Inmates per unit (current): 60 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Rent from a private owner Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; maintenance building

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): N/A Type(s) of doors: N/A Type(s) of locks: N/A Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 25% Minimum: 75%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 20 Programs and treatment: 5 Maintenance: 6 Total: 37 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.7:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, government procedures and regulations

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, government procedures and regulations

Live Oak



### Federal Detention Center—Dublin

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Loy Hayes, Federal Correctional Institution—Pleasanton, 5765 Eighth Street, Camp Parks, Dublin, CA 94568, 510–833–7500 Architect: Unknown Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: Federal detention Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Premial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 1 acre

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1987 Finish date: June 1989 Construction time: 9 months

### Costs

Total: \$7,838,100 Building only: \$6,418,500 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$5,721,600 Housing per inmate: \$28,608 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$39,990 Total per GSF: \$248 Total per NSF: \$213 Total annual operating costs: \$4,076,800

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, perimeter detection/alarm, lighting protection, inmate intercoms, card access, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 31,500 Total NSF: 27,950 Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 28,200 Housing area NSF: 24,250 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 88 (single) Net/gross square feet: 89%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 200 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 36 Dorms: 0 Total design: 236 Current population: May 1993: 196

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 50 Inmates per unit (design): 100 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cell, multipurpose areas **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bid build Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells, one-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas Plumbing: China, stainless Furniture: Steel, wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm station Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), perimeter patrols, video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 3% Medium: 97% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 16 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 0 Total: 20 Current inmate/staff ratio: 6.3:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive materials and hardware

Negative: Difficult site conditions, contractor performance

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods

Negative: Slow responses and deliveries from vendors and suppliers, contractor's methods of construction

Dublin



NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

### Lerdo Pre-Trial

Responsible official: Sheriff, Kern County

Contact: Danny Harris, Commander, Lerdo Pre-Trial, 17695 Industrial Farm Road, Bakersfield, CA 93308–9770, 805–861–7901 Architect: N/A Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: N/A

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: February 1993 Construction time: Unknown

### Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

- Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, CMU block
- Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels

Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 1,104 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,104 Current population: April 1993: 950

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 192 Inmates per unit (current): 192 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: N/A Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Limited; welding assistance Use of prefabrication: Limited; furniture

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 14 Security: 107 Programs and treatment: 33 Maintenance: 1 Total: 155 Current inmate/staff ratio: 6.1:1 Contracted services: Commissary

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods

Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Kern County

### (No floorplan available at time of publication)

Ś

### Metropolitan Detention Center-Los Angeles

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Wayne H. Seifert, Warden, Metropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles, 535 North Alameda Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012–1500, 213–485–0439 Architect: Ellerbe Becket, Inc., 2501 Colorado Avenue, Third Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90404–3585, 310–829–4000 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal detention Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 1.2 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1986 Finish date: December 1988 Construction time: 23 months

#### Costs

Total: \$40,000,000 Building only: \$35,500,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$23,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$57,843 Housing per cell: \$57,843 Total per inmate: \$68,027 Total per GSF: \$146.92 Total per NSF: \$166.94 Total annual operating costs: \$18,989,681

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, granite-clad precast

Interior walls: CMU block, reinforced security drywall Exterior surface or facade: Textured and

colored concrete

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 272,258 Total NSF: 239,600 Corrections GSF: 272,258 Corrections NSF: 239,600 Housing area GSF: 178,500 Housing area NSF: 157,000 GSF per inmate (design): 463 GSF per inmate (current): 267 NSF per inmate (current): 267 NSF per inmate (current): 235 Size of cells: 77 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 88%

### Inmate design capacity

### General population

Single occupancy: 408 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 180 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 588 Current population: November 1992: 1,019

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 136 Inmates per unit (design): 136 Inmates per unit (current): 235 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete panels, metal enclosures, millwork

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior, single fence, perimeter detection/alarm, video camera surveillance, wire enclosed elevated terraces; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 10% Medium: 90%

Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 40 Security: 210 Programs and treatment: 75 Maintenance: 25 Total: 350 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

Los Angeles



32 FEET

وا

٦°

### Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar

Responsible official: Commanding Officer, U.S. Navy

 Contact: Captain J.E. Brown, Commanding Officer, U.S. Navy, Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar, 46141 Miramar Way, Suite 1, San Diego, CA 92145–5499, 619–537–7001
 Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800
 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Regional jail (military) Jurisdiction type: Federal, U.S. Navy disciplinary system Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb, military base Amount of land: 27 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: August 1987 Finish date: October 1989 Construction time: 26 months

Costs

Total: \$20,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$10,100,000 Housing per inmate: \$25,250 Housing per cell: \$25,250 Total per inmate: \$37,923 Total per GSF: \$88.42 Total per NSF: \$137.70 Total annual operating costs: \$4,032,870

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block, metal stud with gypsum board Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, prefab. metal panels Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable, metal detection, watch tower system Total GSF: 190,000 Total NSF: 122,000 Corrections GSF: 190,000 Corrections NSF: 122,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 430 GSF per inmate (current): 864 NSF per inmate (current): 864 NSF per inmate (current): 554 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 64%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 400 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 3 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 40 Total design: 443 Current population: January 1993: 250

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 20 Inmates per unit (design): 20 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered steel frame and prefabricated metal panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 4.5% Medium: 15.5% Minimum: 80

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 54 Security: 60 Programs and treatment: 35 Maintenance: 20 Total: 169 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.5:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier



California San Diego

53

s.

### Santa Clara County Main Jail

Responsible official: Board of Supervisors, Santa Clara County Department of Corrections

Contact: Bob Conroy, Acting Director, Department of Corrections, Santa Clara County Main Jail, 150 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110, 408-299-4005

Architect: Dworsky and Associates, 3530 Wilshire Bloulevard, Suite 1000, Los Angeles, CA 90010–2300, 213–380–9100 Construction Manager: O'Brien Kreitzberg, Bayside Plaza 188 The Embarcadero, San Francisco, CA 94111, 415–777–0188

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: Courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: October 1987 Finish date: October 1989 Construction time: 24 months

Costs

Total: \$54,500,000 Building only: \$51,700,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$20,087,560 Housing per inmate: \$27,899 Housing per cell: \$46,500 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$164.65 Total per NSF: \$205.82 Total annual operating costs: \$29,774,679

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Colored concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 331,000 Total NSF: 264,800 Corrections GSF: 223,144 Corrections NSF: 178,515 Housing area GSF: 132,155 Housing area NSF: 105,600 GSF per inmate (design): 460 GSF per inmate (design): 460 NSF per inmate (design): 368 NSF per inmate (current): 368 Size of cells: 123 (single) Net/gross square feet: 80%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 432 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 224 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 64 Total design: 720 Current population: April 1992: 720

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 48 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild, multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds, cost shared by many local government jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; exterior precast panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 20% Medium: 80% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 343 Programs and treatment: 74 Maintenance: 57 Total: 482 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.5:1 Contracted services: Transportation

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, less expensive materials Negative: Slow construction and lengthy

building time; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; claims-oriented contractor

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; separate bid packages

California Santa Clara County



### South County Detention Facility

Responsible official: Sheriff, San Mateo County

Contact: Don Horsley, Lieutenant, South County Detention Facility, 330 Bradford Street, Redwood City, CA 94063, 415–363–4314 Architect: Patrick Sullivan Associates, 110 Harvard Avenue, Claremont, CA 91711, 909–624–4051 Construction Manager: S.J. Amorroso Construction Company, 348 Hatch Drive, Foster City, CA 94404, 415–349–6691

Dimensions

Facility type: County and city jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land; 1 acre

Time

Groundbreaking: September 1986 Finish date: February 1988 Construction time: 17 months

Costs

Total: \$6,300,000 Building only: \$5,600,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$4,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$25,000 Housing per cell: \$166,667 Total per inmate: \$39,375 Total per GSF: \$143.18 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete, concrete block Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Metal stud, gypsum board, concrete block Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, paging and sound system,

duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring

#### Total GSF: 44,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 44,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 18,432 Housing area NSF: 11,460 GSF per inmate (design): 275 GSF per inmate (design): 275 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 200 (double), 350 (dorm)

### Inmate design capacity

Net/gross square feet: Unknown

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 32 Dorms: 128 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 160 Current population: March 1993: 220

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 8 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: N/A Evening: N/A Midnight: N/A

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds, proposition 52+86 Use of inmate labor: Limited Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Sprinklers for common areas Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 21 Security: 139 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 2 Total: 164 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

San Mateo County

:



NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

### **Stanislaus County Public Safety Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Stanislaus County

Contact: George Lake, Lt. Facility Commander, Stanislaus County Public Safety Center, 200 East Hackett Road, Modesto, CA 95351, 209-558-1054

Architect: SMP/The Design Partnership Joint Venture, 375 Fremont, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94105, 415–777–3737 Construction Manager: O'Brien-Kreitzberg, 200 East Hackell Road, Modesto, CA 95351, 209–541–2900

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of Iand: 100 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1990 Finish date: August 1992 Construction time: 21 months

Costs

Total: \$19,219,000 Building only: \$17,707,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$16,831,000 Housing per inmate: \$75,138 Housing per cell: \$75,138 Total per inmate: \$65,371 Total per GSF: \$194.13 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$2,300,000

### Construction type

Structural: Concrete block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block, plaster Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 99,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 99,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 337 GSF per inmate (current): 544 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 224 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 70 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 294 Current population: March 1993: 182

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 56 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 56 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Federal court imposed cap **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds, local general fund Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Hot/chilled HVAC system Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 24% Medium: 76% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 38 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 2 Total: 41 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.4:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

Stanislaus County



### West Valley Detention Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, San Bernadino County

Contact: Ronald W. Bieberdorf, Captain, West Valley Detention Center, 9500 Etiwanda Avenue, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91739, 714-463-5010

Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: Heery-Vanir, 660 J Street, Suite 285, Sacramento, CA 95814, 916-448–8474

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Prenial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility), phased project (future) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 55 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: January 1989 Finish date: July 1991 Construction time: 31 months

Costs

Total: \$96,340,000 Building only: \$88,443,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$59,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$27,289 Housing per cell: \$50,253 Total per inmate: \$42,033 Total per GSF: \$132.70 Total per NSF: \$218.00 Total annual operating costs: \$27,180,000

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block, E.I.S.

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/ cable, watch tower system, metal detection Dimensions Total GSF: 726,000 Total NSF: 442,000 Corrections GSF: 726,000 Corrections NSF: 442,000 Housing area GSF: 705,000 Housing area GSF: 705,000 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 317 GSF per inmate (design): 317 GSF per inmate (current): 323 NSF per inmate (design): 193 NSF per inmate (current): 196 Size of cells: 82 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 61%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 400 Double occupancy: 1,400 Dorms: 384 Special population Single occupancy: 42 Double occupancy: 66 Dorms: 0 Total design: 2,292 Current population: February 1993: 2.251

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 74 Inmates per unit (design): 144 Inmates per unit (current): 144 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, mattresses on floor, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging to all areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air, ice storage system Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 18% Medium: 64% Minimum: 18%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 290 Programs and treatment: 142 Maintenance: 15 Total: 455 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track)

Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems
# California

San Bernadino County



# **Alamosa County Detention Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Alamosa County

Contact: Captain John Bianca, Jail Administrator, Alamosa County Detention Center, 1315 17th Street, Alamosa, CO 81101, 719–589–6600 Arabitate E. Capras Wump, Ambitast, 52400 County Boad, "T?" Samuele, CO 81140

Dimensions

Architect: E. George Wynn-Architect, 52499 County Road, "T", Saguache, CO 81149 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Rural Amount of land; 3 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: May 1985 Finish date: September 1986 Construction time: 16 months

Costs

Total: \$2,900,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$1,270,290 Housing per inmate: \$31,757 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$124.49 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$428,724

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, steel stud, drywall Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, natural wall, fluted concrete block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, AM–FM broadcast radio, UHF television

## Total GSF: 23,295 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 12,098 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 12,098 Housing area SSF: 11,579 GSF per inmate (design): 252 GSF per inmate (current): 605 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single), 140 (double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 14 Double occupancy: 14 Dorms: 12 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 4 Dorms: 0 Total design: 48 Current population: December 1991: 20

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 25 Inmates per unit (design): 44 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: Local general obligation bonds, fixed financing rate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking, solenoid driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, solar heat, gas heat Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 35.3% Medium: 31.4% Minimum: 33.3%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 16 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 2 Total: 19 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1:1 Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, continuous participation by design architect Negative: Prefabricated "specialized detention" equipment Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials, coordination of design, continuous architectural supervision in the field Negative: Slow response or delivery from

vendor/supplier



# **Arapahoe County Justice Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Arapahoe County

Contact: Frank W. Henn, Captain, Arapahoe County Justice Center, 7375 South Potomac Street, Englewood, CO 80112-4030, 303-649-0960

Architect: Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall, 410 17th Street, Suite 300, Denver, CO 80202, 303–842–1300 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 216 16th Street Mall, Suite 1700, Denver, CO 80202, 303–820–5200

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: Courts Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 42 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: December 1984 Finish date: January 1987 Construction time: 25 months

## Costs

Total: \$31,589,293 Building only: \$28,914,933 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$15,857,350 Housing per inmate: \$24,063 Housing per cell: \$39,251 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$87.75 Total per NSF: \$100.28 Total annual operating costs: \$12,449,767

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom Total GSF: 360,000 Total NSF: 315,000 Corrections GSF: 208,000 Corrections NSF: 182,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 287 GSF per inmate (current): 265 NSF per inmate (design): 251 NSF per inmate (current): 232 Size of cells: 74 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 88%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 49 Double occupancy: 610 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 35 Double occupancy: 30 Dorms: 0 Total design: 724 Current population: March 1993: 786

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 16 Inmates per unit (design): 16 Inmates per unit (current): 16 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached to wall **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast roof and floor tees

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Other energy recycle unit, hot water Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit and special security

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 4 Security: 166 Programs and treatment: 10 Maintenance: 63 Total: 242 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.2:1 Contracted services: Medical, food, library, commissary

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A

control panels

Colorado Arapahoe County



# **Boulder County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Boulder County

Contact: Charles Pringle, Captain, Boulder County Jail, 3200 Airport Road, Boulder, CO 80302, 303–441–3634 Architect: Lescher & Mahoney, 102 North Cascade, Suite 300, Colorado Springs, CO 80903, 719–634–1842 Construction Manager: G.E. Johnson, P.O. Box 2139, Colorado Springs, CO 80901, 719–473–5320

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 15 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: August 1986 Finish date: August 1988 Construction time: 18 months

### Costs

Total: \$14,250,000 Building only: \$10,639,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$4,250,000 Housing per inmate: \$19,585 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$45,820 Total per GSF: \$137.02 Total per NSF: \$190.97 Total annual operating costs: \$3,803,342

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, precast concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, drywall, glass Exterior surface or facade: Colored concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 104,000 Total NSF: 74,620 Corrections GSF: 104,000 Corrections NSF: 74,620 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 334 GSF per inmate (current): 374 NSF per inmate (design): 240 NSF per inmate (current): 268 Size of cells: 76 (single and double), 1,390 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 72%

#### Inmate design capacity

#### General population

Single occupancy: 136 Double occupancy: 48 Dorms: 34 Special population

Single occupancy: 31 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 62 Total design: 311 Current population: February 1993: 278

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 48 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: CM/GC with guaranteed maximum price Finance method: Lease-purchase Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited

# **Inmate cells**

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Gas heat, air-conditioning Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel, wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 28% Medium: 30% Minimum: 42%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 81 Programs and treatment: 22 Maintenance: 2 Total: 111 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.5:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods

Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, advanced order of materials, coordination of design Negative: Weather problems, client changes

Colorado Boulder County



# **Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center**

Responsible official: Executive Director, Colorado Department of Corrections

Contact: Donice Neal, Warden, Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center, 10900 East Smith Road, Denver, CO 80239, 303–375–2000 Architect: Hoover, Berg, Desmond, 1645 Grant Street, Denver, CO 80202, 303–837–8811 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 216 16th Street Mall, Suite 1700, Denver, CO 80202, 303–820–5200

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 67 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: February 1989 Finish date: February 1991 Construction time: 23 months

## Costs

Total: \$36,000,000 Building only: \$32,300,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$18,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$47,368 Housing per cell: \$53,571 Total per inmate: \$77,586 Total per GSF: \$131.62 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$12,193,978

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, precast concrete frame, loadbearing structural masonry Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable, facility management system

## Dimensions

Total GSF: 273,523 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 273,523 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 589 GSF per inmate (current): 622 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 72 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

## **General population**

Single occupancy: 292 Double occupancy: 88 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 52 Double occupancy: 32 Dorms: 0 Total design: 464 Current population: March 1992: 440

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Proceeds from State lotto Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast wall panels and floor

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete, colored concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Hot water Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

# Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, video camera surveillance; fence shaker; costs excluded **Inmate security level** 

Maximum: 13% Medium: 87% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 20 Security: 134 Programs and treatment: 80 Maintenance: 26 Total: 260 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.7:1 Contracted services: Food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems



-

.

Colorado

. . . • •

. •

# **Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Huerfano County

Contact: Harold Martinez, Sheriff, Huerfano County Law Enforcement Center, 111 West Fifth Street, Walsenburg, CO 81089, 719–738–1600 Architect: E. George Wynn-Architect, 52499 County Road "T," Saguache, CO 81149, 719–655–2247

Dimensions

Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 1 acre

# Time

Groundbreaking: August 1988 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 13 months

# Costs

Total: \$1,780,000 Building only: \$1,780,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$1,334,575 Housing per inmate: \$38,131 Housing per cell: \$70,241 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$108.66 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$297,826

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing CMU block

Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, metal stud/drywall/plaster

- Exterior surface or facade: CMU block (textured)
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, AM–FM radio-network, TV radio dispatch-sheriff's department

Total GSF: 16,381 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 11,606 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 9,166 Housing area NSF: 5,674 GSF per inmate (design): 431 GSF per inmate (current): 712 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 79.1 (single), 104 (double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 3 Double occupancy: 32 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 2 Dorms: 0 Total design: 39 Current population: January 1993: 23

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Circular, cells on outside wall Cells per unit: 16 Inmates per unit (design): 32 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm, medical and judicial duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat, forced air, prewash, air handling, recirculating and fresh air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, manual alarm stations, duct-fire and smoke detectors Windows: Slit

Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level

Maximum: 19% Medium: 25% Minimum: 25% Special handling and female: 31%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 10 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 11 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.1:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Colorado Huerfano County



# **Mesa County Detention Facility**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Mesa County

Contact: Dennis Berry, Administrator of Detention, Mesa County Detention Facility, 215 Rice Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, 303-244-3352

Architect: H.D.R., Inc., 12700 Hillcrest Road, Dallas, TX 75230–2096, 214–960–4000 Construction Manager: G.E. Johnson Construction, Box 2139, Colorado Springs, CO 80901, 719–473–5321

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 10 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: September 1990 Finish date: June 1992 Construction time: 21 months

#### Costs

Total: \$15,700,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$132.44 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$2,527,471

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, precast concrete frame and cells Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Exposed aggregate/tinted

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels Total GSF: 118,543 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 93,438 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 44,522 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 487 GSF per inmate (current): 754 NSF per inmate (current): T54 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 164 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 28 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 192 Current population: January 1992: 124

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): 96 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Alternative sentencing **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Lease-purchase Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; precast concrete panels, plumbing stacks, air handling equipment

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Manual locking, motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic, concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 8%

Medium: 16% Minimum: 76%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 47 Programs and treatment: 11 Maintenance: 1 Total: 68 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.8:1 Contracted services: Medical, dietician

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Phased construction, fast track management

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Phased construction, fast track management, contaminated soil

Colorado Mesa County

.`



# Pueblo County Detention Facility-Work Release

Responsible official: Sheriff, Pueblo County

Contact: Warren Carere, Facility Administrator, Pueblo County Detention Facility—Work Release, 909 Court Street, Pueblo, CO 81003, 719-546-6135

Architect: Hurtig, Gardner & Froilich Architects, Inc., 429 West 10th Street, Pueblo, CO 81003 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, work release Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced, work release Category: Expansion Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: N/A

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1990 Finish date: December 1990 Construction time: 4 months

#### Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$234,000 Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, door control/monitoring, card access Total GSF: 2,600 Total NSF: 2,560 Corrections GSF: 2,600 Corrections NSF: 2,560 Housing area GSF: 2,600 Housing area NSF: 2,560 GSF per inmate (design): 93 GSF per inmate (design): 93 GSF per inmate (design): 91 NSF per inmate (current): 122 Size of cells: 2,400 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 98.5%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 28 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 28 Current population: December 1991: 21

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 28 Inmates per unit (current): 21 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 100 Programs and treatment: 12 Maintenance: 6 Total: 121 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

# Colorado Pueblo County



NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Responsible official: Sheriff, Saguache County

Contact: Ronald Franz, Jail Administrator, Saguache County Jail, 530 Fifth Street, Saguache, CO 81149–0265, 719–655–2544 Architect: Larry Zimmer, 530 Fifth Street, Saguache, CO 81149, 719–655–2544 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced, INS Category: Remodel/nenovation, expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural

Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: July 1988 Construction time: Unknown

### Costs

Total: \$8,000 Building only: \$6,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$1,000 Total per GSF: \$10 Total per NSF: \$10 Total annual operating costs: \$107,778

### Construction type

Structural: Unknown Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Unknown

## Dimensions

Total GSF: 800 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 800 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 100 GSF per inmate (current): 100 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 120 (double), 257.5 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 100%

## Inmate design capacity

#### General population

Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 2 Dorms: 6 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 8 Current population: December 1991: 8

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Unknown Finance method: Budgeted with general fund tax money Use of inmate labor: Extensive; physical labor with compensation (good time) Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Unknown Type(s)of doors: Unknown Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Unknown Plumbing: Unknown Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells Windows: Security screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: Unknown Medium: Unknown Minimum: Unknown

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 3 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 4 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2:1 Contracted services: Medical, transportation

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Weather problems

Colorado Saguache County

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution

Responsible official: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Corrections

Contact: Ed Arrington, Warden-CRCI, Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution, 264 Bilton Road, Box 775, Somers, CT 06071, 203–566–1657

Architect: Stecker, LaBau, Arneill, McManus Architects, 80 Glastonbury Boulevard, Glastonbury, CT 06033-4400, 203-657-8077 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 60

# Time

Groundbreaking: April 1990 Finish date: February 1992 Construction time: 22 months

## Costs

Total: \$8,452,000 Building only: \$7,398,707 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$28,173 Total per GSF: \$83.17 Total per NSF: \$100.50 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block, brick, metal panel Interior walls: CMU block, GWB Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block, metal panel Electronic technology systems: Lighting

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 101,622 Total NSF: 84,096 Corrections GSF: 101,622 Corrections NSF: 84,096 Housing area GSF: 46,000 Housing area NSF: 34,812 GSF per inmate (design): 339 GSF per inmate (design): 339 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): 280 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 100 (double), 207 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 83%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 12 Dorms: 288 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 300 Current population: July 1993: 300

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile, epoxy Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Tempered units in commercial frame, insulating

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, perimeter patrols, video camera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: 184 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.6:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# Connecticut

Somers

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Garner Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Correction

Contact: Frank Crose, Warden, Garner Correctional Institute, 817 Sandy Hook, CT 06482, 203–270–2800 Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: Turner Construction, P.O. Box 817, Nunnawauk Road, Sandy Hook, CT 06482, 203–270–0325

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: September 1990 Finish date: November 1992 Construction time: 60 months

## Costs

Total: \$39,400,000 Building only: \$37,200,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$19,500,000 Housing per inmate: \$28,098 Housing per cell: \$48,030 Total per inmate: \$51,436 Total per GSF: \$164.17 Total per NSF: \$246.25 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing CMU block

Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block, metal stud with

- gypsum board.
- Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/ cable, watch tower system, metal detection

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 240,000 Total NSF: 160,000 Corrections GSF: 240,000 Corrections NSF: 160,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 313 GSF per inmate (design): 313 GSF per inmate (current): 462 NSF per inmate (design): 209 NSF per inmate (current): 308 Size of cells: 80 (single and double), 300 (holding) Net/gross square feet: 67%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 118 Double occupancy: 576 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 32 Double occupancy: 40 Dorms: 0 Total design: 766 Current population: April, 1993: 520

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air, heat recovery chiller for domestic water preheating Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas. manual alarm stations Windows: Slit.

## Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 17% Medium: 69% Minimum: 14%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: N/A Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track);

coordination of design Negative: Weather problems



Ξ.

# **Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility**

Responsible official: Director, Delaware Department of Corrections

Contact: Elizabeth Neal, Warden II, Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility, 1301 East 12th Street, Box 9279, Wilmington, DE 19809, 302-429-7747

Architect: Vitetta Group, 642 North Broad Street, The Wallace Building, Philadelphia, PA 19130, 215–235–3500 Construction Manager: EDIS/CRSS, 1530 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22209, 703–528–1300

Facility type: State prison Juristliction type: State Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Renovation, expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 8 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: December 1990 Finish date: October 1992 Construction time: 22 months

# Costs

Total: \$30,000,000 Building only: \$26,200,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$19,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$39,583 Housing per cell: \$79,167 Total per inmate: \$62,500 Total per GSF: \$150 Total per NSF: \$188.00 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place and precast cell, wail and floor panels Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, glass block, metal panel Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Precast concrete, CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire

paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 200,000 Total NSF: 160,000 Corrections GSF: 200,000 Corrections NSF: 160,000 Housing area GSF: 100,000 Housing area NSF: 72,000 GSF per inmate (design): 417 GSF per inmate (current): 858 NSF per inmate (design): 333 NSF per inmate (current): 687 Size of cells: 73 (double) Net/gross square feet: 80%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 480 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 480 Current population: February 1993: 938

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 30 Inmates per unit (design): 60 Inmates per unit (current): 60 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

# **Construction process**

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Limited; precast components, furniture Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Epoxy Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, plastic, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 15 Security: 257 Programs and treatment: 5 Maintenance: 6 Total: 278 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: Medical, substance abuse treatment, special programs

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials Negative: N/A

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Delaware

Wilmington





# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **Brevard County Detention Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Brevard County

Contact: Commander Harry Sands, Jail Administrator, Brevard County Detention Center, P.O. Box 800, Sharpes, FL 32959-0800, 407-690-1503

Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 55 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: March 1985 Finish date: March 1987 Construction time: 24 months

### Costs

Total: \$18,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$8,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$20,408 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$45,918 Total per GSF: \$88.24 Total per NSF: \$141.73 Total annual operating costs: \$10,331,215

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: EIFS Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable, metal detection, watch tower system

## Total GSF: 204,000 Total NSF: 127,000 Corrections GSF: 204,000 Corrections NSF: 127,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 520 GSF per inmate (current): 315 NSF per inmate (design): 324 NSF per inmate (current): 196 Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 62%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 392 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 392 Current population: December 1991: 647

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter detection/alarm; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 17% Medium: 83% Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: 199 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.3:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

# Florida

Brevard County

.



.

# **Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility**

Responsible official: Director, Corrections Division, Orange County

Contact: Richard Anderson, Facility Manager, Genesis Unit 220 Bed Correctional Facility, P.O. Box 4970, Orlando, FL 32802, 407-836-3289

Architect: Hansen Lind Meyer, 800 North Magnolia Avenue, #1100, Orlando, FL 32803, 407–422–7061 Construction Manager: Jack Jennings and Sons, 1030 Wilfred Drive, Orlando, FL, 32803, 407–896–8181

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Prenial Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 3 acres

Time

Groundbreaking: October 1987 Finish date: June 1988 Construction time: 8 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,999,000 Building only: \$2,906,985 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$11,715 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,925,693

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete frame

Exterior walls: CMU block, reinforced and grouted

Interior walls: CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 42,014 Housing area NSF: 36,255 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Nst per inmate (current): Unknown Nst per inmate (current): Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: January 1993: 215

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 55 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Construction manager with GMP Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: N/A HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

## Full-time equivalent

Administration: 2 Security: 43 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 45 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.8:1 Contracted services: N/A

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); compactness of the building footprint Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: N/A

Florida Orange County



# Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Hillsborough County

Contact: David M. Parrish, Colonel, Department Commander, Hillsborough County Jail Central, 1201 Orient Road, Tampa, FL 33619, 813-247-8310

Architect: Ranon & Partners, Inc., Architects, 511 Bay Street, Tampa, FL 33606, 813–253–3465 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Wheel, spoke, or radial Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 33 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: November 1987 Finish date: June 1990 Construction time: 30 months

Costs

Total: \$62,900,000 Building only: \$61,000,000 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$36,400 Total per GSF: \$98.90 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$25,679,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 636,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 636,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 386,000 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 368 GSF per inmate (current): 407 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 1,330 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 174 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,504 Current population: April 1992: 1,542

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 192 Inmates per unit (design): 192 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 7 Evening: 7 Midnight: 7

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: Local general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None

Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast cell chases

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, hollow steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile, rubber tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air, ice thermal storage system Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Horizontal slit and special security

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: Unknown Medium: Unknown Minimum: Unknown

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 120 Security: 350 Programs and treatment: 65 Maintenance: 40 Total: 575 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; limited staging area Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Florida Hillsborough County

.



# James I. Montgomery Correctional Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Duval County

Contact: Thomas H. Bobbitt, Chief, James I. Montgomery Correctional Center, 4727 Lannie Road, Jacksonville, FL 32218, 904–766–5010 Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., 2502 Rocky Point Road, Suite 100, Tampa, FL 32202, 813–281–0533 Construction Manager: The Haskell Company, P.O. Box 44100, Jacksonville, FL 32231, 904–791–4500

Pacility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County, city Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 1,000 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 4 months

# Costs

Total: \$4,300,000 Building only: \$4,042,000 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$2,910,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,736 Housing per cell: \$363,750 Total per inmate: \$9,773 Total per GSF: \$78.77 Total per NSF: \$98.47 Total annual operating costs: \$9,297,300

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete cells Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 54,586 Total NSF: 43,670 Corrections GSF: 54,586 Corrections NSF: 43,670 Housing area GSF: 40,964 Housing area NSF: 35,416 GSF per inmate (design): 124 GSF per inmate (current): 280 NSF per inmate (design): 99 NSF per inmate (current): 224 Size of cells: 4,345 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 80%

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 432 Special population Single occupancy: 8 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 440 Current population: December 1991: 195

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): 216 Inmates per unit (current): 25 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Tax-exempt loan Use of inmate labor: Limited; general cleanup Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast units

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 24 Security: 105 Programs and treatment: 9 Maintenance: 5 Total: 147 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.3:1 Contracted services: Food

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A

Florida Duval County



# John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility

Responsible official: Sheriff, Duval County

Contact: Michael A. Berg, Deputy Director, John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility, 500 East Adams Street, Jacksonville, FL 32202-1680, 904-630-2120

Architect: Sverdrup Corporation, 1650 Prudential Drive, Suite 200, Jacksonville, FL 32207, 904–399–8300 Construction Manager: Barton-Malow/Tompkins-Beckwith, 2055 Wood Street, Suite104, Sarasota, FL 34237, 813–957–3770

Dimensions

Facility type: County and city jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Highrise, two towers connected by a central core Complex use: Courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 4 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1988 Finish date: April 1991 Construction time: 34 months

### Costs

Total: \$62,700,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$99.68 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$34,500,000

# Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame, precast concrete frame and cells

- Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, coated insulated wall system
- Interior walls: Precast panels; cast-in-place concrete; CMU block; precast modular cell components, columns, beams, and hollowcore planks
- Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, natural wall, textured and colored concrete, CMU block
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control and monitoring, card access, custom control panels, pneumatic control system

Total GSF: 629,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 480,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 453,000 Housing area NSF: 331,000 GSF per inmate (design): 209 GSF per inmate (current): 291 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 90 (double), 7,055 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 1,808 Dorms: 66 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 121 Dorms: 6 Total design: 2,297 Current population: July 1992: 1,652

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls; modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 5 Midnight: 4

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages Finance method: Local general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; precast columns and beams, hollow-core floor slab, concrete cell and mechanical chase modules

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel, glass Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Includes air-conditioning, heating and air circulation, forced air, and coil airhandling units Plumbing: Stainless steel, china, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic, concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Security and screens

Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance Inmate security level Maximum: 16% Medium: 64% Minimum: 10% Holding and first appearance housing: 10%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 100 Security: 323 Programs and treatment: 22 Maintenance: 4 Total: 449 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.7:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Includes prefabricated components, phased construction Negative: High labor costs, difficult site conditions, gov. procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, phased construction, coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, gov. procedures and regulations

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction





Florida Duval County

• •

1

# **Martin Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections

Contact: Richard Kirkland, Superintendent, Martin Correctional Institution, 1150 SW., Allapttah Road, Indiantown, FL 34956–4397, 407–597–3705

Architect: Rosser White Hobbs Davidson McClellan Kelly Inc., P.O. Box 2343, Savannah, GA 31402, 706–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 330 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: June 1985 Construction time: Unknown

### Costs

Total: \$23,601,642 Building only: \$22,916,012 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$22,916,012 Housing per inmate: \$51,152 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$39,600 Total per GSF: \$89.05 Total per NSF: \$90.25 Total annual operating costs: \$18,497,819

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, stucco Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 265,028 Total NSF: 261,521 Corrections GSF: 265,028 Corrections NSF: 261,521 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 445 GSF per inmate (current): 241 NSF per inmate (design): 439 NSF per inmate (current): 238 Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square fect: 99%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 448 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 148 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 596 Current population: February 1993: 1,099

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 112 Inmates per unit (design): 112 Inmates per unit (current): 224 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: State general revenue Use of inmate labor: Limited; general labor helpers Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Manual locking, motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, heating/air circulation, solar heat, steam heat, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel, china, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas

Windows: Special security and screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), motion detectors on roof; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Food

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# Florida Indiantown



# **Metro West Detention Center**

Responsible official: Director, Dade County Department of Corrections

Contact: Guy Evans, Captain, Facility Supervisor, Metro West Detention Center, 13850 NW. 41st Street, Miami, FL 33192, 305–597–2620 Architect: Eddie Frances, A.I.A., 1385 Coral Way, Suite 204, Miami, FL 33145, 305–854–4070 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 10 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: April 1991 Finish date: January 1992 Construction time: 8 months

## Costs

Total: \$15,200,000 Building only: \$15,200,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$15,190,520 Housing per inmate: \$15,823 Housing per cell: N/A Total per inmate: 15,139 Total per GSF: 85.88 Total per NSF: 122.68 Total annual operating costs: \$41,650,000

## Construction type

- Structural: Precast concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU
- block
- Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, CMU block
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable, touch screen integrated security system

## Total GSF: 177,000 Total NSF: 123,900 Corrections GSF: 177,000 Corrections NSF: 123,900 Housing area GSF: 116,820 Housing area NSF: 123,900 GSF per inmate (design): 176 GSF per inmate (current): 186 NSF per inmate (design): 123 NSF per inmate (current): 130

Size of cells: 4,500 (dorm)

Net/gross square feet: 70%

Dimensions

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 960 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 42 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,004 Current population: June 1992: 950

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 60 Inmates per unit (current): 60 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile, quarry tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Other energy recycle unit, electrical Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas Windows: Slit, special security, standard

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/ alarm, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 4% Medium: 96% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 245 Programs and treatment: 23 Maintenance: 7 Total: 284 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services: N/A

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: Government procedures/ regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, government procedures/ regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction
Florida

Dade County

gery als southers and the data to see the souther of the souther of the souther of the souther of the souther Southers and the southers of the southers of the southers of the southers and the southers and the southers of Southers and the southers and the southers of the southers of the southers and the southers and the southers and

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Palm Beach County Stockade

Responsible official: Sheriff, Palm Beach County

Contact: Major Reynolds, Facility Administrator, Palm Beach County Stockade, 673 West Fairgrounds Road, P.O. Box 85, Loxahatchee, FL 33409, 407-688-4807

Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 3 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: May 1991 Finish date: July 1992 Construction time: 14 months

## Costs

Total: \$8,800,000 Building only: \$8,700,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$3,700,000 Housing per inmate: 14,453 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: 30,450 Total per GSF: 110.00 Total per NSF: 163.00 Total annual operating costs: \$14,987,825

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, modular metal cells, CMU load-bearing walls Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable, metal detection Total GSF: 80,000 Total NSF: 54,000 Corrections GSF: 80,000 Corrections NSF: 54,000 Housing area GSF: 80,000 Housing area SF: 54,000 GSF per inmate (design): 277 GSF per inmate (current): 313 NSF per inmate (current): 313 NSF per inmate (current): 211 Size of cells: 80 (single), 85 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 68%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 16 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 240 Special population Single occupancy: 13 Double occupancy: 20 Dorms: 0 Total design: 289 Current population: January 1993: 256

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod, dormitory Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, watch tower system, metal detectors HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire between fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 6% Medium: 0 Minimum: 94%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials

Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time

# Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials
- Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, government procedures and regulations



Florida Palm Beach County

# **Pinellas County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Bureau of Detention and Correction, Pinellas County

Contact: Major H.B. Wilber, Pinellas County Jail Maximum Security Unit, 14400 49th Street North, Clearwater, FL 34622, 813–530–6415 Architect: Watson and Company (out of business) Construction Manager: Eaderal Construction Company, 1355 Spell Jele Boulevard NE, St. Petersburg, FL 33704, 813, 821, 8000

Construction Manager: Federal Construction Company, 1355 Snell Isle Boulevard NE., St. Petersburg, FL 33704, 813-821-8000

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Subarb Amount of land: 48 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: December 1985 Finish date: March 1988 Construction time: 27 months

# Costs

Total: \$12,450,000 Building only: \$12,450,000 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$9,160,000 Housing per inmate: \$23,854 Housing per cell: \$47,708 Total per inmate: \$31,439 Total per GSF: \$104.76 Total per NSF: \$139.68 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame, precast concrete cells Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 118,844 Total NSF: 89,133 Corrections GSF: 118,844 Corrections NSF: 89,133 Housing area GSF: 85,248 Housing area NSF: 59,674 GSF per inmate (design): 309 GSF per inmate (current): 340 NSF per inmate (design): 225 NSF per inmate (current): 255 Size of cells: 94 (double) Net/gross square feet: 75%

Dimensions

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 384 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 12 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 396 Current population: April 1993: 350

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Unknown Cells per unit: 8 Inmates per unit (design): 16 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Beds in dayroom **Total officers per unit** Day: 23 Evening: 20 Midnight: 21

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Ad valorem funds, budget allocation Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: No intercom system HVAC: Steam heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, double fence, razor wire on fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

# Current staff

# Full-time equivalent

Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Medical

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Florida Pinellas County



# **Central Correctional Institute**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Thomas C. Jones, Warden, Central Correctional Institute, 4600 Fulton Mill Road, Macon, GA 31213, 912–471–2909 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 2 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 5 months

# Costs

Total: \$2,000,000 Building only: \$2,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: \$406,250 Total per inmate: \$10,000 Total per GSF: \$88.59 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: June 1992: 178

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 44 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 7 Evening: 6 Midnight: 5

# Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 50 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 1 Total: 62 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: N/A

Georgia Macon



# **Central Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Ross T. Gearinger, Superintendent, Central District Probation Detention Center, Railroad Street, P.O. Box 190, Cadwell, GA 31009, 912–689–4750 Arabitata IDC hep. 2722 North Oals Street, Valdente, CA 21602, 012, 242, 2557

**Dimensions** 

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: August 1990 Construction time: 12 months

# Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: Unknown

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition

Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic,

mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems



# **Clayton County Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Commission Chairman, Clayton County

Contact: Mickey Camp, Warden, Clayton County Correctional Institution, 12010 Hastings Bridge Road, Lovejoy, GA 30250, 706–473–5777 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557

Dimensions

Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 13 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: January 1991 Finish date: March 1992 Construction time: 14 months

# Costs

Total: \$2,300,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,200,000 Housing per inmate: \$21,428 Housing per cell: \$300,000 Total per inmate: \$8,664 Total per GSF: \$67.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,899,500

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 33,500 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 33,500 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 18,700 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 540 GSF per inmate (current): 168 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 1,813 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 246 Special population Single occupancy: 6 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 252 Current population: June 1992: 200

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 40 Programs and treatment: 1 Maintenance: 0 Total: 46 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.3:1 Contracted services: N/A

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A



# **Coastal Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Patrick Donaldson, Warden, Coastal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 7150, Garden City, GA 31048–7150, 912–964–9330 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: August 1989 Construction time: 5 months

# Costs

Total: \$2,030,000 Building only: \$2,000,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: \$406,250 Total per inmate: \$10,150 Total per GSF: \$89.92 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$13,500,000

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

#### Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (current): 113 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: July 1992: 200

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 4 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None

Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire between fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 0 Security: 25 Programs and treatment: 4 Maintenance: 1 Total: 30 Current inmate/staff ratio: 6.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials

(fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: N/A

Georgia Garden City

. . 1

ŝ



# Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Walter Zant, Warden, Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center, P.O. Box 3877, Jackson, GA 30233, 706–775–3161 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 5 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,108,944 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: N/A Total per inmate: \$10,545 Total per GSF: \$93.42 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (current): 113 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: July 1992: 200

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 0 Security: 28 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 0 Total: 34 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.9:1 Contracted services: Medical, nursing and physician assistants only

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: N/A

Georgia Jackson ....



# Georgia Women's Correctional Institute

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Mary Esposita, Warden, Georgia Women's Correctional Institute, P.O. Box 218, Hardwick, GA 31034, 912-453-5218 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912-242-3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure

Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 5 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,030,000 Building only: \$2,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: \$406,250 Total per inmate: \$10,150 Total per GSF: \$89.92 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (current): 31 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0

Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: April 1993: 722

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Fumiture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 202 Programs and treatment: 24 Maintenance: 3 Total: 234 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.1:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials;

coordination of design Negative: N/A

Georgia Hardwick

2

:



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **Glynn County Detention Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Glynn County

Contact: Ronald Corbett, Jail Administrator, Glynn County Detention Center, 1812 Newcastle Street, Brunswick, GA 31520, 912-267-5664

Architect: Hansen Lind Meyer, 800 North Magnolia Avenue, Suite 1100, Orlando, FL 32803, 407-422-7061 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jal Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Preurial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: April 1989 Construction time: 18 months

# Costs

Total: \$9,171,160 Building only: \$8,761,158 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$3,730,000 Housing per inmate: \$13,815 Housing per cell: \$21,686 Total per inmate: \$32,067 Total per GSF: \$106.13 Total per NSF: \$132.66 Total annual operating costs: \$2,332,902

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonary Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels Total GSF: 86,413 Total NSF: 69,133 Corrections GSF: 86,413 Corrections NSF: 69,133 Housing area GSF: 33,017 Housing area NSF: 23,584 GSF per inmate (design): 302 GSF per inmate (current): 384 NSF per inmate (design): 242 NSF per inmate (current): 307 Size of cells: 96 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 80%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 74 Double occupancy: 196 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 16 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 286 Current population: September 1992: 225

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 31 Inmates per unit (design): 64 Inmates per unit (current): 56 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Sliding, motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells, audio sound threshold HVAC: Steam heat Plumbing: Stainless steel, china, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security and fixed

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 15% Medium: 55% Minimum: 30%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 57 Programs and treatment: 1 Maintenance: 1 Total: 67 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Labor problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems



# Hays Correctional Institute—Bootcamp

Responsible official: Commisioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: John Crawford, Unit Manager, Hays Correctional Institute—Bootcamp, P.O. Box 668, Trion, GA 30753, 706–857–7489 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of Iand: 186 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: October 1992 Construction time: 12 months

Costs

#### Total: \$5,000,000

Building only: \$4,700,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$4,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$20,536 Housing per cell: \$1,150,000 Total per inmate: \$22,321 Total per GSF: \$31.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 157,450 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 157,450 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 13,780 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 703 GSF per inmate (current): 2,624 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,808 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 224 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 224 Current population: January 1993: 600

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track), inmate construction Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Extensive; everything

- except structure
- Use of prefabrication: Extensive; preengineered structure

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 21 Programs and treatment: 10 Maintenance: 1 Total: 37 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.6:1 Contracted services; Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive materials
- Negative: Government procedures and regulations

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods

Negative: Labor problems, government procedures and regulations, inmate labor

Georgia Trion



# Henry County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Henry County

Contact: Gregory Pope, Major, Henry County Jail, 120 Henry Parkway, McDonough, GA 30253, 706–954–2236 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: BLI Construction, 3384 Peachtree Road, Suite 713, Atlanta, GA 30326, 706-261-4053

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, Stale, county Commitment type: Premal, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 4 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: August 1990 Finish date: February 1992 Construction time: 19 months

Costs

Total: \$5,600,000

Building only: \$5,340,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$2,818,000 Housing per inmate: \$16,576 Housing per cell: \$33,153 Total per inmate: \$32,941 Total per GSF: \$100.74 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$3,348,342

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 55,591 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 36,881 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 23,147 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 300 GSF per inmate (design): 300 GSF per inmate (current): 505 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 100 (double), 2,300 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 72 Dorms: 88 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 10 Dorms: 4 Total design: 170 Current population: October 1992: 110

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: N/A Evening: N/A Midnight: N/A

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 48% Medium: 38% Minimum: 14%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 40 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 0 Total: 46 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.4:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia Henry County



Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Jerry Lightsey, Superintendent, Homerville Parole Center, 700 Reddick Road, Homerville, GA 31634, 912-487-3244 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912-242-3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 16 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 12 months

# Costs

Total: \$500,000 Building only: \$470,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$460,000 Housing per inmate: \$2,054 Housing per cell: \$115,000 Total per inmate: \$2,232 Total per GSF: \$31.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$2,636,940

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: 15,745 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 15,745 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 13,780 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (current): 157 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,808 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 224 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 224 Current population: July 1993: 100

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

Contract method: Inmate construction Finance method: State general obligation bonds

- Use of inmate labor: Extensive; everything except structure
- Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 19 Security: 40 Programs and treatment: 10 Maintenance: 2 Total: 71 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.4:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive materials
- Negative: Government procedures and regulations

#### Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods
- Negative: Labor problems, government procedures and regulations

Georgia Homerville



# **I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commisioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Corrie Byrd, Director, I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center, Box 250, County Farm Road, P.O. Box 730, Jefferson, GA 30549, 706-367-1732

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912-242-3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 13 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: June 1987 Finish date: January 1990 Construction time: 19 months

# Costs

Total: \$2,313,255 Building only: \$2,313,255 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$15,021 Total per GSF: \$73.10 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,758,718

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 911 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

Dimensions

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: March 1993: 166

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 41 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 18 Evening: 7 Midnight: 6

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 31 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 5 Total: 50 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.3:1 Contracted services: N/A

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition

Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic,

mechanical, and electrical systems **Factors affecting time schedule** Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# Georgia



# **Lowndes County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Lowndes County

Contact: G. Robert Carter, Sheriff, Lowndes County Jail, 111 Roswell Drive, Valdosta, GA 31601, 912–333–5149 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: Alcon Associates, Inc., 201 Baldwin Drive, Albany, GA 31707, 912–432–7411

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: June 1992 Construction time: 24 months

# Costs

Total: \$5,819,000 Building only: \$5,670,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$4,655,000 Housing per inmate: \$14,192 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$17,905 Total per GSF: \$103.54 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete slabs on CMUblock-bearing walls and steel framing Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, EIFS Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block, EIFS

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 56,200 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 49,500 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 42,788 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 151 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 90 (double), 420 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 80 Dorms: 240 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 4 Total design: 328 Current population: Unknown

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 8 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

# Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages not fast track Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit, special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 25% Medium: 75% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

# Georgia Lowndes County



# **Northeast Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Johnie M. Garmon, Superintendent, Northeast Probation Detention Center, 797 Beasley Street, Blairsville, GA 30512, 706–745–3610

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: Bowen & Watson, Highway17 South, P.O. Box 877, Toccoa, GA 30577, 404–886–3197

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 5 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: June 1988 Finish date: June 1989 Construction time: 12 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,100,000 Building only: \$2,100,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$13,636 Total per GSF: \$66.37 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,675,135

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Dimensions

Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: October 1992: 166

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 42 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Early release **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 4

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 33 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 5 Total: 52 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.2:1 Contracted services: N/A

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition

Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanics

Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia Blairsville



# **Northwest Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Jim Deal, Superintendent, Northwest Probation Detention Center, 1030 West Girard Avenue, Cedartown, GA 30125, 706–749–2300

Dimensions

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, P.O. Box 2007, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1989 Finish date: August 1990 Construction time: 12 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,918,998

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 12,024 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 96 (single), 2,200 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

#### General population

Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: January 1993: 166

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 42 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 3 Midnight: 5

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation

- bonds
- Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 28 Programs and treatment: 12 Maintenance: 1 Total: 49 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: N/A

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia Cedartown



# **Phillips Correctional Institute—Bootcamp**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Rick Abbott, Warden, Phillips Correctional Institute—Bootcamp, 2989 West Rock Quarry Road, Buford, GA 30518, 706–932–4500

Dimensions

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602–2007, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 148 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 12 months

#### Costs

Total: \$500,000 Building only: \$470,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$460,000 Housing per inmate: \$2,054 Housing per cell: \$115,000 Total per inmate: \$2,232 Total per GSF: \$31.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 15,745 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 15,745 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 13,780 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,808 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 224 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 224 Current population: Unknown

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track), inmate construction Finance method: State general obligation bonds

- Use of inmate labor: Extensive; everything except structure
- Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 100 Security: 319 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 14 Total: 433 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.8:1 Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive

- materials Negative: Government procedures and regulations
- Factors affecting time schedule
- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods
- Negative: Labor problems, government procedures and regulations, inmate labor

Georgia Buford

-



# **Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Arnold DePetro, Superintendent, Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center, 2165 Chambers Drive, Conyers, GA 30207, 706-388-5777

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: MG Engineering and Construction, 200 West Park Drive, Suite 300, Peachtree City, GA 30269, 404–487–0343

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 7 acres

Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: Unknown Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,688,030

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Dimensions Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: July 1992: 166

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 41 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Waiting list and early releases **Total officers per unit** Day: 9 Evening: 8 Midnight: 5

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 11 Security: 36 Programs and treatment: 8 Maintenance: 1 Total: 56 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems
Georgia Conyers



# **Rogers Correctional Institute**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Jackie Trim, Warden, Rogers Correctional Institute, Star Route, Box 5300, Reidsville, GA 30453, 912–557–4771 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structures Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 5 months

### Costs

Total: \$2,030,000 Building only: \$2,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$10,150 Total per GSF: \$89.92 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

### Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown

Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: Unknown

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Domitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### **Construction process**

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

### Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown

Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials Negative: N/A

Georgia Reidsville



# **Southeast Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Robert E. Williams, Superintendent, Southeast District Probation Detention Center, U.S. Highway 301 North, P.O. Box 869, Claxton, GA 30417, 912–739–1911

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: Music and Worth Construction, Route 6, Box 499, Live Oak, FL 32060, 904–658–1598

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 9 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: July 1988 Construction time: Unknown

## Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: November 1992: 166

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 38 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Limited Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Epoxy coating, vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 13 Security: 29 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 1 Total: 49 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia Claxton



# **Telfair Correctional Institute—Bootcamp**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: David Thompson, Warden, Telfair Correctional Institute—Bootcamp, P.O. Box 549, Longbridge Road, Helena, GA 31037, 912–868–7721

Dimensions

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structures Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 11 months

### Costs

Total: \$500,000 Building only: \$470,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$460,000 Housing per inmate: \$2,054 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$2,232 Total per GSF: \$31.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound

system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 15,745 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 15,745 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 13,780 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,808 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 224 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 224 Current population: Unknown

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### Construction process

Contract method: Inmate construction Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Extensive; Everything except the structure

Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive materials

Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods
- Negative: Labor problems, government procedures and regulations, inmate labor

Georgia Helena



# **Treutlen Shock Unit**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Jim Combs, Warden, Treutlen Shock Unit, P.O. Box 747 Session Street, Soperton, GA 30457, 912–529–6760 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 16 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1989 Finish date: March 1991 Construction time: 17 months

#### Costs

Total: \$3,400,000 Building only: \$3,190,880 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,265,000 Housing per inmate: \$5,548 Housing per cell: \$210,833 Total per inmate: \$14,655 Total per GSF: \$71.93 Total per NSF: \$88.03 Total annual operating costs: \$2,915,349

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

### Total GSF: 47,267 Total NSF: 38,625 Corrections GSF: 47,267 Corrections NSF: 38,625 Housing area GSF: 17,500 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 204 GSF per inmate (design): 166 NSF per inmate (design): 166 NSF per inmate (current): 231 Size of cells: 2,262 (dorm)

Net/gross square feet: 82%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 228 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 232 Current population: June 1992: 167

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 38 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 8 Midnight: 4

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 49 Programs and treatment: 9 Maintenance: 3 Total: 70 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.4:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A

Negative: N/A

Georgia Soperton



# **U.S. Federal Penitentiary**—Atlanta

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Fred Stock, Warden, U.S. Federal Penitentiary—Atlanta, 601 McDonough Boulevard SE., Atlanta, GA 30315, 404–622–6241 Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 163 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1986 Finish date: August 1990 Construction time: 45 months

# Costs

Total: \$9,600,000 Building only: \$9,600,000 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$9,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$22,535 Housing per cell: \$22,535 Total per inmate: \$22,535 Total per inmate: \$22,535 Total per GSF: \$71.64 Total per NSF: \$137.14 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, stone Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/

monitoring, custom control panels, metal detection

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 134,000 Total NSF: 70,000 Corrections GSF: 134,000 Corrections NSF: 70,000 Housing area GSF: 134,000 Housing area NSF: 70,000 GSF per inmate (design): 315 GSF per inmate (current): 71 NSF per inmate (design): 164 NSF per inmate (current): 37 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 52%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 426 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 426 Current population: February 1993: 1,895

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 224 Inmates per unit (design): 224 Inmates per unit (current): 288 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 6 Evening: 6 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Air-conditioning, steam heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Towers; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 50 Security: 200 Programs and treatment: 85 Maintenance: 39 Total: 374 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.1:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

Georgia Atlanta



# Ware Correctional Institute

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Doug Williams, Warden, Ware Correctional Institute, 3620 North Harris Road, Waycross, GA 31501, 912–285–6400 Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 5 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,030,000 Building only: \$2,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,625,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,125 Housing per cell: \$406,250 Total per inmate: \$10,150 Total per GSF: \$89.92 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 22,575 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,575 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 16,870 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 113 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,600 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: Unknown

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction

(fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: N/A

Georgia Waycross

:

:



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Rose Renfroe, Warden, Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp, P.O. Box 206, Davisboro, GA'31018, 912–348–5814

Dimensions

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

### Facility type: State prison-Jurisdiction type: State

Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 540 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 12 months

### Costs

Total: \$500,000 Building only: \$470,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$460,000 Housing per inmate: \$2,054 Housing per cell: \$115,000 Total per inmate: \$2,232 Total per GSF: \$31.76 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$9,999,000

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound

system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 15,745 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 15,745 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 13,780 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (design): 70 GSF per inmate (current): 48 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,808 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 224 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 224 Current population: October 1992: 327

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 96 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track), inmate construction Finance method: State general obligation bonds

- Use of inmate labor: Extensive; everything except structure
- Use of prefabrication: Limited; preengineered structure

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 15 Security: 88 Programs and treatment: 51 Maintenance: 9 Total: 163 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, less expensive materials

Negative: Government procedures and regulations

### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods

Negative: Labor problems, government procedures and regulations

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Georgia Davisboro



# Washington Correctional Institution

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Chuck Burden, Warden, Washington Correctional Institution P.O. Box 206, Davisboro, GA 31018, 912–348–5814 Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: July 1989 Finish date: August 1991 Construction time: 25 months

Costs

Total: \$18,500,000 Building only: \$17,500,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$10,500,000 Housing per inmate: \$15,441 Housing per cell: \$21,875 Total per inmate: \$27,206 Total per GSF: \$81.50 Total per NSF: \$125 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete cells, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block, precast cells Exterior surface or facade: CMU block, EIFS

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/ cable, watch tower system, metal detection Dimensions Total GSF: 227,000 Total NSF: 148,000 Corrections GSF: 227,000

Corrections NSF: 148,000 Housing area GSF: 123,000 Housing area GSF: 123,000 GSF per inmate (design): 334 GSF per inmate (current): 694 NSF per inmate (design): 218 NSF per inmate (current): 452 Size of cells: 80 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 65%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 284 Double occupancy: 392 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 680 Current population: October 1992: 327

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Unknown Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Heating/air circulation only, gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Operable slit (one light fixed, one light operable)

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, coordination of design Negative: N/A

Georgia Davisboro



# West Central Probation Detention Center

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Nathaniel Ray, Superintendent, West Central District Probation Detention Center, P.O. Box 589, Zebulon, GA 30295, 706-567-0631

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: Reddick Construction Company, 605 East Main Street, P.O. Box 1029, Thomaston, GA 30286

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 10 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: Unknown Construction time: Unknown

Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,643,465

# Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Dimensions

Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 196 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: January 1993: 161

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 40 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Admissions control **Total officers per unit** Day: 10 Evening: 8 Midnight: 6

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 32 Programs and treatment: 10 Maintenance: 1 Total: 49 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.3:1 Contracted services; Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia <sub>Zebulon</sub>



# Western Probation Detention Center

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Lee Oxford, Superintendent, Western Probation Detention Center, 1019 Billy Boulevard, P.O. Box 2259, Butler, GA 31006, 912-862-5851

Dimensions

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 10 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: June 1987 Finish date: September 1989 Construction time: 27 months

### Costs

Total: \$2,250,000 Building only: \$750,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$14,610 Total per GSF: \$71.11 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,724,568

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 205 GSF per inmate (current): 301 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet; Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population; January 1992: 105

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 26 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 5 Midnight: 3

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 33 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 6 Total: 51 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.1:1 Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia



# **Women's Probation Detention Center**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections

Contact: Glenn R. Rich, Superintendent, Women's Probation Detention Center, Highway 301 North, P.O. Box 920, Claxton, GA 30417, 912–739–0716

Architect: IPG, Inc., 2722 North Oak Street, Valdosta, GA 31602, 912–242–3557 Construction Manager: Pages, Inc., 410 McIntosh Street, P.O. Box 1240, Vidalia, GA 30474, 912–537–2264

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 6 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1990 Finish date: November 1991 Construction time: 12 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,750,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$950,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,250 Housing per cell: \$237,500 Total per inmate: \$17,857 Total per GSF: \$86.91 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 31,642 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 31,642 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 8,880 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 209 GSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): 191 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 2,220 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 152 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 154 Current population: March 1993: 166

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 38 Inmates per unit (current): 42 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Population cap **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 4

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 19 Security: 32 Programs and treatment: 4 Maintenance: 1 Total: 56 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Georgia Claxton



# **Danville Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: George E. DeTella, Warden, Danville Correctional Center, P.O. Box 4001, Danville, IL 61834–4001, 217–446–0441 Architect: BLDD Architects, 100 Merchant Street, Suite 200, Decatur, IL 62523, 217–429–5105 Construction Manager: Illinois Department of Corrections, Capital Programs Unit, 1301 Concordia Court, P.O. Box 19277, Springfield, IL 62794–9277, 217–522–2666

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 87 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: April 1983 Finish date: July 1985 Construction time: 27 months

# Costs

Total: \$40,500,000 Building only: \$34,500,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$14,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$15,625 Housing per cell: \$15,625 Total per inmate: \$43,736 Total per GSF: \$109.60 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$17,076,480

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 369,506 Total NSF: Unknown

Dimensions

Corrections GSF: 369,506 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 88,953 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 399 GSF per inmate (current): 216 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 60 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 896 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 30 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 926 Current population: January 1993: 1,712

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 56 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 112 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, hot water Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas Windows: Standard and bars, security screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 3% Medium: 97% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 29 Security: 257 Programs and treatment: 74 Maintenance: 13 Total: 373 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.6:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

•

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Hill Correctional Center

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: Jerry D. Gilmore, Warden, Hill Correctional Center, 600 Linwood Road, P.O. Box 1327, Galesburg, IL 61401, 309–343–4212 Architect: BLDD Architects, 100 Merchant Street, Suite 200, Decatur, IL 62523, 217–429–5105 Construction Manager: Illinois Department of Corrections, Capital Programs Unit, 1301 Concordia Court, P.O. Box 19277, Springfield, IL 62794–9277, 217–522–2666

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 64 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: October 1984 Finish date: August 1986 Construction time: 22 months

# Costs

Total: \$38,500,000 Building only: \$32,500,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$16,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$17,857 Housing per cell: \$17,857 Total per inmate: \$41,577 Total per GSF: \$101.07 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$16,666,700

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Dimensions Total GSF: 380,907 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 380,907 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 88,594 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 411 GSF per inmate (current): 236 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 60 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 896 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 30 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 926 Current population: January 1993: 1,617

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 56 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): 112 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 3% Medium: 97% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 85 Security: 227 Programs and treatment: 20 Maintenance: 11 Total: 343 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.7:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods

Negative: N/A

Illinois Galesburg

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Illinois River Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: Rodney Ahitow, Warden, Illinois River Correctional Center, P.O. Box 999, Canton, IL 61520, 309–647–7030 Architect: BLDD, 100 Merchant Street, Suite 200, Decatur, IL 62523, 217–429–5105 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 100 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1988 Finish date: October 1989 Construction time: 18 months

### Costs

Total: \$44,000,000 Building only: \$33,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$13,370,000 Housing per inmate: \$18,365 Housing per cell: \$18,365 Total per inmate: \$54,054 Total per GSF: \$125.04 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$15,103,700

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable

### Dimensions\_\_\_\_\_

Total GSF: 351,901 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 351,901 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 70,875 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 432 GSF per inmate (current): 272 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 60 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 728 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 86 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 814 Current population: April 1992: 1,295

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear Cells per unit: 56 Inmates per unit (design): 56 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cell **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 3

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: One-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas Windows: Standard and bars, security screens

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 13% Medium: 87% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 30 Security: 265 Programs and treatment: 56 Maintenance: 32 Total: 413 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.1:1 Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods

Negative: Government procedures and regulations

# Illinois

Canton

المراجع أن محمد مراجع المراجع ا

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **McHenry County Government Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, McHenry County

Contact: John E. Harrison, Administrator, McHenry County Government Center, 220 North Seminary Avenue, Woodstock, IL 60098, 815-338-2144

Architect: Legat Architects, 24 North Chapel Street, Waukegan, IL 60085, 708–662–3535 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

### Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County, city, INS Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: Law enforcement, courts, county offices Facility location: Urban Amount of land; Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: July 1990 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 21 months

# Costs

Total: \$30,600,000 Building only: \$29,300,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$7,090,000 Housing per inmate: \$38,533 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$149.85 Total per NSF: \$217.18 Total annual operating costs: \$2,826,551

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, steel wall panels Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 204,200 Total NSF: 140,900 Corrections GSF: 78,000 Corrections NSF: 54,600 Housing area GSF: 48,352 Housing area NSF: 29,300 GSF per inmate (design): 392 GSF per inmate (current): 765 NSF per inmate (current): 765 NSF per inmate (current): 535 Size of cells: 74 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 69%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 120 Double occupancy: 64 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 5 Double occupancy: 10 Dorms: 0 Total design: 199 Current population: June 1992: 102

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 16 Inmates per unit (design): 16 Inmates per unit (current): 16 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild, multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; steel wall panels for plumbing chases

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations, smoke evacuation system Windows: Special security

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 20% Medium: 80% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 86 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 2 Total: 94 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.1:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, good competition, complete and accurate construction documents
- Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

### Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, good onsite project management
- Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, labor problems, weather problems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Illinois

McHenry County



# **Robert H. Babcox Justice Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Lake County

Contact: Lawrence C. Lesza, Director of Corrections, Robert H. Babcox Justice Center, 20 South County Street, Waukegan, IL 60085, 708-360-5760

Architect: Legat Architects, 24 North Chapel Street, Waukegan, IL 60085, 708–662–3535 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility), phased project (past), conversion Building configuration: Integrated structure, highrise Complex use: Law enforcement, courts, State's attorney, public defender Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 1 acre

# Time

Groundbreaking: November 1986 Finish date: Unknown Construction time: 24 months

Costs

Total: \$30,832,691 Building only: \$30,332,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$22,199,538 Housing per inmate: \$46,249 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$116.35 Total per NSF: \$121.86 Total annual operating costs: \$5,076,959

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 265,008 Total NSF: 253,008 Corrections GSF: 155,598 Corrections NSF: 150,598 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 223 GSF per inmate (current): 358 NSF per inmate (design): 216 NSF per inmate (current): 346 Size of cells: 70.5 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 95%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 240 Double occupancy: 240 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 22 Double occupancy: 4 Dorms: 192 Total design: 698 Current population: June 1992: 435

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod; linear cells on inside Cells per unit: 24 Inmates per unit (design): 24 Inmates per unit (current): 24 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, portable 40-bed unit **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild, multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Smoke evacuation plan Windows: Special security

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 16% Medium: 54% Minimum: 30%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 109 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 2 Total: 127 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: Medical, programs

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition

Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

### Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials, coordination of design
- Negative: Weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Illinois Lake County



# **Robinson Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: Mary Hardy-Hall, Warden, Robinson Correctional Center, P.O. Box 1000, Robinson, IL 62454, 618–546–5659 Architect: Phillip Swager Associates, 3622 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, IL 61603, 309–688–9511 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 100 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: October 1989 Finish date: January 1991 Construction time: 15 months

#### Costs

Total: \$24,600,000 Building only: \$12,525,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$5,280,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,800 Housing per cell: \$88,000 Total per inmate: \$40,065 Total per GSF: \$120,59 Total per NSF: \$150,00 Total annual operating costs: \$12,932,500

### Construction type

Structural: Preengineered buildings Exterior walls: CMU block, brick, metal panel Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, metal panel Electronic technology systems: Staff

intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Dimensions

Total GSF: 204,000 Total NSF: 164,000 Corrections GSF: 204,000 Corrections NSF: 164,000 Housing area GSF: 79,596 Housing area NSF: 64,000 GSF per inmate (design): 332 GSF per inmate (current): 211 NSF per inmate (design): 267 NSF per inmate (current): 170 Size of cells: 544 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 80%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 600 Special population Single occupancy: 10 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 4 Total design: 614 Current population: March 1993: 967

# Inmate housing areas

- Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 10 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Middicke 2
- Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; rigid frame preengineered structure and siding

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Hollow metal Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: No intercom system HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for Dorms and common areas, sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Fixed

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 1% Medium: 0 Minimum: 99%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 26 Security: 219 Programs and treatment: 21 Maintenance: 7 Total: 275 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services; Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, phased construction (fast track), coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# Illinois Robinson



....

# **Taylorville Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: Michael Furrie, Warden, Taylorville Correctional Center, P.O. Box 1000, Taylorville, IL 62568, 217–824–4004 Architect: Phillip Swager Associates, 3622 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, IL 61603, 309–688–9511 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: November 1989 Finish date: December 1990 Construction time: 13 months

Costs

#### Total: \$24,600,000

Building only: \$12,525,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$5,280,000 Housing per inmate: \$8,800 Housing per cell: \$88,000 Total per inmate: \$40,065 Total per GSF: \$120.60 Total per NSF: \$150 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Preengineered Exterior walls: CMU block, brick, metal panel Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, metal panel

Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels

### Dimensions

Total GSF: 204,000 Total NSF: 164,000 Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 79,596 Housing area NSF: 64,000 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 544 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 80%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 600 Special population Single occupancy: 10 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 4 Total design: 614 Current population: Unknown

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 10 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; rigid frame preengineered, structure and siding

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Hollow metal Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: No intercom system HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for dorms and common areas, sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Fixed

# Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 1% Medium: 0 Minimum: 99%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, phased construction (fast track), coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems
# Illinois

Taylorville



### NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Western Illinois Correctional Center

Responsible official: Director, Illinois Department of Corrections

Contact: William D. O'Sullivan, Warden, Western Illinois Correctional Center, P.O. Box 1000, Mt. Sterling, IL 62353, 217–773–4441 Architect: Bradley, Likins, Dillow, Drayton, 100 Merchant Street, #200, Decatur, IL 62523, 217–429–5105 Construction Manager: Illinois Department of Corrections, Capital Programs Unit, 1301 Concordia Court, P.O. Box 19277, Springfield, IL 62794–9277, 217–522–2666

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: 95 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: October 1987 Finish date: April 1989 Construction time: 18 months

### Costs

Total: \$39,500,000 Building only: \$33,000,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$13,370,000 Housing per inmate: \$18,365 Housing per cell: \$18,365 Total per inmate: \$52,111 Total per GSF: \$117.25 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$16,589,000

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 336,891 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 336,891 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 70,875 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 444 GSF per inmate (current): 263 NSF per inmate (current): 263 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 60 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 728 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 30 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 758 Current population: April 1993: 1,282

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 224 Inmates per unit (design): 224 Inmates per unit (current): 403 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: One-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas Windows: Standard and bars, security screens

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 4% Medium: 96% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 29 Security: 249 Programs and treatment: 31 Maintenance: 13 Total: 322 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Government procedures and regulations

Illinois Mt. Sterling

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Will County Adult Detention Facility

Responsible official: Sheriff, Will County

Contact: Martin Nowak, Warden, Will County Adult Detention Facility, 95 South Chicago Street, Joliet, IL 60436, 815–740–5526 Architect: Phillips Swager Associates, 3622 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, IL 61603, 309–688–9511 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 216 16th Street, Suite 1700, Denver, CO 80202, 303–820–5200

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 6 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1987 Finish date: March 1990 Construction time: 28 months

#### Costs

Total: \$22,900,000 Building only: \$19,900,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$72,468 Total per GSF: \$148.16 Total per NSF: \$237.06 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block, gypsum board Exterior surface or facade: Split-face block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable

#### Total GSF: 154,562 Total NSF: 96,600 Corrections GSF: 154,562 Corrections NSF: 96,600 Housing area GSF: 103,825 Housing area NSF: 62,295 GSF per inmate (design): 489 GSF per inmate (current): 706 NSF per inmate (design): 306 NSF per inmate (current): 441 Size of cells: 72 (single), 630 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 63%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 276 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 16 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 24 Total design: 316 Current population: March 1992: 219

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 46 Inmates per unit (design): 46 Inmates per unit (current): 25 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: N/A HVAC: Other energy recycle unit, boiler, chiller, water cooling tower Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit, security glass

### Security

Perimeter: Video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 15% Medium: 78% Minimum: 8%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 14 Security: 99 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 29 Total: 142 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.5:1 Contracted services: Medical, programs

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition, local contracting Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Weather problems

Illinois Will County



173

# **Boone County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Boone County

Contact: Ern Hudson, Sheriff, Boone County Jail, 1905 Indianapolis Road, Lebanon, IN 46052, 317–482–1412 Architect: Schenkel Shultz, 3702 Rupp Drive, Fort Wayne, IN 46815, 219–484–9080 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 10 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: May 1991 Finish date: June 1992 Construction time: 14 months

Costs

Total: \$7,600,000

Building only: \$7,300,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$3,344,000 Housing per inmate: \$20,642 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$39,175 Total per GSF: \$97.44 Total per NSF: \$152.00 Total annual operating costs: \$330,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire

alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels

Dimensions

Total GSF: 78,000 Total NSF: 50,000 Corrections GSF: 78,000 Corrections NSF: 50,000 Housing area GSF: 34,656 Housing area NSF: 25,992 GSF per inmate (design): 402 GSF per inmate (current): 639 NSF per inmate (design): 258 NSF per inmate (current): 410 Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 64%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 22 Double occupancy: 140 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 8 Double occupancy: 4 Dorms: 20 Total design: 194 Current population: January 1993: 122

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 11 Inmates per unit (design): 20 Inmates per unit (current): 13 (average) Management type (design): Indirect supervision Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Lease-purchase; local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 52% Medium: 43% Minimum: 5%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 10 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 1 Total: 14 Current inmate/staff ratio: 8.7:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems (No floorplan available at time of publication)

2

Responsible official: Commissioner, Gibson County

Contact: E. Bruce McClellan, Sheriff, Gibson County Jail, 112 East Emerson, Princeton, IN 47670, 812–385–3496 Architect: United Consulting Engineers and Architects, 1625 North Post Road, Indianapolis, IN 46219, 317–895–2585 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building), remodel/ renovation Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 1 acre

#### Time

Groundbreaking: July 1988 Finish date: July 1989 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$2,800,000 Building only: \$2,700,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$2,200,000 Housing per inmate: \$48,889 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$45,161 Total per GSF: \$86.70 Total per NSF: \$121.05 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 32,300 Total NSF: 23,130 Corrections GSF: 32,300 Corrections NSF: 23,130 Housing area GSF: 23,860 Housing area NSF: 18,500 GSF per inmate (design): 521 GSF per inmate (current): 769 NSF per inmate (design): 373 NSF per inmate (current): 551 Size of cells: 91 (single) Net/gross square feet: 73%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 12 Total design: 62 Current population: December 1991: 42

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 6 Inmates per unit (design): 9 Inmates per unit (current): 6 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Fixed financing rate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas, immate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit and special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 80% Medium: 0 Minimum: 20%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 10 Security: 18 Programs and treatment: 1 Maintenance: 2 Total: 31 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.4:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials, creative use of materials Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; phasing required to maintain existing facility in operation

Indiana Gibson County

:



177

# Kosciusko County Work Release

Responsible official: Sheriff, Kosciusko County

Contact: Tom Kitch, Director, Kosciusko County Work Release, 221 West Center, Warsaw, IN 46580, 219–372–2490 Architect: Schenkel Shultz, 3702 Rupp Drive, Fort Wayne, IN 46815, 219–484–9080 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: .25 acre

#### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1991 Finish date: September 1991 Construction time: 5 months

#### Costs

Total: \$260,000 Building only: \$252,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$81,000 Housing per inmate: \$1,928.57 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$6,190 Total per GSF: \$23.64 Total per NSF: \$31.52 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

Structural: Preexisting Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: Stud and gypsum board Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 11,000 Total NSF: 8,250 Corrections GSF: 11,000 Corrections NSF: 8,250 Housing area GSF: 2,932 Housing area NSF: 2,300 GSF per inmate (design): 262 GSF per inmate (current): 579 NSF per inmate (design): 196 NSF per inmate (current): 434 Size of cells: 109 (double), 214 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 75%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 26 Dorms: 16 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 42 Current population: December 1991: 19

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear Cells per unit: 17 Inmates per unit (design): 42 Inmates per unit (current): 3 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: No locks Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 3 Programs and treatment: 1 Maintenance: 0 Total: 6 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.2:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Labor problems (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Tippecanoe County

Contact: David Heath, Sheriff, Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center, 2640 Duncan Road, Lafayette, IN 47904, 317–423–9388 Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, 1831 Chestnut Street, St. Louis, MO 63103, 314–421–2000; James Associates, 8888 Keystone Crossing, Suite 1400, Indianapolis, IN 46240, 317–571–8866 Construction Manager: Guepel DeMars, 1919 North Meridian, Indianapolis, IN 46206, 317–924–9192

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Suburban Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1988 Finish date: April 1990 Construction time: 19 months

Costs

Total: \$9,500,000 Building only: \$9,090,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$5,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$31,461 Housing per cell: \$39,437 Total per cell: \$39,437 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$125.98 Total per NSF: \$177.24 Total annual operating costs: \$4,000,000

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Split face Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 75,410 Total NSF: 53,600 Corrections GSF: 63,440 Corrections NSF: 45,000 Housing area GSF: 44,727 Housing area NSF: 31,756 GSF per inmate (design): 343 GSF per inmate (current): 322 NSF per inmate (design): 243 NSF per inmate (current): 228 Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 71%

Dimensions

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 106 Double occupancy: 72 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 7 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 185 Current population: April 1993: 197

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 12 Inmates per unit (design): 12 Inmates per unit (current): 12 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Bonding through County Jail Building Corporation Use of inmate labor: Limited; building components Use of prefabrication: Limited; building components

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Heating/air circulation only, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 89% Minimum: 11%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 33 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 2 Total: 38 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.2:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods Negative: Government procedures and regulations, multiple bid packages Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

# Indiana

Tippecanoe County



181

# **El Dorado Correctional Facility**

Responsible official: Secretary, Kansas Department of Corrections

Contact: Michael Nelson, Warden, El Dorado Correctional Facility, P.O. Box 311, Route 3 Box 191, El Dorado, KS 67042, 316–321–7284 Architect: Gossen Livingston Associates, 420 South Emporia, Wichita, KS 67202, 316–265–9367 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration; Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land; 640 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: February 1990 Finish date: March 1992 Construction time: 21 months

Costs

Total: \$50,503,049 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$20,110,000 Housing per inmate: \$31,422 Housing per cell: \$31,422 Total per inmate: \$78,911 Total per GSF: \$116.30 Total per NSF: \$178.92 Total annual operating costs: \$13,783,576

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete frame

Exterior walls: Insulated precast concrete Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Precast concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 434,255 Total NSF: 282,265 Corrections GSF: 434,255 Corrections NSF: 282,265 Housing area GSF: 203,500 Housing area NSF: 162,800 GSF per inmate (design): 678 GSF per inmate (current): 777 NSF per inmate (current): 777 NSF per inmate (current): 505 Size of cells: 90 (single) Net/gross square feet: 65%

Dimensions

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 640 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 640 Current population: February 1993: 559

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 128 Inmates per unit (design): 128 Inmates per unit (current): 109 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

- Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general obligation bonds
- Use of inmate labor: Limited; security fence installation, paving
- Use of prefabrication: Moderate; housing unit wall structure

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit, security glass

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 24 Security: 284 Programs and treatment: 102 Maintenance: 44 Total: 454 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.2:1 Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions

### Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design
- Negative: Government procedures and regulations



Kansas El Dorado

183

# Johnson County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Johnson County

Contact: Larry E. Smith, Major, Johnson County Jail, 101 North Kansas Avenue, Olathe, KS 66061, 913–791–1100 Architect: Shaughnessy Fickel and Scott Architects, Inc., 920 Walnut, Kansas City, MO 64106, 816–474–1397 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, senienced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 3 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1986 Finish date: August 1988 Construction time: 28 months

#### Costs

Total: \$16,000,000 Building only: \$14,275,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$9,530,065 Housing per inmate: \$44,533 Housing per cell: \$44,533 Total per inmate: \$58,395 Total per GSF: \$111.89 Total per NSF: \$145.36 Total annual operating costs: \$8,439,148

#### Construction type

panels, satellite/cable

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, brick Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control Total GSF: 142,995 Total NSF: 110,072 Corrections GSF: 135,854 Corrections NSF: 103,612 Housing area GSF: 94,610 Housing area NSF: 72,827 GSF per inmate (design): 522 GSF per inmate (current): 561 NSF per inmate (current): 402 NSF per inmate (current): 432 Size of cells: 71.9 (single) Net/gross square feet: 77%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 214 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 60 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 274 Current population: December 1991: 255

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 48 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Beds in dayroom, contract with other jurisdictions **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel, wood, upholstered Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 4% Medium: 92% Minimum: 0 Juvenile/medical isolation: 4%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 116 Programs and treatment: 19 Maintenance: 6 Total: 150 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.7:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical,

and electrical systems

Kansas Johnson County





- 1. Jail Visitor Elevator
- Jail Visitor Elevator
  Inmate/Security Staff Elevator
  Contact Visiting
  Non-Contact Visiting
  Janitor Closet
  Security Staff Toilet
  Storage
  Day Space
  Outdoor Exercise
  Mechanical Equipment

- 10. Mechanical Equipment 11. Secured Vestibule
- 12. Inmate Shower
- 13. Control Booth

# Lansing Correctional Facility—East

Responsible official: Secretary, Kansas Department of Corrections

Contact: David R. McKune, Warden, Lansing Correctional Facility—East, P.O. Box 2, Lansing, KS 60043, 913–727–3235 Architect: Horst, Terrill & Karst Architects, 2900 MacVicar Avenue, Topeka, KS 66611, 913–266–5373 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 16 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1989 Finish date: December 1989 Construction time: 6 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,027,100 Building only: \$1,482,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,482,000 Housing per inmate: \$7,410 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$10,136 Total per GSF: \$78.45 Total per NSF: \$95.00 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, masonry bearing walls Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: 25,840 Total NSF: 21,336 Corrections GSF: 25,840 Corrections NSF: 21,336 Housing area GSF: 20,920 Housing area NSF: 17,102 GSF per inmate (design): 129 GSF per inmate (current): 129 NSF per inmate (design): 107 NSF per inmate (current): 107 Size of cells: 50 (sleeping cubicle) Net/gross square feet: 83%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: June 1992: 200

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 50 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State institutional building fund Use of inmate labor: Limited; concrete paving Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Standard hollow metal Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Electric latch Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to common areas HVAC: Steam heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Smoke detectors throughout, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and screens

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 16 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 18 Current inmate/staff ratio: 11.1:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

Kansas Lansing

1



187

# Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility

Responsible official: Secretary, Kansas Department of Corrections

Contact: Harold J. Nye, Warden, Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility, Box E, Larned, KS 67550–0280, 316–258–6249 Architect: Gossen Livingston, 420 South Emporia, Wichita, KS 67202, 316–265–9367 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 104 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: February 1991 Finish date: January 1992 Construction time: 11 months

Costs

Total: \$15,776,829 Building only: \$13,709,785 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$105,179 Total per GSF: \$136.14 Total per NSF: \$194.49 Total annual operating costs: \$7,300,310

### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, load-bearing masonry

Exterior walls: Precast panels, brick, metal panel

Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, textured concrete

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, lighting in towers Total GSF: 115,885 Total NSF: 81,120 Corrections GSF: 115,885 Corrections NSF: 81,120 Housing area GSF: 49,528 Housing area NSF: 29,015 GSF per inmate (design): 773 GSF per inmate (current): 966

**Dimensions** 

GSF per inmate (design): 773 GSF per inmate (current): 966 NSF per inmate (design): 541 NSF per inmate (current): 676 Size of cells: 100 (single) Net/gross square feet: 70%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 150 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 150 Current population: April 1993: 120

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 30 Inmates per unit (design): 30 Inmates per unit (current): 30 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Admissions curtailed **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: State general obligation bonds, Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast wall/roof construction

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Steam heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s), towers; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 35 Security: 117 Programs and treatment: 40 Maintenance: 22 Total: 214 Current inmate/staff ratio: .6:1 Contracted services: Medical, food, programs

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods,

coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility

Responsible official: Sheriff, Lake County

Wichita, KS 67203, 316-383-7205

Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County

Category: New construction

Building configuration: Clusters

(independent facility)

Facility location: Urban

Time

Finish date: April 1990

Total: \$25,700,000

Groundbreaking: October 1987

Construction time: 30 months

Costs

Amount of land: Unknown

Complex use: N/A

Building only: \$20,712,730 Current population: March 1992: 385 Final construction cost: Lower

Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$59,767 Total per GSF: \$126.94 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$4,510,584 Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Colored precast Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels

Total GSF: 202,459 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 202,459 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 471 GSF per inmate (current): 526 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

Contact: Mitch Paige, Detention Division Captain, Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility, 141 West Elm Street,

Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 216 16th Street Mall, Suite 1700, Denver, CO 80202, 303-820-5200

Architect: Schaefer, John, Cox, Frey & Associates, 220 South Hillside, Wichita, KS 67211, 316-684-0171

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 398 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 12 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 20 Total design: 430

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 48 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, beds in dayroom Total officers per unit Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast exterior panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Continuous resin coating Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Hot water Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 23% Medium: 69% Minimum: 8%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 113 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 0 Total: 125 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.1:1 Contracted services: Food, medical, dental

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition

Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Kansas Lake County



191

# Sedgwick County Work Release

Responsible official: Sheriff, Sedgwick County

Contact: Lyman Reese, Administrator, Sedgwick County Work Release, 701 West Harry Street, Wichita, KS 67213, 316–383–7685 Architect: Wilson-Darnell Associates, 128 North Oliver, Wichita, KS 67208, 316–681–2099 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., P.O. Box 87, Wichita, KS 67201, 316–268–3586

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 3 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1987 Finish date: May 1988 Construction time: 9 months

### Costs

Total: \$1,531,854 Building only: \$1,219,412 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$978,300 Housing per inmate: \$9,882 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$15,473 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$624,278

### Construction type

- Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, synthetic plaster
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm

### Dimensions

Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 23,500 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,156 (pod) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 55 Dorms: 44 Total design: 99 Current population: March 1992: 59

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 5 Inmates per unit (design): 28 Inmates per unit (current): 28 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Controlled admittance to program **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 3 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: N/A Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter detection/alarm, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 4 Security: 13 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 17 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services: Food

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Phased construction (fast track), advanced order of materials, coordination of design Negative: N/A

n ann an Arlander an Arlander An Arlander Kansas Sedgwick County

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility**

Responsible official: Kansas Board of Commissioners, Shawnee County

Contact: Thomas R. Merkel, Jail Administrator, Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility, 501 Southeast Eighth Street, Topeka, KS 66607, 913–291–5100

Architect: Slemmons Associates, Architects, 534 South Kansas Avenue, Suite 1030, Topeka, KS 66603–3432, 913–235–9244 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 7.65 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1985 Finish date: March 1988 Construction time: 29 months

### Costs

Total: \$14,407,900 Building only: \$14,322,365 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$4,385,000 Housing per inmate: \$24,361 Housing per cell: \$24,361 Total per inmate: \$72,402 Total per GSF: \$115.48 Total per NSF: \$137.91 Total annual operating costs: \$3,926,885

### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick

Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 124,765 Total NSF: 104,475 Corrections GSF: 124,765 Corrections NSF: 104,475 Housing area GSF: 32,200 Housing area NSF: 14,400 GSF per inmate (design): 627 GSF per inmate (design): 627 GSF per inmate (design): 525 NSF per inmate (current): 580 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 84%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 180 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 19 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 199 Current population: December 1991: 180

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Mattresses on floor in gymnasium **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas fire boiler, air-conditioning Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter detection/alarm, video camera surveillance, fenced restraining areas for emergencies; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 20% Medium: 80%

Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 74 Programs and treatment: 23 Maintenance: 10 Total: 110 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.6:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple constr. methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; gov. requirements Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, weather problems; gov. procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction





•

. e

Kansas Shawnee County

195

:

 ${}^{*} \in {}^{*}$ 

## Stanton County Law Enforcement Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Stanton County

Contact: James Garrison, Sheriff, Stanton County Law Enforcement Center, 208 North Chestnut, Johnson, KS 67855, 316–492–6866 Architect: N/A Construction Manager: N/A

Pacility type: County jail complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County, contract Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation, expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Rural Amount of land: .25 acre

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: July 1991 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$260,000 Building only: \$200,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$22.19 Total per NSF: \$61.67 Total annual operating costs: \$363,000

### Construction type

Structural: Block, brick Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Unknown Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: 11,718 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 4,216 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 976 GSF per inmate (current): 1,172 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: Unknown

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 5 Double occupancy: 6 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 1 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 12 Current population: July 1991: 10

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 7 Inmates per unit (design): 10 Inmates per unit (current): 10 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Unknown Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas Windows: Special security

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 12.5% Medium: 50% Minimum: 37.5%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 7 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 1 Total: 10 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Wyandotte County Detention Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Wyandotte County

Contact: Captain Dewey W. Bond, Warden, Wyandotte County Detention Center, 710 North Seventh Street, Kansas City, KS 66101, 913-573-2865

Architect: Schlup, Becker & Brennan, Fourth and State Avenue, Suite 900, Gateway II, Kansas City, KS 66101, 913–281–4210 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, highrise Complex use: Law enforcement, courts, district attorney offices Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: January 1988 Finish date: March 1990 Construction time: 23 months

### Costs

Total: \$26,347,685 Building only: \$26,000,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$114.74 Total per NSF: \$133.48 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

### Construction type

- Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete, colored concrete
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels

Total GSF: 229,625 Total NSF: 197,391 Corrections GSF: 186,077 Corrections NSF: 162,123 Housing area GSF: 140,244 Housing area NSF: 124,944 GSF per inmate (design): 502 GSF per inmate (current): 756 NSF per inmate (current): 756 NSF per inmate (current): 659 Size of cells: 72.1 and 90 (single) Net/gross square feet: 86%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 338 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 33 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 371 Current population: June 1992: 246

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 44 Inmates per unit (design): 44 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Bonds by public building corporation Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 6% Medium: 94% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 85 Programs and treatment: 31 Maintenance: 8 Total: 133 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.8:1 Contracted services: Medical

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, general contractor involved at early stage

Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials, coordination of design

Negative: Government procedures and regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems



#### 2 - Upper Dayroom 3 - Upper Outdoor Recreation 4 - Visitation 5 - Storage 6 - Classification Offices 7 - Upper Classification Dayroom 8 - Upper Maximun Security Dayroom 9 - Upper Administrative Segregation Dayroom 10 - Control Booth

.

. .

- 11 Juvenile Upper Outdoor Recreation
- 12 High Risk Boys

1 - Cells

- 13 Upper Boy's Dayroom
- 14 Juvenile Classroom
- 15 Juvenile Visitation
- 16 Juvenile Arts and Crafts
- 17 Juvenile Offices

50

.

- 18 Upper Women Dayroom
- 19 Upper Trustee Dayroom

Wyandotte County Kansas

## **Carroll County Regional Detention Center**

Responsible official: Jailer, Carroll County

Contact: David Miles, Jailer, Carroll County Regional Detention Center, 800 Clay Street, Carrollton, KY 41008, 502–732–9499 Architect: CMW, Inc., 326 South Broadway, Lexington, KY 40508, 606–254–6623 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: Regional jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Prenial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 2 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: July 1991 Finish date: June 1992 Construction time: 12 months

### Costs

Total: \$2,060,000 Building only: \$1,874,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$820,000 Housing per inmate: \$10,513 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$24,524 Total per GSF: \$147 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, brick

Interior walls: CMU block

- Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block
- Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels

### Dimensions

Total GSF: 14,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 14,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: 6,000 GSF per inmate (design): 167 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 81 (single), 75 (double), 420 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population

Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 32 Dorms: 44 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 2 Dorms: 0

Total design: 84 Current population: April 1992: 72

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 7 Inmates per unit (design): 14 Inmates per unit (current): 14 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 5 Evening: 4 Midnight: 3

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: State general obligation bonds; local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking, motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Rooftop heating and cooling units Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 38% Medium: 62% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 19 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 0 Total: 24 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

Kentucky Carroll County



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

201

۰. . .

# Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex

Responsible official: Commissioner, Kentucky Corrections Cabinet

Contact: Michael O'Dea III, Warden, Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex, P.O. Box 636, West Liberty, KY 41472, 606–743–2800 Architect: Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall (DMJM), 300 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017, 212–983–2400 Construction Manager: GRW Engineers, Inc., 801 Corporate Drive, Lexington, KY 40503

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 193 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: January 1987 Finish date: February 1991 Construction time: 49 months

### Costs

Total: \$62,999,219 Building only: \$61,499,219 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$31,272,640 Housing per inmate: \$19,743 Housing per cell: \$30,510 Total per inmate: \$38,602 Total per GSF: \$112.49 Total per NSF: \$175.00 Total annual operating costs: \$12,999,000

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block, cementitious

exterior system (EIFS) over reinforced CMU block

Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable

### Total GSF: 560,036 Total NSF: 360,000 Corrections GSF: 560,036 Corrections NSF: 360,000 Housing area GSF: 235,496 Housing area NSF: 158,788 GSF per inmate (design): 261 GSF per inmate (current): 368 NSF per inmate (current): 368 NSF per inmate (current): 237 Size of cells: 80 (double) Net/gross square feet: 64%

Dimensions

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 2,048 Dorms: 48 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 2,144 Current population: April 1993: 1,520

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 128 Inmates per unit (design): 128 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Inmate duress alarm HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit, special security

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s), towers; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 4% Medium: 92% Minimum: 4%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 23 Security: 278 Programs and treatment: 30 Maintenance: 31 Total: 389 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.9:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A



.

# Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Keith Hall, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I, P.O. Box 5050, East Whatley Road, Oakdale, LA 71463, 318-335-4070

Architect: Barron, Heinberg & Brocato Architects & Engineers, 1015 Wisteria Street, Alexandria, LA 71301, 318-443-7291 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 120 acres

### Time

Groundbreaking: August 1984 Finish date: December 1988 Construction time: 52 months

### Costs

Total: \$24,059,826 Building only: \$20,821,395 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$12,550,335 Housing per inmate: \$38,263 Housing per cell: \$25,510 Total per inmate: \$33,186 Total per GSF: \$75.83 Total per NSF: \$102.30 Total annual operating costs: \$18,100,000

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, precast concrete cells Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm

#### Total GSF: 317,266 Total NSF: 235,197 Corrections GSF: 317,266 Corrections NSF: 235,197 Housing area GSF: 184,320 Housing area NSF: 57,037 GSF per inmate (design): 438 GSF per inmate (current): 265 NSF per inmate (design): 324 NSF per inmate (current): 196 Size of cells: 75 (single), 164 (double) Net/gross square feet: 74%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 328 Double occupancy: 328 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 69 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 725 Current population: April 1993: 1,198

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 164 Inmates per unit (design): 164 Inmates per unit (current): 225 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast concrete cells and wall panels, prefabricated steel framing, preengineered trusses

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat, air-conditioning Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations, duct smoke detectors within air-handling units, supervised sprinkler system Windows: Standard and bars

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance, observation mounds for patrol vehicles; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 11% Medium: 89% Minimum; 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 41 Security: 190 Programs and treatment: 50 Maintenance: 24 Total: 305 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

### Architect's reported analysis

#### Factors affecting construction costs

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions

Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, coordination of design

Negative: Weather problems
# Louisiana Oakdale

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Federal Detention Center-Oakdale II

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Bob Guzik, Warden, Federal Detention Center—Oakdale II, P.O. Box 5060, East Whatley Road, Oakdale, LA 71463, 318–335–4466

Architect: Barron, Heinberg & Brocato Architects & Engineers, 1015 Wisteria Street, Alexandria, LA 71301, 318-443-7291 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal detention Jurisdiction type: Federal, INS Commitment type: Sentenced, INS Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land; 120 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1988 Finish date: September 1991 Construction time: 36 months

#### Costs

Total: \$23,955,951 Building only: \$22,023,451 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$12,925,000 Housing per inmate: \$25,645 Housing per cell: \$25,645 Total per inmate: \$41,808 Total per GSF: \$93.74 Total per NSF: \$120.01 Total annual operating costs: \$13,582,900

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete cells

Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 255,548 Total NSF: 199,610 Corrections GSF: 255,548 Corrections NSF: 199,610 Housing area GSF: 133,896 Housing area NSF: 43,113 GSF per inmate (design): 446 GSF per inmate (current): 198 NSF per inmate (current): 198 NSF per inmate (current): 155 Size of cells: 75 (single) Net/gross square feet: 78%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 630 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 69 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 699 Current population: January 1993: 1,289

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 168 Inmates per unit (design): 168 Inmates per unit (current): 300 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast concrete cells and wall panels, prefabricated steel framing, millwork, doors, preengineered trusses

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations, duct smoke detectors within air-handling units, sprinkler system Windows: Standard and bars

### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 11% Medium: 89% Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 40 Security: 190 Programs and treatment: 50 Maintenance: 25 Total: 305 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.2:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Weather problems

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

-

# **Orleans Parish Prison**

Responsible official: Criminal Sheriff, Orleans Parish

Contact: Charles C. Foti, Jr., Criminal Sheriff, Orleans Parish Prison, Perdido Street, New Orleans, LA 70119, 504–822–8000 Architect: L. Dow Oliver & Associates, 3131 Harvard Avenue, Suite 201, Metairie, LA 70006, 504–455–7965 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County and city jail Jurisdiction type: State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but aneillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A

Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: December 1988 Finish date: May 1990 Construction time: 17 months

#### Costs

Total: \$5,400,000 Building only: \$5,200,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$10,227 Total per GSF: \$101 Total per NSF: \$108.17 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, custom control panels, satellite/ cable Dimensions Total GSF: 53,520 Total NSF: 49,920 Corrections GSF: 53,520 Corrections NSF: 49,920 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 101 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 1,485 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 93%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 528 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 528 Current population: Unknown

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 22 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State and local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

# Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

Louisiana Orleans Parish



FIRST FLOOR PLAN

# St. Bernard Jail Complex

Responsible official: Sheriff, St. Bernard Parish

Contact: Jack Stephens, Sheriff, St. Bernard Jail Complex, Paris Road and St. Bernard Highway, Chalmette, LA 70043, 504–271–2504 Architect: L. Dow Oliver & Associates, 3131 Harvard Avenue, Suite 201, Metairie, LA 70006, 504–455–7965 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Prenial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: December 1990 Finish date: May 1992 Construction time: 17 months

#### Costs

Total: \$5,900,000 Building only: \$5,750,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$4,800,000 Housing per inmate: \$21,428 Housing per cell: \$47,060 Total per inmate: \$20,345 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

# Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 35,559 Housing area NSF: 20,765 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 72 (double), 780 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 200 Dorms: 24 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 24 Dorms: 40 Total design: 290 Current population: Unknown

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### **Inmate cells**

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, carpet, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit, standard and bars

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 76% Medium: 10% Minimum: 14%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: High labor costs, difficult site conditions, weather problems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Weather problems

satellite/cable

# Louisiana

St. Bernard Parish



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **Tulane Avenue Facility**

Responsible official: Criminal Sheriff, Orleans Parish

Contact: Charles C. Foti, Jr., Criminal Sheriff, Tulane Avenue Facility, Tulane Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70119, 504–822–8000 Architect: L. Dow Oliver & Associates, 3131 Harvard Avenue, Suite 201, Metairie, LA 70006, 504–455–7965 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County and city jail Jurisdiction type: State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building), conversion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: December 1990 Finish date: December 1991 Construction time: 12 months

Costs

#### Total: \$2,900,000

Building only: \$2,700,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$2,400,000 Housing per inmate: \$6,452 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$7,796 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, plaster security partitions, steel Exterior surface or facade: Unknown Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, custom control panels, satellite/ cable Dimensions

Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 30,000 Housing area NSF: 25,200 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 78 (double), 2,400 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 96 Dorms: 276 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 372 Current population: Unknown

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit, standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 26% Medium: 0 Minimum: 74%

#### Current staff

#### Full-time equivalent

Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Difficult site conditions (work inside existing building) Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Weather problems, adaptation of existing building

# Louisiana

Orleans Parish



# SECOND FLOOR PLAN

# Androscoggin County Jail

Responsible official: County Commissioner, Androscoggin County

Contact: John R. Lebel, Jail Administrator, Androscoggin County Jail, 40 Pleasant Street, Box 23, Auburn, ME 04210, 207-784-7361 Architect: WBRC Architect, 44 Central Street, Bangor, ME 04401, 207-947-4511 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Preuial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: August 1990 Construction time: Unknown

Costs

Total: \$8,900,000 Building only: \$8,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$4,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$42,202 Housing per cell: Linknown

Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$72,951 Total per GSF: \$127.51 Total per NSF: \$150.85 Total annual operating costs: \$1,637,841

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU • block

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Dimensions

Total GSF: 69,800 Total NSF: 59,000 Corrections GSF: 69,800 Corrections NSF: 59,000 Housing area GSF: 35,000 Housing area NSF: 29,000 GSF per inmate (design): 572 GSF per inmate (current): 851 NSF per inmate (design): 484 NSF per inmate (current): 720 Size of cells: 70 (single), 140 (double) Net/gross square feet: 85%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 65 Double occupancy: 30 Dorms: 14 Special population Single occupancy: 13 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 122 Current population: December 1991: 82

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 10 Inmates per unit (design): 10 Inmates per unit (current): 10 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Beds in classrooms **Total officers per unit** Day: 10 Evening: Unknown Midnight: 8

# Construction process

Contract method: Public bid Finance method: Countywide bond issued Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Sprinklers for common areas, smoke and heat sensing units Windows: Special security

# Security

Perimeter: Chainlink fence, concrete walls; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 15% Medium: 55% Minimum: 30%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 40 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 3 Total: 48 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; tight urban slope; approved juvenile pod within structure Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Phased construction (fast track)

Maine Androscoggin County



# **Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Maryland Division of Corrections

Contact: Barbara Shaw, Facility Administrator, Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women, 301 North Calverton Road, Baltimore, MD 21223, 410–566–5747

Architect: May and Holbrook, 901 North Calvert Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, 410–752–1554 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

Pacility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Unknown Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 2 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: January 1990 Finish date: July 1991 Construction time: 17 months

#### Costs

Total: \$4,021,000 Building only: \$3,866,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$54,338 Total per GSF: \$142.42 Total per NSF: \$237.20 Total annual operating costs: \$1,600,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Unknown Exterior walls: Unknown Interior walls: Unknown Exterior surface or facade: Unknown Electronic technology systems: Unknown Total GSF: 28,234 Total NSF: 16,952 Corrections GSF: 28,234 Corrections NSF: 16,952 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 382 GSF per inmate (current): 392 NSF per inmate (design): 229 NSF per inmate (current): 235 Size of cells: 131 (double) Net/gross square feet: 60%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 8 Double occupancy: 64 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 74 Current population: April 1993: 72

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Unknown Cells per unit: 18 Inmates per unit (design): 36 Inmates per unit (current): 36 Management type (design): Unknown Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 7 Evening: 5 Midnight: 5

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat Plumbing: Alurninum Furniture: Fabric, leather Fire protection: Sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard and screens

## Security

Perimeter: Costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 5 Security: 22 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 1 Total: 30 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.4:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Maryland Baltimore

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Central Laundry Facility**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Maryland Division of Corrections

Contact: Marsha Maloff, Warden, Central Laundry Facility, P.O. Box 395, Sykesville, MD 21784, 410–781–4734 Architect: Architectural Technologies, Inc., 8950 Route 108, Suite 218, Columbia, MD 21045, 410–995–4067 Construction Manager: Whiting-Turner Contracting Co., 300 East Joppa Road, Towson, MD 21286, 410–821–1100

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 2 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: December 1989 Finish date: June 1990 Construction time: 7 months

Costs

Total: \$3,150,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$3,150,000 Housing per inmate: \$12,305 Housing per cell: \$393,750 Total per inmate: \$12,305 Total per GSF: \$114.33 Total per NSF: \$136.81 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast roof panels Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, glazed block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: 27,551 Total NSF: 23,025 Corrections GSF: 27,551 Corrections NSF: 23,025 Housing area GSF: 27,551 Housing area NSF: 23,025 GSF per inmate (design): 108 GSF per inmate (design): 100 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 1,437.3 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 84%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 256 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 256 Current population: June 1992: 256

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 32 Inmates per unit (current): 32 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Private-sector funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast roof panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, steam heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security, security screens

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 0 Security: 6 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 1 Total: 9 Current inmate/staff ratio: 28.4:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods Negative: High labor costs, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Weather problems

Maryland Sykesville



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

219

# Jessup Pre-Release Unit

Responsible official: Commissioner, Maryland Division of Corrections

Contact: Thomas Corcoran, Warden, Jessup Pre-Release Unit, P.O. Box 536, Jessup, MD 20794–0536, 410–799–1363 Architect: Architectural Technologies, Inc., 8950 Route 108, Suite 218, Columbia, MD 21045, 410–995–4067 Construction Manager: Whiting-Turner Contracting Co., 300 East Joppa Road, Towson, MD 21286, 410–821–1100

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 5 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: March 1990 Finish date: July 1990 Construction time: 5 months

Costs

Total: \$6,300,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,400,000 Housing per inmate: \$3,333 Housing per cell: \$233,333 Total per inmate: \$15,000 Total per GSF: \$129.18 Total per NSF: \$157.30 Total annual operating costs: \$7,500,000

#### Construction type

- Structural: Steel frame, preengineered building system
- Exterior walls: Preengineered building system
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, preengineered building system
- Exterior surface or facade: CMU block, glazed block
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels

#### Total GSF: 48,768 Total NSF: 40,052 Corrections GSF: 48,768 Corrections NSF: 40,052 Housing area GSF: 12,228 Housing area NSF: 10,158 GSF per inmate (design): 116 GSF per inmate (design): 116 NSF per inmate (design): 95 NSF per inmate (current): 95 Size of cells: 3,571 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 82%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 420 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 420 Current population: December 1992: 420

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 70 Inmates per unit (current): 70 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Private-sector funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; preengineered building system

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security, security screens

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 14 Security: 98 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 5 Total: 120 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: Weather problems

# Maryland Jessup



# **Montgomery County Detention Center**

Responsible official: Director, Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation

Contact: Albert Hanulik, Acting Warden, Montgomery County Detention Center, 1307 Seven Locks Road, Rockville, MD 20854, 301-294-1700

Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, 1110 Vermont Avenue NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20005, 202-457-9400 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: April 1984 Finish date: July 1986 Construction time: 26 months

#### Costs

Total: \$5,878,000 Building only: \$5,278,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$5,278,000 Housing per inmate: \$43,983 Housing per cell: \$43,983 Total per inmate: \$44,870 Total per GSF: \$127.78 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 46,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 46,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 43,000 Housing area NSF: 23,650 GSF per inmate (design): 351 GSF per inmate (current): 176 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 90 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 120 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 11 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 131 Current population: April 1993: 262

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 14 Inmates per unit (design): 14 Inmates per unit (current): 28 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State and local general obligation bonds, cost shared by local jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Steam heat Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, perimeter detection/alarm; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 38 Programs and treatment: 2 Maintenance: 0 Total: 43 Current inmate/staff ratio: 6.1:1 Contracted services: Medical, commissary

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Site conditions

Maryland Montgomery County



ROOF PLAN





SECOND MEZZANINE PLAN



SECOND FLOOR PLAN

# **Montgomery County Detention Center**

Responsible official: Director, Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation

Contact: Albert Hanulik, Acting Warden, Montgomery County Detention Center, 1307 Seven Locks Road, Rockville, MD 20854, 301–294–1700

Dimensions

Architect: James A. Lichty, 9948 West 87, Overland Park, KS 66206, 913–341–2356 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Prenial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration; Ladder, telephone pole Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: October 1989 Finish date: July 1990 Construction time: 7 months

#### Costs

Total: \$6,700,000 Building only: \$6,600,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$6,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$22,000 Housing per cell: \$44,000 Total per inmate: \$20,303 Total per GSF: \$171.61 Total per NSF: \$200.44 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete cells Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, textured concrete Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, paging and sound system, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 39,043 Total NSF: 33,427 Corrections GSF: 39,043 Corrections NSF: 33,427 Housing area GSF: 39,043 Housing area NSF: 33,427 GSF per inmate (design): 118 GSF per inmate (design): 118 GSF per inmate (current): 145 NSF per inmate (design): 101 NSF per inmate (current): 124 Size of cells: 80 (single), 160 (double) Net/gross square feet: 86%

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 300 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 22 Double occupancy: 8 Dorms: 0 Total design: 330 Current population: February 1993: 270

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 30 Inmates per unit (design): 60 Inmates per unit (current): 60 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: State and local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; precast panels

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, perimeter detection/alarm; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 0 Security: 42 Programs and treatment: 8 Maintenance: 0 Total: 50 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.4:1 Contracted services: Medical, commissary

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, phased construction (fast track) Negative: N/A



# NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

225

# **Patuxent Institution**

Responsible official: Secretary, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

Contact: Archie Gee, Warden, Patuxent Institution, P.O. Box 7000, Jessup, MD 20794, 410–799–3400 Architect: Architectural Technologies, Inc., 8950 Route 108, Suite 218, Columbia, MD 21045, 410–995–4067 Construction Manager: Whiting-Turner Contracting Co., 300 East Joppa Road, Towson, MD 21204, 410–821–1100

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: December 1989 Finish date: June 1990 Construction time: 6 months

#### Costs

Total: \$1,300,000 Building only: \$1,300,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$1,300,000 Housing per inmate: \$10,156 Housing per cell: \$325,000 Total per inmate: \$10,156 Total per GSF: \$94.31 Total per NSF: \$114.74 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast roof panels Exterior walls: CMU block, cavity wall system

Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, glazed block

Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 13,785 Total NSF: 11,330 Corrections GSF: 13,785 Corrections NSF: 11,330 Housing area GSF: 13,785 Housing area NSF: 11,330 GSF per inmate (design): 108 GSF per inmate (design): 108 NSF per inmate (current): 108 NSF per inmate (current): 89 NSF per inmate (current): 89 Size of cells: 1,676 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 82%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 124 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 4 Total design: 128 Current population: January 1993: 128

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 32 Inmates per unit (current): 32 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: State funded Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, steam heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security and screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Weather problems





11

Maryland Jessup

227

# Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Norfolk County

Contact: Clifford H. Marshall, Sheriff, Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center, 200 West Street, Dedham, MA 02026, 617–329–6730

Architect: The Architect's Collaborative, 46 Brattle Street, Cambridge, MA 02146, 617–868–4200 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 8 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: July 1989 Finish date: October 1991 Construction time: 27 months

# Costs

Total: \$32,000,000 Building only: \$27,800,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$81,218 Total per GSF: \$222.22 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$15,200,000

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, brick Interior walls: CMU block, brick Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter

detection/alarm, satellite/cable

Total GSF: 144,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 144,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 365 GSF per inmate (design): 365 GSF per inmate (current): 409 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single), 120 (double and dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 212 Double occupancy: 96 Dorms: 62 Special population Single occupancy: 23 Double occupancy: 1 Dorms: 0 Total design: 394 Current population: January 1993; 352

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: State general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None

Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast concrete exterior panels

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and manual locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Wood, vinyl Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Security with horizontal steel tubes

## Security

Perimeter: Single fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 70% Medium: 20% Minimum: 10%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 19 Security: 123 Programs and treatment: 56 Maintenance: 29 Total: 227 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.6:1 Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Phased construction (fast track), good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Coordination between agencies Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: Coordination between agencies

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Massachusetts Norfolk County



229

# **Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility**

Responsible official: Director, Michigan Department of Corrections

Contact: Raymond Toombs, Warden, Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility, 1576 West Bluewater Highway, Ionia, MI 48846, 616–527–6331

Architect: R.C. Byce & Associates, 487 Portage Street, Kalamazoo, MI 49007, 616–381–6170 Construction Manager: Clark Construction Company, 3225 West St. Joseph Street, Lansing, MI 48917, 517-372-0940

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 79 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: June 1985 Finish date: May 1987 Construction time: 20 months

#### Costs

Total: \$34,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Same Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$51,051 Total per GSF: \$129.09 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$18,410,663

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 263,381 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 263,381 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 125,000 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 395 GSF per inmate (current): 450 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 80 (single), 228 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 240 Special population Single occupancy: 426 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 666 Current population: April 1993: 585

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 80 Inmates per unit (design): 80 Inmates per unit (current): 75 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 6 Evening: 6 Midnight: 3

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: State general funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Terrazo Intercom: One-way to cells, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, hot water Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 60% Medium: 40% Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 36 Security: 277 Programs and treatment: 38 Maintenance: 9 Total: 360 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.6:1 Contracted services: Pest control, garbage

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good construction management Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track);

coordination of design Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical,

and electrical systems

# Michigan Ionia



ŀ



# **Macomb County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Macomb County

Contact: Dr. Donald J. Amboyer, Jail Administrator, Macomb County Jail, 43565 Elizabeth Road, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043, 313–469–5024 Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., 1831 Chestnut Street, St. Louis, MO 63103, 314–421–2000; Wakey Associates, 30500 VanDyke Avenue, Suite M–7, Warren, MI 48093, 313–573–4100 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation, expansion Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: October 1984 Finish date: June 1987 Construction time: 32 months

#### Costs

Total: \$27,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$22,400,000 Housing per inmate: \$25,926 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$30,033 Total per GSF: \$133.64 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$16,400,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Clay-faced tile Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 202,042 Total NSF: Unknown

Corrections GSF: 202,042 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 167,700 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 225 GSF per inmate (current): 228 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 75 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 216 Double occupancy: 648 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 35 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 899 Current population: February 1993: 885

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 18 Inmates per unit (design): 36 Inmates per unit (current): 36 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Mattresses on floor, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 25% Medium: 50% Minimum: 25%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 4 Security: 167 Programs and treatment: 65 Maintenance: 4 Total: 240 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.7:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Weather problems

# Michigan Macomb County



# Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility

Responsible official: Director, Wayne County

Contact: Peter Wilson, Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility, 3502 Hamtramck Drive, Hamtramck, MI 48211, 313–875–7006 Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., 1831 Chestnut Street, St. Louis, MO 63103, 314–421–2000 Construction Manager: Barton-Malow, 27777 Franklin Road, Southfield, MI 48034, 313–351–4500

Dimensions

Facility type: County juil Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 16 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: October 1989 Finish date: September 1991 Construction time: 23 months

## Costs

Total: \$54,975,000 Building only: \$52,975,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$62,048 Total per GSF: \$106 Total per NSF: \$181.85 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame, loadbearing exterior masonry Exterior walls: Brick, precast lintels Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/cable

#### Total GSF: 518,635 Total NSF: 302,306 Corrections GSF: 518,635 Corrections NSF: 302,306 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 585 GSF per inmate (current): 960 NSF per inmate (current): 960 NSF per inmate (current): 560 Size of cells: 70.5 (single), 235 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 50%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 480 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 360 Special population Single occupancy: 46 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 886 Current population: April 1993: 540

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 60 Inmates per unit (design): 60 Inmates per unit (current): 60 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; prefabricated concrete columns, hollowcore planks, and lintels

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, carpet, sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 5% Medium: 27% Minimum: 68%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 15 Security: 125 Programs and treatment: 9 Maintenance: 4 Total: 153 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

#### Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); less expensive materials Negative: N/A

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: N/A



# LEVEL ONE

2 Inmate Property	12 Vialor Waiting
3 Process Walting	13 Administration Offices
4 Holding	14 Staff Lockers
5 identification	15 Training
6 Medical	16 Boll Call
7 Records	17 Prisoner Lockers
B Classification Office	18 Slaff Diolog
9 Multi-Purpose	19 Food Senice
10 Line-up	20 Laundry

11 Lobby

1 Vehicle Sallyport

 21 Mechanical
 31 Nurse

 22 Dayspace
 32 Medical Records

 23 Program
 33 Medical Offices

 24 Exercise
 34 X-Ray

 25 Visit
 35 Medical Lab

 26 Master Control
 35 Denial Services

 27 Classroom
 37 Clerical

 28 General Library
 35 Fitness

 29 Storage
 39 Maintenance

 30 Medical Waiting
 40 Commissary

 47 Control

· - - -

. t

Michigan Wayne County

# Hennepin County Adult Detention Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Hennepin County

Contact: Michael Jalma, Captain, Hennepin County Adult Detention Center, Room 6, 300 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55415, 612–348–8358

Architect: Setter Leach & Lindstrom, Inc., 1100 Peavey Building Second Avenue at Eighth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402–2454, 612–338–8741

Dimensions

Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Prenial Category: Phased project (past) Building configuration: Courtyard Complex ase: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: N/A

## Time

Groundbreaking: February 1984 Finish date: July 1987 Construction time: 41 months

Costs

Total: \$3,207,851 Building only: \$3,207,851 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$2,383,000 Housing per inmate: \$21,862 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$29,430 Total per GSF: \$141.63 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$4,310,124

#### Construction type

Structural: Existing concrete/masonry Exterior walls: Existing stone with new interior CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Existing stone Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 22,650 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,650 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 22,650 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 208 GSF per inmate (current): 184 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population

Single occupancy: 81 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 28 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 109 Current population: January 1992: 123

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 8 Inmates per unit (design): 8 Inmates per unit (current): 8 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Security screens, standard

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 20 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 2 Total: 26 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.7:1 Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; construction phasing Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Government procedures and regulationes complex electronic

regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; unexpected delays due to age of building

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Minnesota

Hennepin County





# Minnesota Correctional Facility-Shakopee

Responsible official: Commissioner, Minnesota Department of Corrections

Contact: Connie M. Roehrich, Superintendent, Minnesota Correctional Facility—Shakopee, 1010 West Sixth Avenue, Box 7, Shakopee, MN 55379, 612–496–4441

Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., 1831 Chestnut Street, St. Louis, MO 63103, 314–421–2000; BWBR, 400 Sibley Street, Suite 500, St. Paul, MN 55101, 612–222–3701 Construction Manager: N/A

#### Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced, presumptive hold (convicted but not sentenced) Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Atmount of land: 37 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: September 1984 Finish date: August 1986 Construction time: 24 months

## Costs

Total: \$15,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: \$139.06 Total per NSF: \$208.19 Total annual operating costs: \$5,747,484

#### Construction type

Structural: Unknown Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Concrete block, gypsum board, burnished block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Unknown

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 107,867 Total NSF: 72,050 Corrections GSF: 107,867 Corrections NSF: 72,050 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 749 GSF per inmate (design): 740 NSF per inmate (design): 500 NSF per inmate (current): 493 Size of cells: 103 (single) Net/gross square feet: 67%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 106 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 106 Current population: February 1993: 146

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Other Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in "wing lounge" **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

# Construction process

Contract method: Unknown Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: Unknown Use of prefabrication: Unknown

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, quarry tile Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Multiple fuel energy plant utilizing peat and wood Plumbing: China Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Unknown Windows: Unknown

# Security

Perimeter: Single fence, perimeter border created by landscaping; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 32 Security: 52 Programs and treatment: 20 Maintenance: 11 Total: 115 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.3:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

# Minnesota Shakopee



# **Sherburne County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Sherburne County

Contact: Stephen Daniels, Jail Administrator, Sherburne County Jail, 13880 Highway 10, P.O Box 308, Elk River, MN 55330, 612–241–2500 Architect: Birkeland Architects (out of business)

Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced, INS Category: Expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: January 1987 Finish date: June 1988 Construction time: 18 months

# Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$2,950,000 Housing per inmate: \$59,000 Housing per cell: \$59,000 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

# Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block, brick Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels Dimensions Total GSF: 133,232 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 22,330 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 22,330 Housing area GSF: 22,330 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 447 GSF per inmate (current): 429 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: N/A Net/gross square feet: Unknown

# Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 50 Current population: January 1992: 52

# Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 4 Inmates per unit (design): 4 Inmates per unit (current): 4 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells and common areas, duress voice alarm HVAC: Steam heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 12% Medium: 64% Minimum: 24%

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 17 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 0 Total: 22 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.4:1 Contracted services: Unknown

# Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Unknown Negative: Government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Government procedures and regulations
# Minnesota

Sherburne County

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Harrison County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Harrison County

Contact: Rick Gaston, Administrator, Harrison County Jail, 10451 Larkin I. Smith Drive, Gulfport, MS 39503, 601–865–7072 Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

#### Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use)

Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 29 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: Unknown Construction time: Unknown

## Costs

Total: \$13,000,000 Building only: \$12,600,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$9,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$23,438 Housing per cell: \$23,438 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,493,258

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: EIFS Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 204,000 Corrections NSF: 127,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 476 GSF per inmate (design): 476 GSF per inmate (current): 408 NSF per inmate (design): 296 NSF per inmate (current): 254 Size of cells: 82 (single) Net/gross square feet: 62%

### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 384 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 5 Double occupancy: 40 Dorms: 0 Total design: 429 Current population: January 1993: 500

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 16 Evening: 16 Midnight: 16

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence perimeter detection/alarm; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 17% Medium: 83% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 31 Programs and treatment: 4 Maintenance: 1 Total: 38 Current inmate/staff ratio: 13.2:1 Contracted services: Unknown

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials, bidding alternative construction systems Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier

# Mississippi Harrison County

.



## **Boone County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Boone County

Contact: Warren Brewer, Division Commander, Boone County Jail, 2121 County Drive, Columbia, MO 65202, 314–875–1111 Architect: Durrant Architects, Inc., One Cycare Plaza, Dubuque, IA 52001, 319–583–9131 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 106 North Seventh Street, Columbia, MO 65201, 314–874–2514

Facility	type: Cou	nty jaul		
Jurisdic	tion type:	Federal, S	State, cou	unty
Commi	tment type	e: Pretrial,	sentenco	xd
Categor	y: New cc	nstruction		
(indepe	ndent faci	lity)		
Building	e configur	ation: Ch	isters	
Comple	x use: Lav	v enforcen	nent	
Facility	location: I	Rural		
Amount	of land:	Sacres		÷ .,
		1991.2		

r

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1989 Finish date: February 1991 Construction time: 20 months

## Costs

Total: \$5,632,381 Building only: \$5,447,400 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$105.32 Total per NSF: \$142.68 Total annual operating costs: \$1,473,699

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block, metal stud, gypsum board Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Unknown

## Dimensions

Total GSF: 53,476 Total NSF: 39,475 Corrections GSF: 38,252 Corrections NSF: 28,209 Housing area GSF: 31,913 Housing area NSF: 24,367 GSF per inmate (design): 206 GSF per inmate (current): 324 NSF per inmate (design): 152 NSF per inmate (current): 239 Size of cells: 79 (single), 126 (double), 373 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 74%

## Inmate design capacity

General population

Single occupancy: 60 Double occupancy: 48 Dorms: 19 **Special population** Single occupancy: 5 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 50 Total design: 186 Current population: May 1992: 118

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 20 Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local special, time-limited tax levy Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete panels

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Forced air, air to air heat/recovery, direct digital control system Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Security glass block

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 16% Medium: 21% Minimum: 63%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 6 Security: 26 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 3 Total: 35 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.4:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition Negative: N/A

Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods; phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design Negative: N/A

ì

2



# **Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center**

Responsible official: Director, Missouri Department of Corrections

Contact: Donald L. Smith, Superintendent, Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center, P.O. Box 190, State Route 0, Fulton, MO 65251, 314–592–4040

Architect: Hoffman DeMichele, Inc., 710 North Second Street, Suite 200 South, St. Louis, MO 63102, 314–621–0700 Construction Manager: CRSS Constructors, Inc., 106 North Seventh Street, Columbia, MO 65201, 314–874–2514

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 127 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: September 1984 Finish date: May 1987 Construction time: 24 months

Costs

Total: \$29,094,184 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$18,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$35,156 Housing per cell: \$35,156 Total per inmate: \$56,825 Total per GSF: \$97.96 Total per NSF: \$149.97 Total annual operating costs: \$3,097,710

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, satellite/cable, joint domain system, taut wire system, fence disturbance system Total GSF: 297,000 Total NSF: 194,000 Corrections GSF: 297,000 Corrections NSF: 194,000 Housing area GSF: 297,000 Housing area NSF: 194,000 GSF per inmate (design): 580 GSF per inmate (current): 280 NSF per inmate (current): 280 NSF per inmate (current): 183 Size of cells: 84 (single) Net/gross square feet: 65%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 512 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 512 Current population: December 1992: 1,062

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 64 Inmates per unit (design): 64 Inmates per unit (current): 128 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, mattresses on floor, beds in dayroom, second bunk **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

- Finance method: State general obligation bonds
- Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 81%

Medium: 19% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 10 Security: 143 Programs and treatment: 111 Maintenance: 16 Total: 270 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition

Negative: High labor costs; difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); coordination of design
- Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction



# Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center

Responsible official: Presiding Commissioner, Marion County

Contact: Dan Campbell, Sheriff, Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center, 210 West Lafayette, Palmyra, MO 63461, 314–769–2077

Architect: Abend Singleton Associates, Inc., 1200 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106, 816–221–5011 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Pacility type: County jait, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 4 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: September 1992 Construction time: 15 months

## Costs

Total: \$3,176,000 Building only: \$3,075,930 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$2,220,000 Housing per inmate: \$30,833 Housing per cell: \$37,000 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$106.94 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$907,222

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonry walls Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, glazed CMU block, split face Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 29,700 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 24,200 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 19,500 Housing area NSF: 18,600 GSF per inmate (design): 267 GSF per inmate (design): 267 GSF per inmate (current): 733 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 60.7 (single), 115 (double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

## General population

Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 32 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 5 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 5 Total design: 90 Current population: January 1993: 33

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit and special security

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 10% Medium: 80% Minimum: 10%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 22 Programs and treatment: 3 Maintenance: 1 Total: 29 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.1:1 Contracted services: Phone

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Missouri Marion County



NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

## Western Missouri Correctional Center

Responsible official: Director, Missouri Department of Corrections

Contact: Michael L. Kemna, Superintendent, Western Missouri Correctional Center, Route 5, Box 1E, Cameron, MO 64429–0376, 816–632–1390

Architect: Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff, 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, MO 64106, 816–472–1201 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 220 acres

# Time

Groundbreaking: January 1987 Finish date: September 1988 Construction time: 20 months

Costs

Total: \$41,901,119 Building only: \$33,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$2,000,000 Housing per cell: \$2,000 Total per inmate: \$21,215 Total per GSF: \$90.52 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$16,756,917

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete cells, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, CMU block, precast walls, colored metal roofs Electronic technology systems: CCTV

surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 462,865 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 462,865 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 444 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 85.9 (double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 2,000 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 2,000 Current population: January 1993: 1,975

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 100 Inmates per unit (design): 200 Inmates per unit (current): 200 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast exterior walls

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/ alarm, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 30% Medium: 70% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 10 Security: 376 Programs and treatment: 148 Maintenance: 31 Total: 565 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.5:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods, good competition Negative: N/A

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

## Missouri Cameron



## Ely State Prison

Responsible official: Director, Nevada Department of Prisons

Contact: Chuck Wolff, Associate Warden, Ely State Prison, P.O. Box 1989, Ely, NV 89301, 702–289–8800 Architect: Ganthner, Melby, Lee Architects and Planners, 5190 Neil Road, #231, Reno, NV 89502, 702–829–8814 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 1,000 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: August 1987 Finish date: December 1990 Construction time: 40 months

## Costs

Total: \$52,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$25,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$24,802 Housing per cell: \$31,888 Total per inmate: \$51,587 Total per GSF: \$134.29 Total per NSF: \$149.21 Total annual operating costs: \$14,000,000

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, natural wall, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system duress/fire

paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Dimensions

Total GSF: 387,220 Total NSF: 348,498 Corrections GSF: 387,220 Corrections NSF: 348,498 Housing area GSF: 238,960 Housing area NSF: 215,064 GSF per inmate (design): 384 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): 346 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 86 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 90%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 576 Double occupancy: 384 Dorms: 16 Special population Single occupancy: 32 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,008 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Manual locking, motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Air-conditioning, heating/air circulation Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 98% Medium: 0 Minimum: 2%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: 0 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Weather problems





## NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# **Cumberland County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Cumberland County

Contact: Captain Dennis Flukey, Undersheriff, Cumberland County Jail, 54 West Broad Street, Bridgeton, NJ 08332, 609–451–8000 Architect: Vitetta Group, 642 North Broad Street, The Wallace Building, Philadelphia, PA 19130, 215–235–3500; K.A. Parsons Associates, 605 Wagner Road, Studio 1, Lafayette Hill, PA 19444–2024, 215–828–4193 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County, State Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced inmates from other jurisdictions Category: Expansion Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 6 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: January 1988 Construction time: Unknown

Costs

Total: \$6,200,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$62,000 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: \$217.85 Total annual operating costs: \$2,177,000

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels

### Dimensions

Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: 28,460 Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: 28,460 Housing area GSF: 17,024 Housing area NSF: 10,640 GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): 285 NSF per inmate (current): 75 Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 96 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 4 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 100 Current population: October 1992: 380

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 17 Evening: 17 Midnight: 15

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

- Finance method: Local general obligation bonds, State contribution
- Use of inmate labor: Limited; painting and site cleaning
- Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile, tile in kitchen Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Air-conditioning, steam heat Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance, razor wire on walls in exercise yard; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 4% Medium: 96% Minimum: 0%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 16 Security: 108 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 1 Total: 131 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.9:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition, good contractor Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Advanced order of materials, coordination of design Negative: Weather problems, political issues

# New Jersey Cumberland County

•

:



255

## **Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton**

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Michael Cooksey, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton, P.O. Box 280, Highway 698, Fairton, NJ 08320, 609-453-1177

Architect: Vitetta Group, 642 North Broad Street, The Wallace Building, Philadelphia, PA 19130, 215–235–3500 Construction Manager: Morse Diesel, 120 Wood Avenue South, Suite 500, Iselin, NJ 08830, 908–494–1800

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: October 1987 Finish date: January 1990 Construction time: 27 months

## Costs

Total: \$50,700,000 Building only: \$43,200,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$13,800,000 Housing per inmate: \$14,375 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$50,700 Total per GSF: \$149.11 Total per NSF: \$238.59 Total annual operating costs: \$18,200,000

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 340,000 Total NSF: 212,500 Corrections GSF: 340,000 Corrections NSF: 212,500 Housing area GSF: 105,000 Housing area NSF: 88,000 GSF per inmate (design): 340 GSF per inmate (current): 362 NSF per inmate (current): 227 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 62.5%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 960 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 40 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,000 Current population: February 1993: 938

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 120 Inmates per unit (design): 120 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to cells HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 28 Security: 109 Programs and treatment: 42 Maintenance: 24 Total: 203 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: High labor costs; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, labor problems

# New Jersey Fairton



## **Monmouth County Correction Institution**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Monmouth County

Contact: Clifford J. Daniels, Warden, Monmouth County Correction Institution, 1 Waterworks Road, Freehold, NJ 07728, 908–431–6524 Architect: Grad Partnership, One Gateway Center, Newark, NJ 07102–5388, 201–621–1700 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Remodeling/renovation Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: N/A

## Time

Groundbreaking: March 1983 Finish date: October 1985 Construction time: 31 months

### Costs

Total: \$11,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$6,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$23,438 Housing per cell: \$46,875 Total per inmate: \$42,968 Total per GSF: \$423.08 Total per NSF: \$591.40 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Drivit on concrete Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 26,000 Total NSF: 18,600 Corrections GSF: 26,000 Corrections NSF: 18,600 Housing area GSF: 26,000 Housing area NSF: 18,600 GSF per inmate (design): 102 GSF per inmate (current): 103 NSF per inmate (current): 103 NSF per inmate (current): 74 Size of cells: 80 (double) Net/gross square feet: 72%

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 256 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 256 Current population: January 1992: 252

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 64 Inmates per unit (design): 128 Inmates per unit (current): 128 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Beds in dayroom **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State and local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Air-conditioning, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit and special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 30 Programs and treatment: 6 Maintenance: 2 Total: 39 Current inmate/staff ratio: 6.5:1 Contracted services: Food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# New Jersey Monmouth County



# **Cattaraugus County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Cattaraugus County

Contact: Jerry E. Burrell, Sheriff, Cattaraugus County Jail, 301 Court Street, Little Valley, NY 14755, 716–938–9191 Architect: Habiterra Associates, 1279 North Main Street, P.O. Box 609, Jamestown, NY 14702–0609, 716–664–4710 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration: Countyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1991 Finish date: April 1993 Construction time: 22 months

## Costs

Total: \$4,767,230 Building only: \$4,651,330 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$2,791,000 Housing per inmate: \$22,508 Housing per cell: \$22,508 Total per inmate: \$36,115 Total per GSF: \$82,45 Total per NSF: \$109.93 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable

## Dimensions Total GSF: 57,821 Total NSF: 43,366 Corrections GSF: 33,536 Corrections NSF: 25,152 Housing area GSF: 30,258 Housing area NSF: 8,470 GSF per inmate (design): 438 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: 75%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 124 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 8 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 132 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 12 Inmates per unit (design): 12 Inmates per unit (current): 12 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Beds in recreation room **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds, fixed financing rate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete plank

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Epoxy coating, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 58% Medium: 37% Minimum: 5%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Coordination of design Negative: Weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical

systems

## New York Cattaraugus County

\$

MAXIMUM SECURITY



# **Genesee County Jail**

Responsible official: Genesee Sheriff, Genesee County

Contact: Robert Stevens, Chief Deputy, Genesee County Jail, 14 West Main Street, P.O. Box 151, Batavia, NY 14020–0151, 716–343–0911 Architect: Habiterra, 1279 North Main Street P.O. Box 609, Jamestown, NY 14702, 716–664–4710

Dimensions

Construction Manager: N/A

Pacility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced, inmates from other jurisdictions Category: Expansion Building configuration: Integrated structures Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location; Urban Amount of land: 1 acre

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1984 Finish date: January 1987 Construction time: 30 months

## Costs

Total: \$3,500,000 Building only: 2,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$1,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$35,555 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$95.74 Total per NSF: \$130.42 Total annual operating costs: \$1,212,279

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, satellite/cable Total GSF: 36,556 Total NSF: 26,837 Corrections GSF: 24,967 Corrections NSF: 18,725 Housing area GSF: 15,335 Housing area NSF: 11,501 GSF per inmate (design): 555 GSF per inmate (current): 454 NSF per inmate (current): 454 NSF per inmate (current): 340 Size of cells: 42 (single), 360 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 73%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 30 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 12 Special population Single occupancy: 3 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 45 Current population: January 1992: 55

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 3 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, beds in dayroom **Total officers per unit** Day: 6 Evening: 6 Midnight: 4

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds, fixed financing rate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete plank flooring

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Aluminum Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: One-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 71% Medium: 29% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 2 Security: 21 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 3 Total: 33 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: General contractor went bankrupt

# New York

Genesee County



## **Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Jefferson County

Contact: Donald Newberry, Sheriff, Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building, 753 City Center Drive West, Watertown, NY 13601, 315–786–2660

Architect: Robertson Strong Apgar Architects, 1054 James Street, Syracuse, NY 13203, 315–472–7761 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

## Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 20 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: November 1989 Finish date: Febuary 1992 Construction time: 26 months

Costs

Total: \$14,000,000 Building only: \$14,000,000 Final construction cost: Same Housing area: \$7,600,000 Housing per inmate: \$46,341 Housing per cell: \$46,341 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$120.90 Total per NSF: \$161.85 Total annual operating costs: \$1,373,935

### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete plank on loadbearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 115,800 Total NSF: 86,500 Corrections GSF: 68,600 Corrections NSF: 48,000 Housing area GSF: 43,700 Housing area NSF: 36,500 GSF per inmate (design): 379 GSF per inmate (design): 265 NSF per inmate (current): 293 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 75%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 164 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 17 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 181 Current population: January 1992: 164

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 32 Inmates per unit (design): 32 Inmates per unit (current): 32 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 7 Evening: 7 Midnight: 6

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild, multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None

Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 52 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 4 Total: 71 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition; less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex

electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Phased construction (fast track), advanced order of materials, coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier



# Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Saratoga County

Contact: James Bowen, Sheriff, Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center, 5010 County Farm Road, Ballston Spa, NY 12020, 518-885-5381

Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

**Dimensions** 

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial Category: Unknown Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: October 1986 Finish date: April 1988 Construction time: 19 months

## Costs

Total: \$10,000,000 Building only: \$9,200,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$7,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$41,667 Housing per cell: \$41,667 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$96.15 Total per NSF: \$156.25 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: EIFS Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable, metal detection, watch tower system Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 104,000 Corrections NSF: 64,000 Housing area GSF: 94,000 Housing area NSF: 54,000 GSF per inmate (design): 619 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 62%

## Inmate design capacity

# General population

Single occupancy: 168 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 168 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm, general paging throughout HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, perimeter detection/alarm; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 38% Medium: 62% Minimum: 0

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Labor problems, weather problems



# **Duplin County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Duplin County

Contact: Jesse Scott, Sergeant, Duplin County Jail, P.O. Box 206, NC 11 South, Kenansville, NC 28349, 919–296–2150 Architect: Ron Webb (out of business) Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County, State Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: September 1989 Finish date: March 1991 Construction time: 18 months

Costs

Total: \$125,000 Building only: \$125,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$3,125 Total per GSF: \$52.08 Total per NSF: \$52.08 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Metal building Interior walls: Metal, sheet rock Electronic technology systems: Duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, perimeter detection/alarm

## Dimensions

Total GSF: 2,400 Total NSF: 2,400 Corrections GSF: 2,400 Corrections NSF: 2,400 Housing area GSF: 2,400 Housing area NSF: 2,400 GSF per inmate (design): 60 GSF per inmate (current): 160 NSF per inmate (current): 160 NSF per inmate (current): 160 Size of cells: N/A Net/gross square feet: N/A

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 40 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 40 Current population: December 1992: 15

### Inmate housing areas

Design: Dormitory Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 40 Inmates per unit (current): 15 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: County tax Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Unknown Type(s) of doors: Unknown Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: N/A HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas Windows: No bars

### Security

Perimeter: Single fence, perimeter detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 0 Security: 4 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 4 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.8:1 Contracted services: None

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

# North Carolina

Duplin County

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

## **Durham County Jail Annex**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Durham County

Contact: Director Cote, Durham County Jail Annex, 300 East Main Street, Durham, NC 27701, 919–560–0910 Architect: O'Brien Atkins Associates, P.O. Box 12037, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, 919–941–9000 Construction Manager: Davidson and Jones Construction, P.O. Box 19067, Raleigh, NC 27619, 919–828–6260

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Conversion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: .43 acre

## Time

Groundbreaking: January 1990 Finish date: September 1990 Construction time: 9 months

## Costs

Total: \$2,300,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$2,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$12,346 Housing per cell: \$400,000 Total per inmate: \$14,198 Total per GSF: \$54.76 Total per NSF: \$57.50 Total annual operating costs: \$3,265,430

## Construction type

Structural: Preexisting cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring outcome control

door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm

Dimensions Total GSF: 42,000 Total NSF: 40,000 Corrections GSF: 42,000 Corrections NSF: 40,000 Housing area GSF: 38,000 Housing area NSF: 36,800 GSF per inmate (design): 259 GSF per inmate (design): 259 NSF per inmate (current): 359 NSF per inmate (current): 342 Size of cells: 8,100 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 95%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 162 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 162 Current population: January 1992: 117

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Unknown Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 32 Inmates per unit (current): 32 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 18 Evening: Unknown Midnight: 18

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Emergency mandate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Carpet Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Air-conditioning, heating, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Unknown Windows: Special security

#### Security -----

Perimeter: Costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: Unknown Medium: Unknown Minimum: Unknown

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 4 Security: 151 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 1 Total: 163 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1:1 Contracted services: Medical, food

### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Posifive: N/A Negative: Government procedures and regulations, site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction; coordination of design Negative: N/A

# North Carolina Durham County



# Wake County Public Safety Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Wake County

Contact: John H. Baker, Jr., Sheriff, Wake County Public Safety Center, Salsbury Street, Raleigh, NC 27602, 919–856–6900 Architect: O'Brien Atkins Associates, P.O. Box 12037, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, 919–941–9000 Construction Manager: Davidson and Jones, P.O. Box 19067, Raleigh, NC 27619

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure, highrise Complex use; Law enforcement, courts, Bureau of Identification, EMS, Fire Marshal Facility location: Urban Amount of land; 2 acres

Time

Groundbreaking: N/A Finish date: July 1991 Construction time: 42 months

## Costs

Total: \$56,000,000 Building only: \$41,000,000 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$242.36 Total per NSF: \$317.28 Total annual operating costs: \$8,000,000

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, satellite/ cable

# Dimensions

Total GSF: 231,060 Total NSF: 176,500 Corrections GSF: 195,600 Corrections NSF: 110,000 Housing area GSF: 114,720 Housing area NSF: 67,860 GSF per inmate (design): 408 GSF per inmate (current): 394 NSF per inmate (design): 229 NSF per inmate (current): 221 Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: 76%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 456 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 24 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 480 Current population: January 1993: 497

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 24 Inmates per unit (design): 24 Inmates per unit (current): 24 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

# Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 4 Security: 185 Programs and treatment: 28 Maintenance: 2 Total: 219 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical

#### systems Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: N/A

# North Carolina

Wake County

1



Typical Housing East Fifth-Ninth Levels Floor Plan

# **Grafton Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Director, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Contact: Carl Anderson, Warden, Grafton Correctional Institution, 2500 South Avon Belden Road, Grafton, OH 44044, 216–748–1161 Architect: Robert P. Madison International, 2930 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44115, 216–861–8195 Construction Manager: Heery/Ozanne, 1635 East 25th Street, Cleveland, OH 44114, 216–696–2876

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 80 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: May 1986 Finish date: October 1988 Construction time: 29 months

## Costs

Total: \$30,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$12,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$24,194 Housing per cell: \$22,727 Total per inmate: \$56,818 Total per GSF: \$105.11 Total per NSF: \$131.37 Total annual operating costs: \$13,500,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block, brick, metal roofing Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 285,404 Total NSF: 228,370 Corrections GSF: 285,404 Corrections NSF: 228,370 Housing area GSF: 116,480 Housing area NSF: 93,844 GSF per inmate (design): 540 GSF per inmate (current): 294 NSF per inmate (design): 432 NSF per inmate (current): 235 Size of cells: 75 (single) Net/gross square feet: 80%

Dimensions

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 496 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 32 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 528 Current population: December 1992: 1,100

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 124 Inmates per unit (design): 124 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, mattresses in gymnasium **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Capital Improvement State House Bill 530 Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Unknown Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars, security screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level

Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 63 Security: 157 Programs and treatment: 27 Maintenance: 33 Total: 280 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.9:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Ohio Grafton



# **Lorain Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Director, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Contact: Terry Collins, Warden, Lorain Correctional Institution, 2075 South Avon Belden Road, Grafton, OH 44044, 216–748–1049 Architect: Richard Bowen and Associates, Incorporated, 13000 Shaker Boulevard, Cleveland, OH 44120, 216–491–9300 Construction Manager: Turner Construction, 100 Erieview Tower, Cleveland, OH 44114, 216–522–1180

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility), phased project (future) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 110 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: April 1987 Finish date: April 1990 Construction time: 36 months

## Costs

Total: \$40,000,000 Building only: \$31,000,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$18,226,123 Housing per inmate: \$9,256 Housing per cell: \$24,301 Total per inmate: \$19,860 Total per GSF: \$114.80 Total per NSF: \$202.84 Total annual operating costs: \$14,639,193

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing precast columns, cast concrete

Exterior walls: Concrete block with brick overlay

Interior walls: Precast columns, concrete block

Exterior surface or facade: Brick, stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable

## Dimensions Total GSF: 348,430

Total NSF: 197,200 Corrections GSF: 348,430 Corrections NSF: 197,200 Housing area GSF: 182,286 Housing area NSF: 107,682 GSF per inmate (design): 173 GSF per inmate (current): 176 NSF per inmate (design): 98 NSF per inmate (current): 100 Size of cells: 35 (double) Net/gross square feet: 57%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 1,969 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 9 Double occupancy: 36 Dorms: 0 Total design: 2,014 Current population: December 1991: 1,976

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 124 Inmates per unit (design): 248 Inmates per unit (current): 248 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cells, beds in dayroom **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 4

### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild

Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: No intercom system HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 11% Medium: 75% Minimum: 6% Short-term holds and medical: 8%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 44 Security: 171 Programs and treatment: 42 Maintenance: 14 Total: 271 Current inmate/staff ratio: 7.3:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track)

Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs,

government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced
- order of materials; coordination of design Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, labor problems, weather problems, government procedures and regulations, obsolete materials, work done out of order

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction
Ohio Grafton



# Northwest Ohio Regional Jail

Responsible official: Director, Corrections Commission of Northwest Ohio, William County

Contact: Jim Dennis, Executive Director, Northwest Ohio Regional Jail, 03151 Road 24.25, Route 1, Box 100–A, Stryker, OH 43557, 419–428–3800

Architect: Rosser Justice Systems, 524 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: Unknown

Dimensions

Facility type: Regional jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 46 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: July 1988 Finish date: November 1990 Construction time: 30 months

Costs

Total: \$15,000,000 Building only: \$14,300,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$9,700,000 Housing per inmate: \$20,464 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$29,528 Total per GSF: \$84.27 Total per NSF: \$130.43 Total annual operating costs: \$9,326,109

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, modular metal cells, loadbearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable, remote arraignment court, metal detection, watch tower system

Total GSF: 178,000 Total NSF: 115,000 Corrections GSF: 178,000 Corrections NSF: 115,000 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 350 GSF per inmate (current): 390 NSF per inmate (design): 226 NSF per inmate (current): 252 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 65%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 174 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 300 Special population Single occupancy: 14 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 20 Total design: 508 Current population: April 1993: 456

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): 45 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Administrative release **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Cost shared by local jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; hollow-core floor and ceiling panels

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: General paging throughout HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Single fence, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 10% Medium: 26% Minimum: 64%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 8 Security: 126 Programs and treatment: 29 Maintenance: 5 Total: 168 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.7:1 Contracted services: Medical, mental health

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: Slow response or delivery from

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, weather problems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction



# Warren Correctional Institution

Responsible official: Director, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Contact: Anthony Brigano, Warden, Warren Correctional Institution, 5787 State Route 63, P.O. Box 120, Lebanon, OH 45036, 513-932-3388

Architect: Lorenz & Williams, Inc., 120 West Third Street, Dayton, OH 45402–1819, 513–223–6500 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 45

#### Time

Groundbreaking: July 1986 Finish date: August 1989 Construction time: 37 months

# Costs

Total: \$33,067,000 Building only: \$28,504,095 Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$14,465,259 Housing per inmate: \$20,724 Housing per cell: \$24,110 Total per inmate: \$42,612 Total per GSF: \$83.34 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$13,649,879

## Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame, CMU block (walls) P.C.C. (floors) Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, staff intercommunication, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 396,795 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 396,795 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 192,381 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 511 GSF per inmate (current): 284 NSF per inmate (current): 284 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 598 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 100 Special population Single occupancy: 78 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 776 Current population: March 1993: 1,399

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 62 Inmates per unit (design): 62 Inmates per unit (current): 124 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple prime contracts Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None

Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast concrete floors, roofs

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation only, gas heat Plumbing: Stainless steel, china Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s); costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 3% Medium: 3% Minimum: 10% Close security: 84%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 52 Security: 172 Programs and treatment: 36 Maintenance: 23 Total: 283 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.9:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier



# Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Joe Crabtree, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution---Sheridan, P.O. Box 5001, 27072 Ballston Road, Sheridan, OR 97378, 503--843-4442

Architect: Zimmer Gunsul Frasca Partnership, 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 800, Seattle, WA 98101, 206–623–9414 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 100 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: August 1987 Finish date: June 1989 Construction time: 24 months

#### Costs

Total: 51,400,000 Building only: 42,700,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$16,602,989 Housing per inmate: 13,094 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: 39,058 Total per GSF: 108.95 Total per NSF: 159.42 Total annual operating costs: 19,500,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, CMU block, metal panels, wood Electronic technology systems: Paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 471,789 Total NSF: 322,414 Corrections GSF: 471,789 Corrections NSF: 322,414 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 359 GSF per inmate (current): 266 NSF per inmate (design): 245 NSF per inmate (current): 182 Size of cells: 77 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 68%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 256 Double occupancy: 512 Dorms: 500 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,316 Current population: May 1993: 1,773

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 128 Inmates per unit (design): 192 Inmates per unit (current): 317 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; precast concrete, inmate cells

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: N/A HVAC: Air-conditioning, gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars

# Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 0 Medium: 75% Minimum: 25%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 45 Security: 129 Programs and treatment: 136 Maintenance: 25 Total: 335 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track) Negative: Weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

systems



# **Marion County Corrections Facility**

Responsible official: Director, Marion County Department of Corrections

Contact: Bill Wasson, Director, Marion County Corrections Facility, 3950 Aumsville Highway, Salem, OR 97301, 503–588–8417 Architect: Lescher & Mahoney/DLR Group, 2141 East Carnelback Road, Suite 100, Phoenix, AZ 85016, 602–381–8580 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 20 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: September 1987 Finish date: January 1988 Construction time: 4 months

#### Costs

Total: \$11,287,000 Building only: \$11,177,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$7,400,000 Housing per inmate: \$35,577 Housing per cell: \$35,577 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$97.75 Total per NSF: \$139.65 Total annual operating costs: \$6,800,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing masonry with precast roof Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block split face Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff

intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 115,465 Total NSF: 80,825 Corrections GSF: 85,964 Corrections NSF: 60,174 Housing area GSF: 73,000 Housing area NSF: 51,125 GSF per inmate (design): 451 GSF per inmate (current): 391 NSF per inmate (design): 316 NSF per inmate (current): 294 Size of cells: 75 (single) Net/gross square feet: 70%

Dimensions

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 208 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 256 Current population: February 1993: 295

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 12 Inmates per unit (design): 12 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Adjust release policies **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas, immate duress alarm HVAC: Steam heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 14% Medium: 86% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 16 Security: 70 Programs and treatment: 12 Maintenance: 2 Total: 100 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: High labor costs Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, labor problems

# Oregon Marion County



# **Bucks County Rehabilitation Center**

Responsible official: Commissioners, Bucks County Prison Board

Contact: J. Allen Nesbitt, Director, Bucks County Department of Corrections, Bucks County Rehabilitation Center, 1730 South Easton Road, Doylestown, PA 18901, 215–345–3702

Architect: Vitetta Group, 642 North Broad Street, The Wallace Building, Philadelphia, PA 19130, 215–345–3500 Construction Manager: O'Donnell and Maccarato, Inc., 9 West Court Street, Doylestown, PA 18901, 215–340–2420

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Wheel, spoke, or radial Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 8 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: July 1991 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$4,525,050 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$16,636 Total per GSF: \$72.71 Total per NSF: \$90.89 Total annual operating costs: \$3,247,702

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing CMU block Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: Paging and sound system Total GSF: 62,230 Total NSF: 49,784 Corrections GSF: 62,230 Corrections NSF: 49,784 Housing area GSF: 34,861 Housing area NSF: 20,916 GSF per inmate (design): 230 GSF per inmate (current): 244 NSF per inmate (design): 184 NSF per inmate (current): 195 Size of cells: 123 (double) Net/gross square feet: 80%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 270 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 272 Current population: December 1991: 255

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; roof, decks, precast planks

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Carpet, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Custom hollow metal and security glass

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter detection/alarm; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 95% Disciplinary: 5%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 32 Programs and treatment: 12 Maintenance: 7 Total: 60 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.3:1 Contracted services: Medical, mental health services, educational instructors

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

# Pennsylvania Bucks County



# Federal Correctional Institution—McKean

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Dennis M. Luther, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution---McKean, P.O. Box 5000, Bradford, PA 16701-0950, 814-362-8900

Architect: Geddes, Brecher, Qualls, Cunningham, 2401 Locust Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, 215–561–2401 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 188 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1987 Finish date: October 1989 Construction time: 25 months

## Costs

Total: \$50,300,000 Building only: \$43,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$15,000,000 Housing per inmate: \$15,121 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$48,365 Total per GSF: \$102.13 Total per NSF: \$163.84 Total annual operating costs: \$18,900,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 492,505 Total NSF: 307,000 Corrections GSF: 492,505 Corrections NSF: 307,000 Housing area GSF: 145,000 Housing area NSF: 87,760 GSF per inmate (design): 474 GSF per inmate (current): 439 NSF per inmate (current): 235 NSF per inmate (current): 273 Size of cells: 77 (single) Net/gross square feet: 62%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 248 Double occupancy: 496 Dorms: 248 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,040 Current population: April 1993: 1,123

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 124 Inmates per unit (design): 124 Inmates per unit (current): 248 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: N/A HVAC: Air-conditioning, MTHW central boiler Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations, smoke detectors in ducts Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s); costs excluded Inmate security level Administrative: 0 High: 5% Medium: 70% Low: 24.4% Minimum: .6%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 14 Security: 124 Programs and treatment: 184 Maintenance: 27 Total: 349 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.2:1 Contracted services: N/A

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Phased construction (fast track), good competition Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Labor problems, weather problems

# Pennsylvania Bradford

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Federal Correctional Institution—Schuylkill

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: George C. Wigen, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution---Schuylkill, P.O. Box 700, Minersville, PA 17954, 717-544-7100 Architect: Benatec Associates, 101 Erford Road, Camp Hill, PA 17011-8866, 717-763-7391 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 650 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: June 1989 Finish date: April 1991 Construction time: 23 months

#### Costs

Total: \$53,904,873 Building only: \$44,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$22,800,000 Housing per inmate: \$20,000 Housing per cell: \$40,000 Total per inmate: \$43,612 Total per GSF: \$127.04 Total per NSF: \$203.41 Total annual operating costs: \$16,300,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, CMU block, metal panel siding Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Dimensions Total GSF: 424,300 Total NSF: 265,000 Corrections GSF: 399,411 Corrections NSF: 265,000 Housing area GSF: 120,976 Housing area NSF: 87,760 GSF per inmate (design): 390 GSF per inmate (design): 243 NSF per inmate (current): 183 Size of cells: 78 (single) Net/gross square feet: 62.5%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 248 Double occupancy: 496 Dorms: 296 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,088 Current population: May 1993: 1,448

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 124 Inmates per unit (design): 186 Inmates per unit (current): 248 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

# Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: No intercom system HVAC: Heating/air circulation, MTHW central boiler plant Plumbing: China Furniture: Wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, smoke detectors in ducts Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s); costs excluded Inmate security level High: 2% Medium: 52% Low: 25% Minimum; 21%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 45 Security: 129 Programs and treatment: 136 Maintenance: 25 Total: 335 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3.2:1 Contracted services: N/A

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Phased construction (fast track) Negative: Weather problems

Pennsylvania Minersville

# (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center

Responsible official: Commissioner, Philadelphia Prison System

Contact: Thomas Shields, Warden, Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center, 8301 State Road, Philadelphia, PA 19136, 215–335–7104 Architect: Jacobs, Wyner, Ehrenfrantz, 1232 Chancellor Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, 215–985–0900 Construction Manager: Morrison-Knudsen Parametric Co., P.O. Box 7808, Boise ID, 83729, 208–386–5000

#### Facility type: City jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction

(independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

# Time

Groundbreaking: July 1984 Finish date: June 1986 Construction time: 24 months

## Costs

Total: \$46,000,000 Building only: \$44,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$70,769 Total per GSF: \$164.28 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable

#### Dimensions Total GSF: 280,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 280,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 126,020 Housing area NSF: 79,586 GSF per inmate (design): 431 GSF per inmate (design): 431 GSF per inmate (current): 316 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 600 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 650 Current population: January 1992: 885

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 50 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 70 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild, multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Lease-purchase through municipal authority via sale bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Carpet, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: One-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 61% Medium: 39% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 290 Programs and treatment: 47 Maintenance: 19 Total: 365 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.4:1 Contracted services: Medical, equipment service contracts

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs
Positive: Simple const. methods, phased const. (fast track); less expensive materials
Negative: Difficult site conditions; gov. procedures/regulations; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems
Factors affecting time schedule
Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); coordination of design
Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction



Pennsylvania

Philadelphia

293

# **State Correctional Institution at Waymart**

Responsible official: Commissioner, Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

Contact: Charles H. Zimmerman, Superintendent, State Correctional Institution at Waymart, Route 6, P.O. Box 256, Waymart, PA 18472, 717–488–2500 Architect: Multiple

Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: Remodeling/renovation, conversion Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 1,400 acres

Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: October 1989 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$14,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$13,658 Total per GSF: \$28,44 Total per NSF: \$32,18 Total annual operating costs: \$30,837,000

## Construction type

Structural: Modular metal cells Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, brick Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable Total GSF: 492,206 Total NSF: 435,045 Corrections GSF: 492,206 Corrections NSF: 435,045 Housing area GSF: 200,708 Housing area NSF: 121,320 GSF per inmate (design): 480 GSF per inmate (current): 484 NSF per inmate (current): 484 NSF per inmate (current): 424 NSF per inmate (current): 428 Size of cells: 95 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 88%

## Inmate design capacity

#### General population

Dimensions

Single occupancy: 8 Double occupancy: 160 Dorms: 823 Special population Single occupancy: 14 Double occupancy: 20 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,025 Current population: April 1993: 1,017

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls and building interior Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, beds in dayroom **Total officers per unit** Day: 15 Evening: 15 Midnight: 13

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Fixed financing rate Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; prefabrication of some units

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Steam heat, forced air, electric heat pumps, air-conditioning Plumbing: Stainless steel, china, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, wood Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars, special security and screens

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, perimeter patrols and detection/ alarm, razor wire on fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded

## Inmate security level

Maximum: 4% Medium: 20% Minimum: 76%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 48 Security: 196 Programs and treatment: 48 Maintenance: 63 Total: 355 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.9:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, difficult site conditions, quality of materials Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Coordination of design Negative: N/A



۰.

# **York County Prison**

Responsible official: President, York County Commissioners

Contact: Richard J. Hahn, Warden, York County Prison, 3400 Concord Road, York, PA 17402, 717–771–9599 Architect: BASCO Associates, 611 West Market Street, York, PA 17404, 717–843–3854 Construction Manager: Quandel, 4309 Lingelstown Road, Suite 114, Harrisburg, PA 17112, 717–657–0909

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building), remodel/ renovation, expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure, courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 27 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: November 1990 Finish date: October 1992 Construction time: 23 months

#### Costs

Total: \$16,085,105 Building only: \$15,453,746 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$25,860 Total per GSF: \$110.10 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$6,600,000

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast plank on load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: Precast panels, CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete, CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 146,100 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 146,100 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 235 GSF per inmate (design): 239 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single), 100 (double) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 96 Double occupancy: 328 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 30 Double occupancy: 24 Dorms: 144 Total design: 622 Current population: March 1992: 612

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 32 Inmates per unit (design): 64 Inmates per unit (current): 100 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Beds in dayroom, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; precast roof plank, concrete floor and wall panels

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas, inmate duress alarm HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 54% Medium: 23% Minimum: 23%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 11 Security: 179 Programs and treatment: 12 Maintenance: 10 Total: 212 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.9:1 Contracted services: Medical

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, special security needed to keep part of facility operational Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, special security needed to keep part of facility operational

# Pennsylvania York County



Responsible official: Sheriff, Bee County

Contact: Robert L. Horn, Sheriff, Bee County Jail, 407 Hillside Drive, Beeville, TX 78102–5300, 512–362–3221 Architect: Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc., 10260 Westheimer, Suite 390, Houston, TX 77042, 713–953–9032 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use; Law enforcement Facility location; Unknown Amount of land; Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: June 1988 Finish date: July 1989 Construction time: 13 months

#### Costs

Total: \$2,660,000 Building only: \$2,575,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$92.36 Total per NSF: \$112.71 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, concrete columns and steel beams Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block, steel plate Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 28,800 Total NSF: 23,600 Corrections GSF: 22,000 Corrections NSF: 18,000 Housing area GSF: 22,000 Housing area NSF: 18,000 GSF per inmate (design): 172 GSF per inmate (design): 172 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 58.5 (single), 520 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 82%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 34 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 48 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 44 Total design: 128

## Inmate housing areas

Current population: Unknown

Design: Linear, cells on building interior Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Electric Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas Windows: Unknown

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 28% Medium: 38% Minimum: 34%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, labor problems, weather

problems



.

Texas Bee County

299

.

# **Caldwell County Jail**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Caldwell County

Contact: Dian Bolton, Jail Administrator, Caldwell County Jail, 202 East San Antonio Street, P.O. Box 422, Lockhart, TX 78644, 512–398–4343

Architect: Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, 10260 Westheimer, Suite 390, Houston, TX 77042, 713–953–9032 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

### Time

Groundbreaking: April 1989 Finish date: September 1990 Construction time: 17 months

Costs

Total: \$3,000,000 Building only: \$2,860,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$92.31 Total per NSF: \$96.77 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, concrete columns and steel bearns Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block, steel plate Exterior surface or facade: Paint, textured concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting

protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 32,500 Total NSF: 31,000 Corrections GSF: 24,500 Corrections NSF: 23,000 Housing area GSF: 24,500 Housing area NSF: 23,000 GSF per inmate (design): 220 GSF per inmate (current): 175 NSF per inmate (design): 209 NSF per inmate (current): 164 Size of cells: 58.5 (single), 492 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 95%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 48 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 48 Total design: 148 Current population: April 1993: 140

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on building interior Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): 48 Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, electric Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas Windows: Unknown

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 35% Medium: 33% Minimum: 32%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Government procedures and regulations Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier, weather problems, government procedures and regulations

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Texas Caldwell County



.

# **Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers**

Responsible official: Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

Contact: Philip Spears, Warden, Federal Correctional Institution—Three Rivers, P.O. Box 4000, Three Rivers, TX 78071, 512–786–3576 Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., 6688 North Central Expressway, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75206, 214–739–6688 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: Federal prison Jurisdiction type: Federal Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: 165 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: June 1990 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: \$54,800,000 Building only: \$46,000,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$14,700,000 Housing per inmate: \$14,440 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$51,407 Total per GSF: \$116.15 Total per NSF: \$169.97 Total annual operating costs: \$17,300,000

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, precast concrete cells

Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Precast panels, CMU block

Exterior surface or facade: Stucco, CMU block

Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/cable Total GSF: 471,789 Total NSF: 322,414 Corrections GSF: 471,789 Corrections NSF: 322,414 Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 443 GSF per inmate (current): 312 NSF per inmate (design): 302 NSF per inmate (current): 213 Size of cells: 77 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 68%

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 256 Double occupancy: 512 Dorms: 250 Special population Single occupancy: 48 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 1,066

# Inmate housing areas

Current population: May 1993: 1,513

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 128 Inmates per unit (design): 192 Inmates per unit (current): 292 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cells **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Moderate; cells prefabricated

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: N/A HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit in special housing Furniture: Steel, wood, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Sprinklers Windows: Standard and bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 80% Minimum: 20%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 45 Security: 129 Programs and treatment: 136 Maintenance: 25 Total: 335 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.5:1 Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs

Positive: Prefab components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition

Negative: Slow construction; high labor costs; difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefab components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; labor problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

ŧ

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Frio County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Frio County

Contact: Gabriel DelToro Jr., Sheriff, Frio County Jail, 505 Cedar Street (at Leona Street), Pearsall, TX 78061, 512–334–3311 Architect: Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc., 10260 Westheimer, #390, Houston, TX 77042, 713–953–9032 Construction Manager: N/A

## Facility type: County jail, complex

(combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement

Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: September 1987 Finish date: June 1988 Construction time: 9 months

#### Costs

Total: \$3,100,000 Building only: \$3,018,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$77.50 Total per NSF: \$86.83 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, concrete columns and steel beams Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block, steel plate Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting

protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring

## Total GSF: 40,000 Total NSF: 35,700 Corrections GSF: 30,000

Dimensions

Corrections NSF: 27,000 Housing area GSF: 30,000 Housing area GSF: 30,000 GSF per inmate (design): 176 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): 159 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 58.5 (single), 383.5 (double), 1,424.5 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 89%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 6 Dorms: 40 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 72 Total design: 170 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on building interior Cells per unit: Unknown Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Private-sector funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

# Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Electric Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Unknown Windows: Unknown

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, single fence, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 31% Medium: 69% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Good competition Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials Negative: N/A

:

(No floorplan available at time of publication)

# Lew Sterrett Justice Center

Responsible official: Sheriff, Dallas County

Contact: Chuck Fawns, Director, Lew Sterrett Justice Center, Dallas, TX 79202, 214–653–8704 Architect: Vitetta Group, Inc., 642 North Broad Street, The Wallace Building, Philadelphia, PA 19130; Harwood K. Smith & Partners, 1111 Plaza of America North, LB 307, Dallas, TX 75201, 214–969–5599 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Prenial, sentenced Category: Remodel/renovation Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

#### Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: March 1991 Construction time: 24 months

#### Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete Exterior walls: CMU block-filled panels, brick

Interior walls: Structural clay tile block units, reinforced CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick face Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 59,914 Total NSF: 41,931 Corrections GSF: 59,914 Corrections NSF: 41,931 Housing area GSF: 59,914 Housing area NSF: 41,931 GSF per inmate (design): 141 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 246 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 70%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 352 Special population Single occupancy: 13 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 60 Total design: 425 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on interior walls Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 8 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent and remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Mattresses on floor, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Unknown Finance method: State general obligation bonds

- Use of inmate labor: Limited; demolition and painting
- Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Epoxy coating Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel, concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter detection/alarm, video camera surveillance; costs excluded **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent

Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

#### Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Advanced order of materials, coordination of design Negative: Renovation occurred in

operational areas



SECOND THROUGH NINTH FLOORS BUILDING - A

# **Nacogdoches County Law Enforcement Center**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Nacogdoches County

Contact: Jack West, Jail Administrator, Nacogdoches County Jail, 2306 Douglas Highway, Nacogdoches, TX 75961, 409–560–7783 Architect: Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc., 10260 Westheimer, Houston, TX 77042, 713–953–9032 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 8 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: November 1988 Finish date: January 1990 Construction time: 13 months

Costs

Total: \$3,999,000 Building only: \$3,914,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$97.54 Total per NSF: \$105.24 Total annual operating costs: \$612,367

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, concrete columns and steel beams Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: CMU block, steel plate at detention Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff

intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring Total GSF: 41,000 Total NSF: 38,000 Corrections GSF: 29,000 Corrections NSF: 27,000 Housing area GSF: 29,000 Housing area NSF: 27,000 GSF per inmate (design): 196 GSF per inmate (current): 220 NSF per inmate (design): 182

GSF per inmate (current): 220 NSF per inmate (design): 182 NSF per inmate (current): 205 Size of cells: 58.5 (single), 533 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: 93%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 50 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 48 Special population Single occupancy: 2 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 48 Total design: 148 Current population: January 1992: 132

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on interior of building Cells per unit: 8 Inmates per unit (design): 8 Inmates per unit (current): 8 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 4

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking, electromechanical Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Other energy recycle unit, electric Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas Windows: Unknown

# Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 34% Medium: 34% Minimum: 32%

## Current staff

#### Full-time equivalent

Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition Negative: Complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier

Texas Nacogdoches



309

# **Rusk County Sheriff's Department**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Rusk County

Contact: Dale Summers, Lieutenant, Rusk County Sheriff's Department, 210 Charlevoix, Henderson, TX 75652, 903–657–3581 Architect: Creative Environmental Concepts, Inc., 1313 South John Redditt, Lufkin, TX 75901, 409–637–6969 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, seatenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: Law enforcement Facility location; Urban Amount of land; 1 acre

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: February 1988 Construction time: Unknown

Costs

Total: \$3,040,510

Building only: \$2,815,510 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$382,115

## Construction type

Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: CMU block, brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable

#### Dimensions

Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: Unknown Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: N/A Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 26 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 54 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 80 Current population: February 1993: 80

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 15 Inmates per unit (design): 15 Inmates per unit (current): 15 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 3

#### Construction process

Contract method: Unknown Finance method: Unknown Use of inmate labor: Unknown Use of prefabrication: Unknown

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation only Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 12 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 14 Current inmate/staff ratio: 5.7:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: N/A Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A (No floorplan available at time of publication)

# **Oxbow Jail Facility**

Responsible official: Sheriff, Salt Lake County

Contact: Captain Dan Ipson, Oxbow Jail Facility, 3152 South 1100 West, South Salt Lake City, UT 84119, 801–974–7727 Architect: Gillies, Stransky, Brems & Smith Architects, 175 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84101, 801–521–8600 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: County Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 30 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: July 1990 Finish date: October 1991 Construction time: 15 months

#### Costs

Total: \$9,731,250 Building only: \$9,731,250 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$6,834,000 Housing per inmate: \$18,571 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$26,443 Total per GSF: \$82.15 Total per NSF: \$100.18 Total annual operating costs: \$2,947,335

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing CMU block with metal deck and steel joists Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: CMU block Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, door control/monitoring, custom control panels, satellite/cable Total GSF: 118,455 Total NSF: 97,130 Corrections GSF: 118,455 Corrections NSF: 97,130 Housing area GSF: 83,186 Housing area NSF: 75,878 GSF per inmate (design): 322 GSF per inmate (current): 643 NSF per inmate (current): 643 NSF per inmate (current): 528 Size of cells: Unknown Net/gross square feet: 82%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 368 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 368 Current population: April 1993: 184

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 2 Inmates per unit (design): 184 Inmates per unit (current): 184 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Open additional unit **Total officers per unit** Day: 3 Evening: 3 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Polycarbonate Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: One-way to cells, two-way to common areas HVAC: Gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel, vinyl/plastic Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 0 Minimum: 100%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 3 Security: 34 Programs and treatment: 17 Maintenance: 8 Total: 62 Current inmate/staff ratio: 3:1 Contracted services: N/A

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A


# **Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional Adult Detention Center**

Responsible official: County Administrator, Jail Board Chairman

Contact: Darnley R. Hodge, Administrator, Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional Adult Detention Center, 1026 Fort Collier Road, Winchester, VA 22601, 703-665-6374

Architect: Sherertz Franklin Crawford Shaffner, 305 South Jefferson Street, Roanoke, VA 24011, 703–344–6664 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: Regional jail Jurisdiction type: Federal, State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Clusters Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 20 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: October 1989 Finish date: March 1991 Construction time: 17 months

Costs

Total: \$15,400,000 Building only: \$13,500,000 Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$3,352,591

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing masonry, precast planks Exterior walls: Brick Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 99,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 99,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 62,900 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: N/A Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 194 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 34 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 72 Total design: 300 Current population: May 1992: 255

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 96 Inmates per unit (design): 96 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: Cost shared by local jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Limited; structural planks

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Unknown HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors for common areas, sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 17% Medium: 57% Minimum: 13% Other: 13%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 9 Security: 73 Programs and treatment: 7 Maintenance: 6 Total: 95 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials Negative: N/A Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design Negative: N/A

# Virginia Winchester



# **Greensville Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Director, Virginia Department of Corrections

Contact: Ellis B. Wright Jr., Chief Warden, Greensville Correctional Center, 901 Corrections Way, Jarratt, VA 23870–9614, 804–535–7000 Architect: Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall (DMJM), 300 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017, 212–983–2400 Construction Manager: Morrison Knudson, 2430 Southland Drive, Chester, VA 23831, 804–796–1555

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of Jand: 1,125 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: December 1988 Finish date: June 1991 Construction time: 22 months

#### Costs

Total: \$106,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Higher Housing area: \$42,397,596 Housing per inmate: \$20,286 Housing per cell: \$27,400 Total per inmate: \$46,450 Total per GSF: \$120.02 Total per NSF: \$189.28 Total annual operating costs: \$36,016,016

## Construction type

Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, precast concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, textured concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable Total GSF: 883,211 Total NSF: 560,000 Corrections GSF: 883,211 Corrections NSF: 560,000 Housing area GSF: 425,736 Housing area NSF: 281,592 GSF per inmate (design): 387 GSF per inmate (design): 387 SF per inmate (current): 359 NSF per inmate (design): 245 NSF per inmate (current): 228 Size of cells: 74.5 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 63%

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 1,006 Double occupancy: 1,084 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 192 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 2,282 Current population: April 1993: 2,459

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 43 Inmates per unit (design): 58 Inmates per unit (current): 67 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Intermittent and remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Bunk beds in cells, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 4

#### Construction process

Contract method: Construction manager/ guaranteed maximum price (GMP) Finance method: State general obligation bonds

Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; precast concrete walls and structural tees

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Inmate duress alarm HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Special security and screens

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, video carnera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 65 Security: 673 Programs and treatment: 38 Maintenance: 39 Total: 910 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.7:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); less expensive materials Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Virginia <sub>Jarratt</sub>



## Keen Mountain Correctional Center

Responsible official: Director, Virginia Department of Corrections

Contact: Bob Beck, Warden, Keen Mountain Correctional Center, P.O. Box 860, Oakwood, VA 24631, 703–498–7411 Architect: Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall (DMJM), 300 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017, 212–983–2400 Construction Manager: Fluor Daniel, 100 Fluor Daniel Drive, Greenville, SC 29607–2762, 803–281–4400

**Dimensions** 

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Unknown Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: December 1988 Finish date: Unknown Construction time: 22 months

## Costs

Total: \$41,000,000 Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: \$14,132,532 Housing per inmate: \$20,305 Housing per cell: \$27,389 Total per inmate: \$58,908 Total per GSF: \$133.81 Total per NSF: \$200 Total annual operating costs: Unknown

#### Construction type

- Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, precast concrete cells Exterior walls: Precast panels Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Natural wall, textured concrete Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control
- system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable

## Total GSF: 306,414 Total NSF: 205,000 Corrections GSF: 306,414 Corrections NSF: 205,000 Housing area GSF: 141,912 Housing area NSF: 93,864 GSF per inmate (design): 440 GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (design): 294 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 74.5 (single and double) Net/gross square feet: 67%

## Inmate design capacity

## General population

Single occupancy: 336 Double occupancy: 360 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 696 Current population: Unknown

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 43 Inmates per unit (design): 58 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Unknown Means to handle crowding: Unknown **Total officers per unit** Day: Unknown Evening: Unknown Midnight: Unknown

#### Construction process

Contract method: Construction Manager/ Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; precast concrete cells, precast concrete structural tees for roofs and exterior walls

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Heating/air circulation, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel combination unit Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas Windows: Special security, security screens

#### Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

## Full-time equivalent

Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: Unknown Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components, simple construction methods Negative: Difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Virginia Oakwood



# Lorton Maximum Security Facility

Responsible official: Administrator, District of Columbia Department of Corrections

Contact: Clarence Cotton, Project Manager, Lorton Maximum Security Facility, Furnace Road, Lorton, VA 22079, 202–939–7198 Architect: N/A

Construction Manager: Scotsman Buildings, 8211 Town Center Drive, Baltimore, MD 21236, 410-931-6000

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: City Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 10 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: March 1990 Finish date: September 1990 Construction time: 6 months

## Costs

Total: \$4,100,000 Building only: \$2,400,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$20,500 Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$1,188,000

### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: Steel stud, drywall Interior walls: Steel stud, drywall Exterior surface or facade: Metal siding Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring Total GSF: Unknown Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: Unknown Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 22,320 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): Unknown GSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 3,240 (dorm) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 200 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 200 Current population: Unknown

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Dorms Cells per unit: 1 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 85 Management type (design): Remote surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility **Total officers per unit** Day: 4 Evening: 4 Midnight: 2

## Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Cost shared by local jurisdictions Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; module buildings

### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells and common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Unknown Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for dorms and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Standard and bars, security screens

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, razor wire on fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols, video camera surveillance; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: Unknown Security: Unknown Programs and treatment: Unknown Maintenance: Unknown Total: 297 Current inmate/staff ratio: Unknown Contracted services: Unknown

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); fast track modular construction

Negative: Government procedures and regulations, high labor cost onsite and low labor cost for offsite module production Factors affecting time schedule Positive: Prefabricated components Negative: Government procedures and regulations, lengthy approval time

# Virginia Lorton



# **Clallam Bay Correctional Center**

Responsible official: Secretary, Washington Department of Corrections

Contact: Bob Wright, Superintendent, Clallam Bay Correctional Center, HC 63 Box 5000, Clallam Bay, WA 98326–9775, 206–963–3200 Architect: John Graham Associates, P.O. Box 521166, Tulsa, OK 74114–1720, 404–876–3800 Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Campus style, clusters, courtyard Complex use: N/A Facility location: Rural Amount of land: N/A

## Time

Groundbreaking: July 1991 Finish date: February 1993 Construction time: 20 months

## Costs

Total: \$13,200,000 Building only: \$13,200,000 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$6,155,995 Housing per inmate: \$15,400 Housing per cell: \$15,400 Total per inmate: \$33,000 Total per GSF: \$103.75 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$2,974,236

#### Construction type

Structural: Steel frame, load-bearing masonry Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Stucco Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, custom control panels Total GSF: 127,228 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 127,228 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 66,435 Housing area NSF: 48,915 GSF per inmate (design): 318 GSF per inmate (current): 318 NSF per inmate (current): 318 NSF per inmate (current): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 88 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

Dimensions

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 400 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 400 Current population: October 1992: 400

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 50 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 50 Management type (design): Direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 7 Evening: 7 Midnight: 7

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: Limited; painting, fabrication of cell furniture

Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote locking only Floor surface: Lino/sheet vinyl, epoxy coating, sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to common areas HVAC: Forced air Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit and special security

## Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only, double fence, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, perimeter patrols; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 0 Medium: 100% Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 14 Security: 111 Programs and treatment: 221 Maintenance: 25 Total: 371 Current inmate/staff ratio: 1.1:1 Contracted services: Medical, educational programs, water

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, less expensive materials Negative: Slow construction and lengthy building time, high labor costs, difficult site conditions Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A

Negative: Labor problems, weather problems

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

# Washington Clallam Bay



# **Columbia Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Secretary, Wisconsin Department of Corrections

Contact: Jeff Endicott, Warden, Columbia Correctional Institution, Route 3, Highway 127, P.O. Box 950, Portage, WI 53901, 608-742-9100

Architect: Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, 1831 Chestnut Street, St. Louis, MO 63103, 314–421–2000 Construction Manager: Potter, Lawson & Pawlowsky, Inc., 15 Ellis Potter Court, Madison, WI 53711, 608–274–2741

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility) Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: 150 acres

## Time

Groundbreaking: February 1984 Finish date: May 1986 Construction time: 27 months

Costs

Total: \$30,362,286 Building only: \$27,739,014 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$18,100,000 Housing per inmate: \$40,222 Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: \$59,417 Total per GSF: \$102.37 Total per NSF: \$146.24 Total annual operating costs: \$13,083,358

## Construction type

Structural: Steel frame Exterior walls: CMU block Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm Total GSF: 296,600 Total NSF: 207,620 Corrections GSF: 296,600 Corrections NSF: 207,620 Housing area GSF: 176,860 Housing area NSF: 123,800 GSF per inmate (design): 580 GSF per inmate (design): 516 NSF per inmate (design): 406 NSF per inmate (current): 361 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 70%

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 450 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 61 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 511 Current population: April 1993: 575

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls Cells per unit: 50 Inmates per unit (design): 50 Inmates per unit (current): 62 Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance Management type (current): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Second bunk permanently attached **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Sliding Type(s) of locks: Motor driven and remote locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Gas heat Plumbing: China Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on and between fence(s), towers, video camera surveillance; costs included **Inmate security level** Maximum: 100% Medium: 0

Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 12 Security: 218 Programs and treatment: 34 Maintenance: 10 Total: 274 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.1:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, nine identical housing units

Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems; long lead time for detention equipment

Factors affecting time schedule

- Positive: Simple construction methods, coordination of design, onsite supervision
- Negative: Slow response or delivery from vendor/supplier; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

# Wisconsin





# Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility

Responsible official: Sheriff, Milwaukee County

Contact: John Logowski, Acting Director, Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility, 949 North Ninth Street, Milwaukee, WI 53213, 414–226–7050 Architect: Venture Architects, 205 West Highland, Milwaukee, WI 53203, 414–271–3359

Dimensions

Construction Manager: CRSS/BOLDT, Oscar J. Boldt Construction Company, P.O. Box 8, Waukesha, WI 53188, 414–544–9118

Facility type: County jail, complex (combined use) Jurisdiction type: State, county Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: New construction (separate but ancillary building) Building configuration: Highrise Complex use: Law enforcement, courts Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: November 1989 Finish date: October 1992 Construction time: 36 months

## Costs

Total: \$76,843,324 Building only: \$75,419,075 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$43,763,821 Housing per inmate: \$58,222 Housing per cell: \$54,842 Total per inmate: N/A Total per GSF: \$176.25 Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: Unknown

## Construction type

- Structural: Cast-in-place concrete frame Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, stone
- Interior walls: Cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Textured concrete, stone
- Electronic technology systems: Lighting protection, CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/monitoring, card access, custom control panels, integrated computerized information system for jail, booking, and court

Total GSF: 436,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 335,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 253,000 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 420 GSF per inmate (current): 447 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: 70 (single) Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

## General population

Single occupancy: 744 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 **Special population** Single occupancy: 54 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 798 Current population: March 1993: 750

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 48 Inmates per unit (design): 48 Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, release by special master **Total officers per unit** Day: 1 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Multiple bid packages (fast track) Finance method: Local general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, metal Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Hot water, forced air Plumbing: China, stainless steel Furniture: Concrete Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Slit

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 100% Medium: 0 Minimum: 0

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 21 Security: 128 Programs and treatment: 14 Maintenance: 9 Total: 172 Current inmate/staff ratio: 4.4:1 Contracted services: Food

## Architect's reported analysis

### Factors affecting construction costs

- Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); good competition, less expensive materials
- Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

## Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Prefabricated components; simple construction methods, phased construction (fast track); advanced order of materials; coordination of design

Negative: Weather problems



. •

· :

Wisconsin Milwaukee County

## **Racine Correctional Institution**

Responsible official: Secretary, Wisconsin Department of Corrections

Contact: Oscar Shade, Warden, Racine Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 900, Sturtevant, WI 53177–0900, 414–886–3214 Architect: Venture Architects, 205 West Highland, Milwaukee, WI 53203, 414–271–3359 Construction Manager: N/A

Dimensions

Facility type: State prison Jurisdiction type: State Commitment type: Sentenced Category: New construction (independent facility), remodel/ renovation Building configuration: Campus style Complex use: N/A Facility location: Suburb Amount of land: 52 acres

#### Time

Groundbreaking: March 1989 Finish date: April 1991 Construction time: 25 months

Costs

Total: \$53,000,000 Building only: \$30,324,241 Final construction cost: Lower Housing area: \$25,829,997 Housing per inmate: \$39,738 Housing per cell: \$39,738 Total per inmate: \$75,391 Total per GSF: \$150.35 Total per NSF: \$281.17 Total annual operating costs: \$12,312,734

#### Construction type

Structural: Precast concrete frame, loadbearing masonry, brick Exterior walls: CMU block, exterior insulation finish system Interior walls: CMU block Exterior surface or facade: Brick, exterior insulation finish system Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, door control/ monitoring, card access, custom control panels, perimeter detection/alarm, satellite/ cable Total GSF: 352,500 Total NSF: 188,500 Corrections GSF: 352,500 Corrections NSF: 188,500 Housing area GSF: 193,830 Housing area NSF: 116,300 GSF per inmate (design): 501 GSF per inmate (current): 402 NSF per inmate (design): 268 NSF per inmate (current): 215 Size of cells: 80 (single) Net/gross square feet: 53%

#### Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 650 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Special population Single occupancy: 53 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 703 Current population: April 1993: 877

#### Inmate housing areas

Design: Modular/pod Cells per unit: 100 Inmates per unit (design): 100 Inmates per unit (current): 125 Management type (design): Remote surveillance, direct supervision Management type (current): Direct supervision Means to handle crowding: Transfer to another facility, bunk beds in cells, mattresses on floor **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 2 Midnight: 2

#### Construction process

Contract method: Conventional design-bidbuild Finance method: State general obligation bonds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: None

#### Inmate cells

Door material(s): Wood, steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging, sliding Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Sealed concrete, vinyl tile Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Heating/air circulation, steam heat, forced air, central plant/hot water Plumbing: Unknown Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors and sprinklers for cells and common areas, manual alarm stations Windows: Commercial grade with bars

## Security

Perimeter: Double fence, perimeter patrols and detection/alarm, razor wire on fence(s), towers; costs excluded Inmate security level Maximum: 36% Medium: 58% Minimum: 0 Segregation cells: 6%

#### Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 50 Security: 211 Programs and treatment: 30 Maintenance: 20 Total: 311 Current inmate/staff ratio: 2.8:1 Contracted services: Medical

## Architect's reported analysis

#### Factors affecting construction costs

- Positive: Simple construction methods, good competition, less expensive materials
- Negative: Difficult site conditions; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems

#### Factors affecting time schedule

Positive: Simple construction methods, advanced order of materials, coordination of design

Negative: Weather problems

# Wisconsin

Sturtevant



## Sublette County Jail

Responsible official: Sheriff, Sublette County

Contact: Robert Sutherland, Jail Administrator, Sublette County Jail, 33 1/2 South Tyler Avenue, Pinedale, WY 82941, 307--367--4378 Architect: Unknown Construction Manager: N/A

Facility type: County jail Jurisdiction type: State, county, city Commitment type: Pretrial, sentenced Category: Expansion Building configuration: Integrated structure Complex use: N/A Facility location: Urban Amount of land: Unknown

## Time

Groundbreaking: Unknown Finish date: January 1985 Construction time: Unknown

#### Costs

Total: Unknown Building only: Unknown Final construction cost: Unknown Housing area: Unknown Housing per inmate: Unknown Housing per cell: Unknown Total per inmate: Unknown Total per GSF: Unknown Total per NSF: Unknown Total annual operating costs: \$167,857

## Construction type

- Structural: Load-bearing precast panels, steel frame, cast-in-place concrete frame, modular metal cells
- Exterior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Interior walls: Precast panels, cast-in-place concrete, CMU block
- Exterior surface or facade: Brick, stucco, textured concrete
- Electronic technology systems: CCTV surveillance, staff intercommunication, inmate intercom, paging and sound system, duress/fire alarm, satellite/cable

## Dimensions

Total GSF: 4,000 Total NSF: Unknown Corrections GSF: 4,000 Corrections NSF: Unknown Housing area GSF: 4,000 Housing area NSF: Unknown GSF per inmate (design): 182 GSF per inmate (current): 800 NSF per inmate (design): Unknown NSF per inmate (current): Unknown Size of cells: N/A Net/gross square feet: Unknown

## Inmate design capacity

General population Single occupancy: 6 Double occupancy: 12 Dorms: 4 Special population Single occupancy: 0 Double occupancy: 0 Dorms: 0 Total design: 22 Current population: January 1992: 5

## Inmate housing areas

Design: Linear, cells on outside walls and building interior Cells per unit: 3 Inmates per unit (design): Unknown Inmates per unit (current): Unknown Management type (design): Intermittent surveillance, video Management type (current): Intermittent surveillance Means to handle crowding: N/A **Total officers per unit** Day: 2 Evening: 1 Midnight: 1

## Construction process

Contract method: Turnkey design-build Finance method: Local general obligation bonds, Federal funds Use of inmate labor: None Use of prefabrication: Extensive; steel modular system

## Inmate cells

Door material(s): Steel Type(s) of doors: Swinging Type(s) of locks: Remote and manual locking Floor surface: Steel Intercom: Two-way to cells HVAC: Heating/air circulation, gas heat, forced air Plumbing: Stainless steel Furniture: Steel Fire protection: Smoke detectors for cells Windows: Slit, special security

#### Security

Perimeter: Building exterior only; costs included Inmate security level Maximum: 33% Medium: 0 Minimum: 66%

## Current staff

Full-time equivalent Administration: 1 Security: 5 Programs and treatment: 0 Maintenance: 0 Total: 6 Current inmate/staff ratio: 8 Contracted services: Medical, food

## Architect's reported analysis

Factors affecting construction costs Positive: Prefabricated components Negative: Construction and equipment complications Factors affecting time schedule Positive: N/A Negative: N/A

Wyoming Sublette County



# Appendix A

Reference: Architects and Construction Managers

• :

•

.

•

• • •

·

,

## Reference

Page

**Facility type** 

#### Firm name

Abend Singleton Associates, Inc. Alcon Associates, Inc. Architectural Technologies, Inc. Architectural Technologies, Inc. Architectural Technologies, Inc. **BASCO** Associates **BLDD** Architects **BLDD** Architects **BLDD** Architects **BLDD** Architects **BLI** Construction Barron, Heinberg & Brocato Architects & Engineers Barron, Heinberg & Brocato Architects & Engineers Barton-Malow Barton-Malow/Tompkins-Beckwith Benatec Associates Birkeland Architects (out of business) Bowen & Watson CMW, Inc. CRSS/BOLDT CRSS Constructors, Inc. Clark Construction Company Creative Environmental Concepts, Inc. Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall (DMJM) Davidson and Jones Construction Davidson and Jones Construction Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc. Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc. Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc. Di Stefano/Santopetro Architects, Inc. Durrant Architects, Inc. Dworsky Associates EDIS/CRSS E. George Wynn-Architect E. George Wynn-Architect Eddie Frances, A.I.A. Ellerbe Becket, Inc. Federal Construction Company Flour Daniel

## **Facility name**

Marion County Jail and Law Enforcement Center	County complex	248
Lowndes County Jail	County jail	124
Central Laundry Facility	State prison	218
Jessup Pre-Release Unit	State prison	220
Patuxent Institution	State prison	226
York County Prison	County jail	296
Danville Correctional Center	State prison	156
Hill Correctional Center	State prison	158
Illinois River Correctional Center	State prison	160
Western Illinois Correctional Center	State prison	170
Henry County Jail	County jail	118
	· ····· <b>······························</b>	
Federal Correctional Institution—Oakdale I	Federal prison	204
Federal Detention CenterOakdale II	Federal detention/jail	206
Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility	County jail	234
John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility	County and city complex	92
Federal Correctional InstitutionSchuylkill	Federal prison	290
Sherburne County Jail	County complex	240
Northeast Probation Detention Center	State prison	126
Carroll County Regional Detention Center	Regional jail	200
Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility	County complex	326
Arapahoe County Justice Center	Jail complex	64
Boone County Jail	Jail complex	174
Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center	State prison	68
Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center	State prison	246
Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility	County jail	190
Sedgwick County Work Release	County jail	192
Will County Adult Detention Facility	County jail	172
Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility	State prison	230
Rusk County Sheriff's Department	County complex	310
Aranahoe County Justice Center	County complex	64
Fastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	State prison	202
Greensville Correctional Center	State prison	316
Keen Mountain Correctional Center	State prison	318
Durham County Jail Anney	County jail	270
Wake County Public Safety Center	County complex	210
Ree County I all	County complex	272
Caldwell County Jail	County complex	300
Frie County Jail	County complex	300
Nacordoches County I aw Enforcement Center	County complex	208
Racone County Jail	County complex	244
Sente Clere County Main Iail	County jan	54
Multi Dumosa Criminal Justica Escility	State prison	24 97
Alamosa County Datantian Cantor	State prison	62 63
Huarfana County Delendon Center	County complex	70
Huerrano Country Law Enforcement Center	County complex	10
Metro west Detention Center	County jan	90
Nietropolitan Detention Center—Los Angeles	receral detention/jail	50
Pinenas County Jan	County jail	100
Keen wountain Correctional Center	State prison	318

## Reference

#### Firm name

G.E. Johnson Construction G.E. Johnson Construction GRW Engineers, Inc. Ganthner, Melby, Lee Architects & Planners Geddes, Brecher, Qualls, Cunningham Gillies, Stransky, Brems & Smith Architects Gossen Livingston Associates Gossen Livingston Associates Grad Partnership Guepel DeMars H.D.R., Inc. Habiterra Associates Habiterra Associates Hansen Lind Meyer Hansen Lind Meyer Heery/Ozanne Heery-Vanir Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum, Inc. Hoffman DeMichele, Inc. Hoover, Berg, Desmond Horst, Terrill & Karst Architects Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff Hurtig, Gardner & Froilich Architects, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG. Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG. Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG, Inc. IPG. Inc. IPG, Inc.

### Facility name

Boulder County Jail Mesa County Detention Facility Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex Elv State Prison Federal Correctional Institution-McKean Oxbow Jail Facility El Dorado Correctional Facility Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility Monmouth County Correction Institution Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center Mesa County Detention Facility Cattaraugus County Jail Genesee County Jail Glynn County Detention Center Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility Grafton Correctional Institution West Valley Detention Center Columbia Correctional Institution Federal Correctional Institution-Three Rivers James I. Montgomery Correctional Center Macomb County Jail Minnesota Correctional Facility---Shakopee Montgomery County Detention Center Sergeant William Dickerson Detention Facility Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center Wake County Public Safety Center Fulton Reception and Diagnostic Center Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center Lansing Correctional Facility----East Western Missouri Correctional Center Pueblo County Detention Facility-Work Release Central Correctional Institute Central Probation Detention Center Clayton County Correctional Institution Coastal Correctional Institution Georgia Diagnostic and Classification Center Georgia Women's Correctional Institute Hays Correctional Institute-Boot Camp Henry County Jail Homerville Parole Center I.W. Davis Probation Detention Center Lowndes County Jail Northeast Probation Detention Center Northwest Probation Detention Center Phillips Correctional Institute-Boot Camp Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center Rogers Correctional Institute Southeast Probation Detention Center Telfair Correctional Institute-Boot Camp Treutlen Shock Unit

Facility type	Page
County jail	66
County complex	72
State prison	202
State prison	252
Federal prison	288
County jail	312
State prison	182
State prison	188
County jail	258
County complex	180
County complex	72
County jail	260
County complex	262
County complex	114
County jail	86
State prison	274
County iail	60
State prison	324
Federal prison	302
County jail	90
County jail	232
State prison	238
County iail	222
County jail	234
County complex	180
County iail	272
State prison	246
State prison	68
State prison	186
State prison	250
County iail	
State prison	102
State prison	104
County isil	106
State prison	108
State prison	110
State prison	112
State prison	116
County iail	118
State prison	120
State prison	122
County jail	124
State prison	126
State prison	128
State prison	130
State prison	132
State prison	134
State prison	136
State prison	138
State prison	140
	A 114

# Architects and construction managers (continued)

# Reference

:

Firm name	Facility name	Facility type	Page
IPG, Inc.	Ware Correctional Institute	State prison	144
IPG, Inc.	Washington Correctional Institution—Boot Camp	State prison	146
IPG, Inc.	West Central Probation Detention Center	State prison	150
IPG, Inc.	Western Probation Detention Center	State prison	152
IPG, Inc.	Women's Probation Detention Center	State prison	154
Illinois Department of Corrections,			
Capital Programs Unit	Danville Correctional Center	State prison	156
Illinois Department of Corrections,			
Capital Programs Unit	Hill Correctional Center	State prison	158
Illinois Department of Corrections,		-	
Capital Program Unit	Western Illinois Correctional Center	State prison	170
Jack Jennings and Sons	Genesis Unit 200 Bed Correctional Facility	County jail	86
Jacobs, Wyner, Ehrenfrantz	Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	City jail	-292
James A. Lichty	Montgomery County Detention Center	County jail	224
James Associates	Tippecanoe County Law Enforcement Center	County complex	180
John Graham Associates	Clallarn Bay Correctional Center	State prison	322
JW and Sons	Alameda City Police Department and Jail	City complex	38
K.A. Parsons Associates	Cumberland County Jail	County jail	254
K.A. Parsons Associates	Lew Sterrett Justice Center	County jail	306
Larry Zimmer-Architect	Saguache County Jail	County jail	76
L. Dow Oliver & Associates	Orleans Parish Prison	County and city jail	208
L. Dow Oliver & Associates	St. Bernard Jail Complex	County jail	210
L. Dow Oliver & Associates	Tulane Avenue Facility	County and city jail	212
Legat Architects	McHenry County Government Center	County complex	162
Legat Architects	Robert H. Babcox Justice Center	County complex	164
Lescher & Mahoney/ DLR Group	Marion County Corrections Facility	County complex	284
Lescher & Mahoney	Boulder County Jail	County jail	66
Lorenz & Williams, Inc.	Warren Correctional Institution	State prison	280
MG Engineering and Construction	Rockdale-Dekalb Probation Detention Center	State prison	132
MZA	ECI: Leo Chesney Women's Center	State prison (privately operated)	44
May and Holbrook	Baltimore Pre-Release Unit for Women	State prison	216
Morris Diesel	Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton	Federal prison	256
Morrison-Knudson Parametric Co.	Greensville Correctional Center	State prison	316
Morrison-Knudsen Parametric Co.	Philadelphia Industrial Correctional Center	City jail	292
Multiple architects	State Correctional Institution at Waymart	State prison	294
Music and Worth Construction	Southeast Probation Detention Center	State prison	136
No Architect	Lerdo Pre-Trial	County jail	48
No Architect	Lorton Maximum Security Facility	State prison	320
No Architect	Stanton County Law Enforcement Center	County complex	196
O'Brien Atkins Associates	Durham County Jail Annex	County complex	270
O'Brien Atkins Associates	Wake County Public Safety Center	County complex	272
O'Brien Kreitzberg	Santa Clara County Main Jail	County complex	54
O'Brien Kreitzberg	Stanislaus County Public Safety Center	County jail	58
O'Donnell and Maccarato, Inc.	Bucks County Rehabilitation Center	County jail	286
Pages, Inc.	Women's Probation Detention Center	State prison	154
Patrick Sullivan Associates	South County Detention Facility	County and city jail	56
Phillips Swager Associates	Robinson Correctional Center	State prison	166
Phillips Swager Associates	Taylorville Correctional Center	State prison	168
Phillips Swager Associates	Will County Adult Detention Facility	County jail	172
Potter, Lawson & Pawlowsky, Inc.	Columbia Correctional Institution	State prison	324

## Reference

#### Firm name

Quandel R.C. Byce & Associates Ranon & Partners, Inc. Reddick Construction Company Richard Bowen and Associates Robert P. Madison International Robertson Strong Apgar Architects Ron Webb (out of business) Rosser Justice Systems Rosser White Hobbs Davidson McClellan Kelly, Inc. SMP/The Design Partnership S.J. Amorroso Construction Company Schaefer, John, Cox, Frey & Asssociates Schenkel Shultz Schenkel Shultz Schlup, Becker & Brennan Scotsman Buildings Setter Leach & Lindstrom, Inc. Shaughnessy Fickel and Scott Architects, Inc. Sherertz Franklin Crawford Shaffner

Slemmons Associates, Architects Stecker, LaBau, Arneill, McManus Architects Sverdrup Corporation The Architect's Collaborative

The Haskell Company Turner Construction Turner Construction United Consulting Engineers and Architects Unknown Unknown VBN Architects VBN Architects VBN Architects Venture Architects Venture Architects Vitetta Group Vitetta Group Vitetta Group

## Facility name

York County Prison Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility Hillsborough County Orient Road Jail West Central Probation Detention Center Lorain Correctional Institution Grafton Correctional Institution Metro Jefferson Public Safety Building Duplin County Jail Brevard County Detention Center Garner Correctional Institution Harrison County Jail Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar Northwest Ohio Regional Jail Palm Beach County Stockade Saratoga County Law Enforcement Center U.S. Federal Penitentiary-Atlanta Washington Correctional Institution West Valley Detention Center Martin Correctional Institution Stanislaus County Public Safety Center South County Detention Facility Sedgwick County Adult Local Detention Facility Boone County Jail Kosciusko County Work Release Wyandotte County Detention Center Lorton Maximum Security Facility Hennepin County Adult Detention Center Johnson County Jail Clarke-Frederick-Winchester Regional Adult Detention Center Shawnee County Adult Detention Facility Alexander Cybulski Correctional Institution John E. Goode Pre-Trial Detention Facility Norfolk County Sheriff's Office and Correctional Center James I. Montgomery Correctional Center Alameda County Jail Gamer Correctional Institution Lorain Correctional Institution Gibson County Jail Butte County Minimum Security Facility Sublette County Jail Alameda City Police Department and Jail Alameda County Jail Milwaukee County Jail and Criminal Justice Facility Racine Correctional Institution Bucks County Rehabilitation Center

Cumberland County Jail

Federal Correctional Institution—Fairton

Facility type	Page
County jail	296
State prison	230
County jail	88
State prison	150
State prison	276
State prison	274
County complex	264
County jail	268
County jail	84
State prison	80
County complex	242
Regional jail (military)	52
Regional jail	278
County jail	98
County complex	266
Federal prison	142
State prison	148
County jail	60
State prison	94
County jail	58
County and city jail	56
County jail	190
County jail	1 <b>7</b> 4
County jail	178
County complex	198
State prison	320
County jail	236
County jail	184
Regional jail	314
County jail	194
State prison	78
County and city complex	92
County jail	228
County jail	90
County jail	40
State prison	80
State prison	276
County jail	176
County jail	42
County jail	330
City complex	38
County jail	40
County complex	326
State prison	328
County jail	286
County jail	254
Federal prison	256

## Reference

Page

#### Firm name

Vitetta Group Vitetta Group WBRC WRO Architects Watson and Company (out of business) Whiting-Turner Contracting Co. Whiting-Turner Contracting Co. Whiting-Turner Contracting Co. Wilson-Darnell Associates Wittenberg, Delany, and Davidson Zimmwe Gunsul Frasca Partnership

## **Facility name**

Lew Sterrett Justice Center	County jail	306
Multi-Purpose Criminal Justice Facility	State prison	82
Androscoggin County Jail	County jail	214
Federal Detention Center—Dublin	Federal detention/jail	46
Pinellas County Jail	County jail	100
Central Laundry Facility	State prison	218
Jessup Pre-Release Unit	State prison	220
Patuxent Institution	State prison	226
Sedgwick County Work Release	County jail	192
Varner Unit	State prison	36
Federal Correctional Institution—Sheridan	Federal prison	282

Facility type

# Appendix B

Data Base Profile Information

# **Data Base Profile Information**

Each facility profile appearing in this directory provides 91 pieces of information. Listed below is the full range of data supplied by the architects and facility administrators for each facility. The data are maintained on the Construction Information Exchange data base. This information is available on diskette and in hardcopy printout to meet individual needs.

Facility

County

Jurisdiction official

Facility official

Architectural firm

Additional architectural firm

Private organization (facility owned/managed by private-sector organization)

Category (e.g., new construction, expansion, conversion)

Facility type (e.g., jail, prison, complex-use)

Facility complex use (e.g., law enforcement, courts)

Commitment type (e.g., pretrial, sentenced)

Jurisdiction level (e.g., Federal, State, county)

Building(s) configuration (e.g., integrated structure, campus style, highrise)

Facility location (e.g., rural, urban, suburb)

Amount of land

Land for future construction

Included spaces (e.g., housing, support services, programming)

Excluded spaces (e.g., housing, support services, programming)

Time frames (e.g., bid, groundbreaking, and completion dates)

General population capacity (e.g., double cell capacity and size, dorm capacity and size)

Special housing capacity (e.g., single cell capacity and size, dorm capacity and size)

Total design capacity (e.g., cells, inmates)

Single cell percentage

Special population percentage

Number of cells (cells allocated for specific inmate populations, such as females, drug offenders, and illegal aliens)

Percentage crowded

Current population (e.g., date, inmate capacity)

Means to handle crowding (e.g., transfer to another facility, bunk beds)

Total costs (e.g., construction, per inmate, per GSF)

Final construction cost

Building costs (e.g., total, per cell, per NSF)

Site acquisition cost

Unusual site/offsite cost

Furnishing and equipment cost

Housing costs (e.g., housing area, per inmate)

Operating costs—corrections (e.g., total, staff, food, medical)

Operating costs—law enforcement (e.g., total, staff, supplies/equipment)

Operating costs-court (e.g., total, staff, transportation)

Cost per inmate per year

Cost per inmate per day

Gross square feet (e.g., total, corrections, courts)

Net square feet (e.g., total, corrections, law enforcement)

NSF/GSF percentage

Housing gross square feet

Housing net square feet

Housing space percentage

GSF per inmate (e.g., design, current)

NSF per inmate (e.g., housing design)

Housing design (e.g., modular/pod, linear)

Housing unit composition (e.g., cells and inmates per housing unit)

Management type—design (e.g., direct supervision, intermittent or remote surveillance)

Management type—current (e.g., direct supervision, intermittent or remote surveillance)

Security levels (maximum, medium, minimum, other)

Perimeter security (e.g., building exterior, razor wire on fence(s), perimeter detection/alarm)

Electronic technology systems (e.g., CCTV surveillance, custom control panels, door control/monitoring systems)

Closed circuit television (e.g., bond/arraignment hearings, inmate surveillance)

Construction materials (construction materials for the interior, exterior, structural, and surface/facade of building, such as CMU block, precast panels, steel frame, precast concrete cells, brick, textured concrete)

Cell furnishings, finishes, and fixtures (e.g., sliding, remote-locking steel doors, stainless steel plumbing, airconditioning, gas heat, sealed concrete floors, special security windows, wooden furniture, two-way intercom system to cells and common areas, smoke detectors in cells and common areas) Positive cost factors (e.g., phased construction, less expensive materials and hardware)

Negative cost factors (e.g., high labor costs, government procedures (regulations and "red tape"))

Positive time factors (e.g., prefabricated components, coordination of design [between construction manager and owner])

Negative time factors (e.g., weather problems; complex electronic, mechanical, and electrical systems)

Contract method (e.g., conventional design-bid-build, multiple bid packages/fast track)

Finance method (e.g., State and local general obligation bonds, lease purchase, fixed financing rate, Federal funds, private-sector funds)

Use of inmate labor (e.g., extensive, moderate, limited, explanation)

Use of prefabrication (e.g., extensive, moderate, limited, explanation)

Contract services (e.g., medical, transportation)

Staffing—design (number of administrative, security, maintenance, program, and treatment staff)

Staffing—current (number of administrative, security, maintenance, program, and treatment staff)

Staffing adequacy

Number of inmates per staff (inmates per security, program, treatment, and total staff)

Officers per housing unit (e.g., day, evening, midnight)

General contractor

Construction manager

Structural engineer

Government official

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

## Law Enforcement Complex

Facility official

Building(s) configuration (e.g., clusters, courtyard, integrated structure)

Included spaces (e.g., lockup/holding, administration, training, communications/dispatch, processing/intake)

Gross square feet (e.g., lockup/holding, administration, sallyport, firing range)

Net square feet (e.g., lockup/holding, training facility, roll call room, administration)

Lockup/holding area total cells

Lockup/holding area average daily number of detainees

Special housing cells (e.g., total, medical, suicidal, isolation)

Detainee management—special housing (e.g., CCTV surveillance, intermittent surveillance)

Detainee management—lockup/holding area (e.g., intercom system, CCTV surveillance)

Number of hours prior to transport

Secure area for juvenile offenders

Number of staff-lockup/holding area

Types of security systems—lockup/holding area (e.g., detainee duress alarm, card access, metal detector(s))

## **Courts Complex**

Facility official

Facility type (e.g., criminal, district, circuit, juvenile)

Building(s) configuration (e.g., highrise, integrated structure, courtyard)

## Total courtrooms

Courtrooms shared with corrections

Gross square feet (e.g., male lockup/holding, average courtroom, administrative offices)

Net square feet (e.g., female lockup/holding, juvenile lockup/holding, average courtroom)

Detainee transportation to and from courthouse (e.g., skybridge, vehicle, officer(s) escort)

Detainee movement within courthouse (e.g., separate elevator/stairwell, same elevator/stairwell)

Separate security entrance/exit

Types of courthouse security systems (e.g., court officers/ deputies, bullet-proof shielding, panic buttons, CCTV surveillance)

Types of courthouse security personnel (e.g., bailiffs, deputy sheriffs, private police)

Courtroom lockup/holding space

Separate juvenile lockup/holding

Separate female lockup/holding

Lockup/holding area cells (e.g., juvenile, male, female)

Lockup/holding area average daily detainees (e.g., juvenile, male, female)

Types of lockup/holding security personnel (e.g., deputy sheriffs, local police, U.S. marshals)

Number of lockup/holding officers (e.g., juvenile, male, female)

Types of lockup/holding security systems (e.g., intercom systems, high security glazing, door control/monitoring systems)

# Appendix C

Additional Resources
### **Additional Resources**

#### **Publications**

The following publications are available through NIJ's Construction Information Exchange.

#### **Construction Bulletin Series**

Acquiring New Prison Sites: The Federal Experience. 1987. 4 pp. NCJ 106784. Free.

This bulletin explains how the Federal prison system chooses and acquires sites for new prisons.

California Tests New Construction Concepts. 1986. 12 pp. NCJ 101593. Free.

In an attempt to ease prison crowding, California has initiated an expansion plan using two advanced construction techniques.

Construction Options: A California Case Study. 1992. 8 pp. NCJ 136079. Free.

This case study demonstrates how Santa Clara County (California), pressed by the court in inmate litigation, assessed alternative construction methods and assembled its own building system that met the criteria of quality, economy, and rapid construction.

Cost Savings in New Generation Jails: The Direct Supervision Approach. 1988. 8 pp. NCJ 105288. Free.

To resolve crowding in jails, many local governments are now considering "new generation" jails with an innovative management method known as direct supervision.

Florida Sets Example With Use of Concrete Modules. 1986. 8 pp. NCJ 100125. Free.

A construction technique using prefabricated concrete cell modules has enabled Florida to open a new 336-bed unit for the prison at Raiford in only 8 months and at a cost of about \$16,000 per cell.

## From Arizona to South Carolina: Transfer of a Prison Design Model. 1990. 8 pp. NCJ 114915. Free.

Prison officials in South Carolina adapted plans prepared for a Federal prison in Arizona, thereby eliminating several costly and time-consuming steps required by the conventional approach to prison construction. Inmates Build Prisons in South Carolina. 1987. 12 pp. NCJ 106783. Free.

Use of inmates for construction of correctional facilities will decrease the cost of building and at the same time provide incentives and training to develop skills.

## Jail Construction in California. 1990. 12 pp. NCJ 125097. Free.

California's program to fund construction of county jails is an attempt to address the problems of crowding and deterioration of facilities that are faced by corrections authorities nationwide.

## Maine Jails: Progress Through Partnerships. 1987. 8 pp. NCJ 104918. Free.

This summary of Maine's successful efforts to improve its jails focuses on State, county, and local planning; jail design; fostering public support for jail improvements; and State jail standards and inspection.

New Construction Methods for Correctional Facilities. 1986. 8 pp. NCJ 100121. Free.

After reviewing the problems of prison and jail crowding as well as the high cost of prison construction, this bulletin presents case studies of cost-effective prison construction and describes NIJ's technical assistance services for prison construction.

Ohio's New Approach to Prison and Jail Financing. 1986. 12 pp. NCJ 102093. Free.

Ohio's innovative method for financing prison construction—the leasing of prison facilities by the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction and the use of securities with a variable interest rate—offers advantages worthy of consideration by other States planning prison construction.

Oklahoma Prison Expansion Saves Time and Money. 1987. 6 pp. NCJ 105290. Free.

Oklahoma achieved rapid and inexpensive prison construction by expanding an existing institution with a minimum security housing unit of 90 cells, using the design of a previous project and prefabricated construction. Stopping Escapes: Perimeter Security. 1987. 12 pp. NCJ 104600. Free.

Based on a 1984 Bureau of Justice Statistics survey of 903 State correctional facilities, this report compares types of prison perimeter security, with particular attention to New York State's procedure for selecting the appropriate type of perimeter security for each prison, and provides guidelines for selecting perimeter security.

#### **Other Publications and Reports**

Building On Experience (Model program description). 1987. 70 pp. NCJ 103869. \$8.40 (U.S.), \$9.10 (Canada), \$12.50 (other).

This case study describes how Ohio has exploited the potential of new approaches in construction, design, and financing to build a prison that was not only less expensive to construct than a traditional design, but is expected to realize long-term savings because of innovative management and financing methods.

Correctional Facility Design and Management (Issues and Practices). 1985. 128 pp. NCJ 096969. \$9.90 (U.S.), \$11.55 (Canada), \$15.60 (other).

This publication discusses a 1-year study of correctional facilities construction in 15 States and outlines the practices and processes used in the planning, design, and construction of the facilities.

Development of a Test Method To Evaluate Penetrating Resistance of High Security Glazing. 1986. 240 pp. NCJ 104868. \$5.20 (U.S.), \$5.20 (Canada), \$7.10 (other).

This report describes the development of a test method to evaluate the penetration resistance of glazing materials (used as a barrier material in correctional facilities) subjected to a simultaneous attack of mechanical impact with a sharp-pointed tool and heat application.

## Federal Surplus Real Property Transfer Program (Fact sheet). 1992. 1 p. LT 000045. Free.

The purpose of the Federal Surplus Real Property Transfer Program is to transfer or convey to State and local governments, at no cost, surplus real property determined by the Attorney General to be required for correctional facility use. Through this program, correctional facility capacity is enhanced so that programs and projects may be provided for the care and rehabilitation of incarcerated criminal offenders.

#### Additional Readings

These additional readings reflect a representative sample of citations available from the NIJ/NCJRS literature collection on corrections construction.

#### **Planning and Design**

"Architecture and Design: Solutions to Crowding." *Corrections Today*, vol. 50, no. 2 (April 1988). 199 pp. American Correctional Association, 8025 Laurel Lakes Court, Laurel, MD 20707. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 110579.

Twenty-three articles discuss various aspects of building correctional facilities quickly and efficiently, without sacrificing quality, to help relieve prison crowding rapidly and without excessive costs.

Comparison of "Direct" and "Indirect" Supervision Correctional Facilities, Final Report. 1989. 107 pp. Jay Farbstein and Associates, Inc., San Obispo, CA 93401. National Institute of Corrections, Prison Division, Washington, DC 20534. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 120820.

Data from a mail survey and case studies of direct and indirect supervision jails and prisons formed the basis of a comparison of the two approaches in terms of their costs, staff impacts, safety and security, behavior in relationship to the physical environment, design issues, and overcrowding.

*Correctional Facility Planning and Design, Second Edition.* J. Farbstein. 1986. 396 pp. Van Nostrand Reinhold, 135 West 50th Street, New York, NY 10020. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan. NCJ 104227.

These step-by-step guidelines give local officials detailed information on planning new jail construction or making major renovations to existing facilities.

Design Considerations in the Building of Women's Prisons. S.V. Carp and J.A. Davis. 104 pp. National Institute of Corrections, Washington, DC 20534. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan, copy reproduction, and microfiche. NCJ 131813.

Issues specific to the design of a correctional facility for women are discussed with emphasis on the need to support programming that recognizes and addresses female offenders' roles as parents, their histories of abuse, and their involvement with crime because of their distorted perceptions of their relationships with men. Design, Equipment, Construction and Other Blunders in Detention and Correctional Facilities. J.R. Rowan. 1990. 14 pp. Juvenile and Criminal Justice International, Inc., Roseville, MN 55113. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan and microfiche. NCJ 123655.

This study consists of interviews and onsite surveys at correctional facilities at the State and local level to determine the extent to which faulty facility design and construction have threatened operations and life-safety.

Design Resource File: A Guide to Information for Architects Designing Justice Facilities. 1988. 115 pp. American Institute of Architects, Washington, DC 20006. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 118733.

This guide provides resources to assist in the design of criminal justice facilities, a glossary of corrections terminology, and an outline for courtroom planning. The planning and design of law enforcement, judicial, and correctional facilities are described.

"Designing Tomorrow's Jails." J.T. Potter. *American Jails*, vol. 4, no. 3 (September/October 1990). 8 pp. American Jail Association, 1000 Day Road, Suite 100, Hagerstown, MD 21740. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan. NCJ 132389. The advantages and disadvantages of three types of jail designs (linear intermittent supervision, podular indirect supervision, and podular direct supervision) are discussed regarding new construction.

*Federal Prisons: Revised Design Standards Could Save Expansion Funds.* 1991. 18 pp. U.S. General Accounting Office, Document Handling and Information Services Facility, P.O. Box 6015, Gaithersburg, MD 20877. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 129936.

Federal prison crowding and plans for expanding correctional facilities were studied with emphasis on whether the Federal Bureau of Prisons is using reasonable and cost-effective measures of capacity in determining the extent of crowding and the need for additional facilities.

Guidelines for Reducing the Liability for Inmate Suicide. R. Atlas. 1987. 31 pp. Atlas and Associates, 600 Northeast 36th Street, Suite 1522, Miami, FL 33137. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 107383.

This manual examines prior research on suicides in jails and lockups, explores the responsibilities of the supervising agency, discusses several case studies, and presents architectural and management strategies to reduce the desire and opportunity to commit suicide. Jails: Reform and the New Generation Philosophy. L.L. Zupan. 1991. 196 pp. National Institute of Corrections. Anderson Publishing Company, 2035 Reading Road, Cincinnati, OH 45202. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 126941.

The evaluations presented focus on how the "new generation" (podular/direct supervision) jail philosophy is implemented in jail architectural design and inmate management style and how the philosophy affects the quality of institutional life for inmates and staff.

More For Less: Jail Construction Cost Management Handbook. 1987. 161 pp. Kitchell CEM, Sacramento, CA 95816. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan. NCJ 127977.

This handbook is designed to assist California's local governments in designing and constructing cost-effective jails.

Prison Expansion: Program to Identify DOD Property for Prison Use Could Be Improved. 1990. 44 pp. U.S. General Accounting Office, General Government Division, Washington, DC 20548. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan and microfiche. NCJ 128034.

An analysis of actions taken by Federal agencies to ensure that military property is considered for conversion to minimum security prisons as an alternative to new prison construction found that as of September 1990 no military property had been identified that will be converted to prison use.

Small Jail Design Guide: A Planning and Design Resource for Local Facilities of Up to 50 Beds. 1988. 440 pp. National Institute of Corrections, Jail Division, Boulder, CO 80301. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan. NCJ 114582.

This manual uses the results of a survey of 255 jails of 50 beds or fewer as the basis of a discussion of the operational and design issues that must be addressed for each functional component of a small jail.

What Is the Future of the "New Generation Jail" in California? C.S. Koehler. 1987. 134 pp. California Commission on Peace Officers Standards and Training Center for Executive Development, 1601 Alhambra Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95816. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan and microfiche. NCJ 111021.

This paper surveys new jail construction in California to ascertain the impact of the "new generation" jail technology on the future of corrections.

#### Siting and Community Impact

Comparative Analysis of Property Values in the Vicinity of New Correctional Facilities at the Oshkosh Correctional Institution and Its Relationship to the Proposed Racine Correctional Institution. 1987. 12 pp. Howard, Needles, Tammen, and Bergendoff, 1127 West Park Place, Milwaukee, WI 53224. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 114940.

This study of the potential effects on surrounding neighborhood property values of the construction of a new prison at the St. Bonaventure site in Sturtevant (Wisconsin) uses an appropriate parallel case for comparison.

Economic and Fiscal Impacts of a State Prison at Delano, Kern County. L.L. Parks, E.M. Lofting, and C.E. Davis. 1988. 105 pp. Engineering-Economics Associates, Inc., Berkeley, CA 94707. California Department of Corrections, Sacramento, CA 95814. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 115504.

This study evaluated the economic and fiscal impacts of a proposed State prison for men near Delano in Kern County, California.

Economic and Fiscal Impacts of a State Prison in Madera County. L.L. Parks and E.M. Lofting. 1987. 66 pp. Engineering-Economics Associates, Inc., Berkeley, CA 94707. California Department of Corrections, Sacramento, CA 95814. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 115508.

This study evaluated the economic and fiscal impacts of a proposed State prison for women to be constructed between Madera City and Chowchilla in Madera County, California.

"Effects of Prison Siting Practices on Community Status Arrangements: A Framework Applied to the Siting of California State Prisons." J.D. Krause. 1992. 29 pp. *Crime and Delinquency*, vol. 38, no. 1, special issue (January 1992). NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 135968.

This article contends that positive relations between prison facilities and the community promote the quality of life of correctional staff, inmates being reintegrated, and noncorrectional community members. *Economic and Fiscal Impacts of a State Prison Near Wasco, Kern County.* L.L. Parks, E.M. Lofting, and C.E. Davis. 1988. 80 pp. Engineering-Economics Associates, Inc., Berkeley, CA 94707. California Department of Corrections, Sacramento, CA 95814. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 115503.

This study evaluated the economic and fiscal impacts of a proposed State prison facility near Wasco in Kern County, California.

Impact of Correctional Facilities on Land Values and Public Policy. K.S. Abrams and W. Lyons. 1987. 600 pp. Florida International University, FAV-FIU, Joint Center for Environmental and Urban Problems, North Miami, FL 33181. National Institute of Corrections, Washington, DC 20534. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 127852.

This study investigated the popularly held notions that the presence of a correctional facility in a community creates a risk to public safety, lowers nearby property values, and reduces the community's quality of life.

Impacts of a New Prison on a Small Town: Twice Blessed or Double Whammy? K.A. Carlson. 1990. 282 pp. Clallam County Sheriff's Department, Port Angeles, WA 98362. National Institute of Justice, Washington, DC 20531. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan, microfiche, and copy reproduction. NCJ 128309.

The initial impacts of a new medium-security prison on a small, rural Washington community were analyzed during a period starting in August 1985 just before the completion of construction through September 1989.

*Prison Impacts: A Review of the Research.* K.A. Carlson. 1989. 23 pp. Peninsula College, Port Angeles, WA 98362. National Institute of Justice, Washington DC 20531. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan and microfiche. NCJ 120517.

This review of the research on the impact of a prison on the community in which it is located concludes that the community and prison sizes, the prison's location in relation to inmate and employee origins, and four other factors all influence the direction of the impacts.

State Environmental Quality Review: Notice of Completion of Final Environmental Impact Statement. 1987. 11 pp. New York State Department of Correctional Services, Division of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation, Albany, NY 12226. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 108460.

This final report describes the environmental impact of a proposed 700-bed, medium-security correctional facility to be built on an 81.3-acre site in Ogdensburg, New York.

NIJ/National Directory of Corrections Construction

Using Former Military Installations as Correctional Facilities. 1990. 66 pp. Office of Economic Adjustment, U.S. Department of Defense, Washington, DC 20301. Bureau of Prisons and Bureau of Justice Assistance, Washington, DC. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 124375.

This manual summarizes the ways in which Federal, State, and local governments have acquired 14 former military properties and used them as 19 separate correctional facilities.

#### **Finance Methods**

Approaches for Capital Cost Avoidance in State Correctional Facility Construction. S.A. Carter. 1987. 15 pp. Carter-Goble Associates, Inc., Columbia, SC 29201. National Conference of State Legislatures. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 127870.

State legislatures should evaluate every feasible means of avoiding excessive capital costs for new correctional facilities, while providing safe and humane institutions that meet constitutionally mandated requirements.

Constructing Correctional Facilities: Is There a Role for the Private Sector? 1987. 60 pp. Brookings Institution, 1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20036. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 111104.

Conference proceedings.

Dollars and Sense: Cost-Cutting Strategies for Correctional Facilities in the 90's and Beyond. D.N. Sandberg. 1990. 35 pp. American Institute of Architects, Committee on Architecture for Justice, Washington, DC 20006. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 128843.

A 1990 conference sponsored by the American Institute of Architects Committee on Architecture for Justice brought architects, officials of State and Federal corrections agencies, and planners together to discuss the current and future needs of correctional systems and strategies for increasing the cost-effectiveness of correctional facilities.

"Lease/Purchase Option Solves Financial Dilemmas." M. Scott and M. Gotschall. *Corrections Today*, vol. 51, no. 2 (April 1989). 3 pp. American Correctional Association, 8025 Laurel Lakes Court, Laurel, MD 20707. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 129554.

Lease/purchase has emerged as an attractive alternative for corrections agencies as well as municipal issuers with important financing needs. Prison Financing and Construction Plan, State of Texas.
R. Scott. 1987. 48 pp. Texas Criminal Justice Division,
P.O. Box 13084, Austin, TX 78711. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan, copy reproduction, and microfiche. NCJ 112057.

From 1980 to 1986, total admissions to Texas prisons rose by 122.8 percent, while prison capacity increased by only 51.5 percent. Ruiz-mandated depopulation agreements will reduce capacity further in 1987–1989.

#### Standards

*Correctional Standards Review and Evaluation.* 1991. 114 pp. American Correctional Association, 8025 Laurel Lakes Court, Laurel, MD 20707. National Institute of Justice. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan, copy reproduction, and microfiche. NCJ 131239.

This final report on the review and evaluations of revised National Correctional Standards and Accreditation includes the first draft and camera-ready copy of a study of the cost implications of the third edition.

Standards for Building Materials, Equipment, and Systems Used in Detention and Correctional Facilities. R.D. Dikkers and S.C. Reeder. 1987. 105 pp. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Center for Building Technology, Washington, DC 20534. National Institute of Corrections, Washington, DC 20534. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 127937.

This study develops guidelines, tests methods, and sets technical bases for standards that can assist in the selection, application, and maintenance of building materials, equipment, and systems for use in detention and correctional facilities.

Standards Sketchbook: Cost Implications of Third Edition Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions. 1990. 80 pp. Community Resource Services, Inc., Kents Hill, ME 04349. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary loan and microfiche. NCJ 127478.

These drawings, tables, and accompanying text describe the differences between the second and third editions of the American Correctional Association's standards for adult correctional institutions, illustrate the design implications of both sets of standards, and portray the range of practice that might be possible under the old and new standards. *Technical Criteria for Detention and Correctional Facilities.* 241 pp. Alberta Public Works, Supply and Service Capital Development, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 5A9. NCJRS availability: Interlibrary Ioan. NCJ 125834.

This report represents minimum standards for security in the design and construction of detention and correctional facilities in Alberta, Canada.

#### **Explanation of Availability**

NCJRS Document Loan Program. Documents in the collection are available through interlibrary loan for a transaction fee of \$4.50 per document (\$5.00 U.S. funds for Canadian borrowers). NCJRS lends documents only to other libraries. To initiate a document loan, contact your local public library or agency library. Include full title(s) and NCJ number(s) on a standard four-part ALA form. Libraries exempt from the interlibrary loan fee include Federal libraries, State and local criminal justice libraries, and members of the Criminal Justice Information Exchange Group.

NCJRS Microfiche Program. Selected documents in the collection are available from NCJRS on microfiche. Micro-fiche documents may be purchased for \$2.00 per title.

**NCJRS Copy Reproduction.** NCJRS will photocopy documents with no copyright restrictions on a cost-recovery basis (\$5.00 a document, 10 cents per page).

For further assistance, contact the Construction Information Exchange: NIJ/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850; 800–851–3420.

\*U.S. G.P.O.: 1993-342-496:84297

# NIJ's Construction Information Exchange . . .

## Bringing corrections officials fresh insight to help plan, finance, design, and build prisons and jails

As a result of an extensive data-gathering effort, NIJ's Construction Information Exchange (CIE) has for the first time collected information on:

- Secure areas of facilities that have law enforcement or court components within them.
- Facilities serving special inmate populations such as drug offenders, females, elderly inmates, and inmates who are HIV positive.
- Facilities using closed-circuit television, perimeter detection/alarm(s), satellite or cable communication, paging or sound systems, and other systems using advanced technologies.
- Land acquisition costs, equipment and furnishing purchases, site development and acreage, financing methods, and location-specific information.

**Corrections officials!** This is only a fraction of the information available through the Exchange. CIE's services and resources can also help you:

#### Access up-to-date and cost-oriented data.

Updated regularly, the Construction Data Base now includes information on more than 500 facilities nationwide. The full array of data supplied by the architects and facility administrators for each completed and operational prison and jail is included, ranging from design concepts, construction costs, and financing methods to staffing levels, cell capacity, inmate profiles, and operational costs. Selected information from the data base is available in book form, with floorplans, in the *National Directory of Corrections Construction*.

Dayro

Benefit from the experiences and approaches of other corrections officials. The Construction Reference and Referral Service has specialists who can locate answers to your questions or refer you to knowledgeable sources. The specialists also link coordinators of corrections conferences to resources helpful in planning and publicizing events.

**Learn how to save construction time and money.** *Construction Bulletins* highlight critical corrections issues and provide case studies of selected construction projects. The *Bulletins* describe new time- and cost-saving building techniques, creative partnerships, and financing methods.

To tap into this unique network for corrections information, call **800–851–3420** or write to **Construction Information Exchange**, National Institute of Justice/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs *National Institute of Justice* 

Washington, D.C. 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

NCJ 142525