New York State Defenders Association Immigrant Defense Project

3 W. 29th Street, Suite 803, New York, New York 10001 • Tel: 212-725-6422 • Fax: 800-391-5713 • www.ImmigrantDefenseProject.org

Pro Se Advisory for Immigrants with Multiple Drug Possession Convictions: Instructions for Challenging Whether You Have Been Convicted of an Aggravated Felony

Last updated October 17, 2007

This advisory is for information purposes only and is <u>not</u> a substitute for legal advice. The information here may no longer be up-to-date. You should talk to a qualified immigration expert in order to prepare your case in immigration court.

This advisory applies to you if you (1) <u>have</u> been convicted more than once for possession of a drug and (2) are being charged by the Department of Homeland Security as having been convicted of an aggravated felony because of your drug possession offenses. The advisory includes background information on aggravated felonies and drug convictions, a sample brief that can be completed and submitted to the Immigration Judge, and instructions for writing and submitting the brief.

Please note that the attached sample brief addresses only the issue of whether a subsequent drug possession offense is an aggravated felony. This sample brief does <u>not</u> address all the legal issues that you might need to raise before an Immigration Judge. If you have additional arguments that you need to make in your case, you should add those arguments to any written briefs or oral arguments you make in immigration court.

Background Information on Aggravated Felonies and Drug Convictions

1. Aggravated Felony as Ground for Deportation and as Bar to Relief

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) may charge you as deportable for having a conviction for an aggravated felony if your criminal conviction falls into categories listed in the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 101(a)(43), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43). The aggravated felony charge would be on the Notice to Appear that you received from the DHS. The DHS must then prove to the Immigration Judge that you were convicted of an aggravated felony. If the Immigration Judge agrees with the DHS that you have an aggravated felony, then you are deportable. Note that you could also be deportable for convictions that are not aggravated felonies.

Having an aggravated felony bars you from applying for certain forms of relief, such as cancellation of removal, asylum, and/or naturalization. If you can prove to the Immigration Judge that you do not have an aggravated felony, then you may be eligible to apply for that relief. Note that each form of relief has other requirements not discussed in this advisory.

2. <u>Most First-Time Simple Possession Convictions Are Not Aggravated</u> Felonies

One type of aggravated felony under federal immigration law is "drug trafficking," which includes drug offenses that would be felonies (i.e., punishable by a sentence of more than one year) under federal law. It generally does not include state drug possession offenses that would be misdemeanors (i.e., punishably by a year or less) under federal law. The key issue is how the conviction would be treated under federal law. In the case of Lopez v. Gonzales, 127 S. Ct. 625 (2006) the Supreme Court decided that even if the state law classifies the offense as a felony, it would generally not be a "drug trafficking" aggravated felony for immigration purposes if the offense would not be a felony under federal law as well. A conviction for a firsttime drug simple possession offense is generally not an aggravated felony. There are two exceptions to this rule. The first exception is for simple possession of more than five grams of crack cocaine. The second exception is for simple possession of flunitrazepam, a date rape drug. All other first-time possession offenses are NOT aggravated felonies, even if classified as a felony by the state. Non-citizens convicted of a state first-time simple drug possession offense may therefore be eligible to apply for cancellation of removal for lawful permanent residents, asylum and/or naturalization, and certain other forms of relief from removal.

3. <u>Subsequent Possession Conviction Is Not an Aggravated Felony If Not Prosecuted as a Recidivist Offense</u>

As mentioned above, the Supreme Court in *Lopez v. Gonzales*, 127 S. Ct. 625 (2006), held that that most first-time possession convictions were not drug trafficking aggravated felonies. There is a federal statute—21 U.S.C. § 844(a)—that increases the punishment for a conviction for drug possession from a misdemeanor to a felony if preceded by a prior drug conviction. The Court said that a conviction for a subsequent offense under § 844(a) would be a conviction for an aggravated felony. The Court also said that a conviction for a state offense that corresponded to a recidivist conviction under § 844(a) would also be a conviction for an aggravated felony.

In order for an offense to be a subsequent offense under § 844(a), (1) the prosecutor must have **proved a prior conviction**, (2) that **prior conviction must be** "final" before the subsequent possession offense was committed, and (3) government must fulfill the requirements of § 851—to **provide the defendant with notice about** the recidivist enhancement and an opportunity to challenge the fact, finality, and validity of the prior conviction.

The DHS has been arguing that any subsequent possession conviction, at least when the first conviction is final before the second offense, is a conviction for an aggravated felony. The DHS argues that as long as an immigrant could have *possibly* received a recidivist enhancement similar to that under federal law at § 844(a), that immigrant is an aggravated felon.

No circuit court has agreed with the DHS on this issue in a binding decision yet. In fact, the First and Third Circuits have held that the defendant must have been convicted under a statute that follows the requirements of §§ 844(a) and 851 for the second and subsequent conviction to be an aggravated felony. See Berhe v. Gonzales, 464 F.3d 74, 85–86 (1st Cir. 2006); Steele v. Blackman, 236 F.3d 130, 137–38 (3d Cir. 2001). In addition, the Ninth Circuit has held that a subsequent possession conviction cannot be treated as an aggravated felony conviction at all. Oliveira-Ferreira v. Ashcroft, 382 F.3d 1045 (9th Cir. 2004). However, immigrants in removal proceedings within the Ninth Circuit should also raise any arguments based on the state disposition not meeting the requirements of §§ 844(a) and 851. The Fifth and Sixth Circuit have addressed the finality requirement, holding that a prior possession conviction that was not "final" under § 844(a) cannot be used to convert a subsequent possession conviction into an aggravated felony. See Smith v. Gonzales, 468 F.3d 272, 277 (5th Cir. 2006); United States v. Palacios-Suarez, 418 F.3d 692, 700 (6th Cir. 2005). Furthermore, as discussed on pages 8–9 of the sample brief, the decisions that the DHS often cites to support its position are no longer treated as binding by the circuit courts that issued them.

However, the Supreme Court has not yet heard a case specifically addressing this issue of recidivist offenses, so the law is in flux. That is why you should submit a brief to the Immigration Judge explaining why your subsequent possession conviction is not an aggravated felony.¹

The sample brief presents arguments as to why the correct reading of the Supreme Court's *Lopez* decision and statutory law is that recidivist enhancements are not automatic and require proof, notice and opportunity to challenge. Briefly, those arguments are that

- (1) *Lopez* requires courts to apply a strict federal felony standard comparing state convictions to their federal counterparts,
- (2) recidivist convictions under §§ 844 and 851 occur only if the government fulfilled statutory proof, notice and opportunity to challenge requirements,
- (3) only state convictions that correspond to the requirements under both §§ 844 and 851 can be aggravated felonies,
- (4) courts should interpret any ambiguity in the applicable statutes favorably toward immigrants given that the end result—deportation—is a serious consequence.

Using the Sample Brief

You can use the sample brief in your immigration proceeding. These instructions will help you to fill out the brief.

Page 1 – Header

1. Fill out your name, address, and alien number in the top left corner.

¹ If you received an enhanced sentence for your subsequent conviction because you had already received a conviction for possession, then the attached sample brief is not sufficient to prove to the Immigration Judge that you do not have an aggravated felony. You would additionally need to point out any differences between the state recidivist statute you were convicted under and the federal offense described by 21 U.S.C. §§ 844(a) and 851. You should speak to a qualified immigration expert to prepare a brief that explains these differences.

- 2. Fill out the name city and state of the immigration court.
- 3. Fill out your name and alien number in the "In the Matter of" box.

Pages 2-10 – A

1. Fill out your alien number at the bottom right corner of every page in the blank spot provided.

Page 2 – Statement of Facts

- 1. List the offenses that the DHS says you have been convicted of. You should copy the following information from the Notice to Appear:
 - a. date of conviction and/or commission
 - b. statute
 - c. name of the offense name
 - d. sentence imposed.

For example: "Convicted on October 5, 2006, of N.Y. Penal Law § 220.03, possession of controlled substance, with a 10-day sentence." DO NOT LIST ANY CONVICTIONS THAT THE DHS HAS NOT LISTED IN THE NOTICE TO APPEAR.

Page 7 – Finality and State Recidivist Statutes

In the lines provided at the top of page 7, you should add, if applicable, the sentences that are explained on pages 4–5 of this advisory about (a) the finality of your first possession conviction and (b) the state recidivist statutes you could have been—but were not—charged under. If neither of these options applies to you or you are unsure what to write, leave the lines on page 7 blank.

Page 7 - (a) Finality

If your first conviction was not final before the second possession offense was committed, then you could not have been convicted for recidivist possession under § 844(a). For example, you could not be charged as a recidivist under federal law if

a. the time to appeal or seek discretionary review of the first conviction has not run out before your second possession offense was *committed* (whether or not you actually appealed your conviction),

0ľ

- b. you received a conviction for a possession offense and were later charged with a possession that occurred *before* that first conviction (this includes if you received multiple possession convictions on the same day).
 - 1. If your first conviction for possession was not final as described above before you committed the second possession offense, then you should add the following sentence:

Respondent could not have received a recidivist enhancement similar to § 844 because Respondent's

alleged prior conviction for possession was not final before the alleged second possession offense was committed because _____ [insert the appropriate phrase from the options below]:

a. "the time for appeal and/or discretionary review had not run."

or

 b. "the second possession offense occurred before Respondent had been convicted of the prior offense."

For example: "Respondent could not have received a recidivist enhancement similar to § 844 because Respondent's alleged prior conviction for possession was not final before the alleged second possession offense was committed because the time for appeal and/or discretionary review had not run."

2. If your first conviction for possession was final before you committed the second possession offense, then do not write a sentence about finality on page 7.

Page 7 – (b) State Recidivist Statutes

Most states have some way of enhancing sentences for recidivist offenders either through enhancements for specific types of repeat possession offenses, for controlled substances offenses in general, or for any subsequent state misdemeanor or felony offense. Since you were not charged as a recidivist or repeat offender under those statutes, the point you're making here is that the prosecutor had the choice to try to get a recidivist enhancement and he did not actually get that enhancement. The court should honor that choice and also recognize that the prosecutor may not have pursued the recidivist enhancement because your prior conviction was not valid in some way.

- 1. In the lines provided at the top of page 7, let the Immigration Judge know that the state where you were convicted has a recidivist enhancement statute(s) that you could have been charged under. To do this:
 - a. Look up the state where you received your subsequent possession conviction in the chart on pages 7–10 of this advisory entitled "State Recidivist Provisions" (please note that this chart may be incomplete).
 - b. Compare your conviction with the convictions that can receive recidivist enhancements in that state.
 - c. If you find a recidivist enhancement statute that could have applied to you, write the following sentence in the blank on page 7 (if you wrote a sentence addressing finality, then this sentence should follow the finality sentence):
 - "Respondent was not charged as a recidivist offender under the state's recidivist law. See _____ [insert the statute number(s) and parenthetical(s) from the chart]."

For example, if you were convicted twice of marijuana possession in Alabama but did not receive a recidivist enhancement, you would write: "Respondent was not charged as a recidivist offender under relevant state law. See Ala. Code § 13A-12-213(a)(2) (recidivist enhancement for second and subsequent marihuana possession offense)."

2. If you cannot find a recidivist enhancement statute that you could have been charged under for that state, do not write a sentence about state recidivist statutes on page 7.

Page 10 – Conclusion

1. If you wrote sentences on page 7, rewrite them in the lines provided at the top of page 10.

For example: "Respondent could not have received a recidivist enhancement similar to § 844 because Respondent's alleged prior conviction for possession was not final before the alleged second possession offense was committed because the time for appeal and/or discretionary review had not run. Respondent was not charged as a recidivist offender under relevant state law. *See* Ala. Code § 13A-12-213(a)(2) (recidivist enhancement for second or subsequent marihuana possession offense)."

2. If you did not write anything on page 7, then you should not write anything in this blank space on page 10.

Page 10 – Signature

- 1. Write the date on the line after "Date."
- 2. Sign your name on the line above "Respondent Name."
- 3. Print your name on the blank space after "Respondent Name."

Certificate of Service

The last page of the sample is the Certificate of Service. If you are filing your motion before your court date, then fill out the Certificate of Service on both the Immigration Judges' and DHS' copy.

- 1. Fill out the name city and state of the immigration court.
- 2. Fill out your name and alien number in the "In the Matter of" box.
- 3. Fill out your name, the date on which you submitted the brief, and DHS' address (which should be on your Notice to Appear) in the short paragraph.
- 4. Place a check or "x" next to the method by which you submitted your brief to DHS.
- 5. Sign your name on the line above "Respondent's Name."
- 6. Print your name on the blank space after "Respondent's Name."

Submitting the Brief

You should file the brief with the Immigration Court and with DHS at least ten days before your next hearing date. Bring copies with you to the hearing just in case they did not receive them yet. It should be signed, stapled, and two-hole punched at the top.

Look up the state where you received your subsequent possession conviction and compare your conviction with what convictions can receive recidivist enhancements in that state. If you think you could have been charged as a recidivist in that state, follow the directions on page 5 of this advisory on how to fill out the lines at the top of pages 7 and 10 of the sample brief with that information. Please note that this chart does <u>not</u> necessarily include all states or all recidivist or repeat offender statutes. The chart may be incomplete and may no longer be up-to-date.

State	Recidivist statute(s) applicable to possession
Alabama	- Ala. Code § 13A-12-213(a)(2) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent marihuana possession conviction)
	- Ala. Code § 13A-12-231(12) (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction under Ala. Code § 13A-12-231(1)–(11))
Alaska	- Alaska Stat. § 12.55.155(c)(31) (providing recidivist enhancement for
	conviction of five or more class A misdemeanors)
Arizona	- Ariz. Rev. Stat § 13-901.01(F) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	possession of a controlled substance conviction)
	- Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 13-604 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent felony conviction)
Arkansas	- Ark. Code Ann. § 5-64-401(c)(2) (providing recidivist enhancement for
	second or subsequent possession of a controlled substance conviction)
California	- Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 11357(b) (providing recidivist enhancement for
	certain third or subsequent cannabis-related conviction)
Colorado	- Col. Rev. Stats. § 18-18-405 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	subsequent controlled substance conviction under same statute)
	- Col. Rev. Stats. § 18-18-406 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	subsequent marihuana possession conviction)
Connecticut	- Conn. Gen. Stat. § 21a-279 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for possession of a narcotic, hallucinogen, cannabis-type
	substance)
District of	- D.C. Code § 48-904.08 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
Columbia	subsequent controlled substance conviction)
Georgia	- Ga. Code Ann. § 16-13-30 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent controlled substance conviction)
Hawaii	- Haw. Rev. Stat. § 706-662 (providing recidivist enhancement for certain third
	or subsequent felony convictions)
Idaho	- Idaho Code § 37-2739 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent controlled substance conviction)
	- Idaho Code § 19-2514 (providing recidivist enhancement for third felony
	conviction)
Illinois	- 720 III. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 570/408 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	second or subsequent controlled substance conviction)
	Note: does not apply to cannabis possession
	- 720 III. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 550/4 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	second and subsequent cannabis possession conviction)

State	Recidivist statute(s) applicable to possession
Indiana	- Ind. Code Ann. § 35-48-4-11 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for marihuana/hashish/ hash oil)
Iowa	- Iowa Code § 124.401(5) (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for controlled substance possession)
	- Iowa Code § 902.8 (providing recidivist enhancement for third or subsequent
	felony)
Kansas	- Kan. Stat. Ann. § 65-4162 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for possession of various controlled substances)
Kentucky	- Ky. Rev. Stat. § 218A.1415–1417 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	second or subsequent conviction for possession of various controlled
	substances)
	- Ky. Rev. Stat. § 532.080 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent felony conviction)
Louisiana	- La. Rev. Stat. 40:966(E) (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent marihuana possession conviction)
	- La. Rev. Stat. § 40:982 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent controlled substance conviction)
Maine	- 17-A Maine Rev. Stat. § 1107-A (providing recidivist enhancement for
	cocaine possession following prior controlled substance conviction)
Maryland	- Md. Crim. Law Code Ann. § 5-905 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	second or subsequent controlled substances conviction)
Massachusetts	- Mass. Gen. L. ch. 94C, § 34 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
3.61.11	subsequent controlled substances possession conviction)
Michigan	- Mich. C. L. § 333.7413 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
3.6	subsequent controlled substances conviction)
Minnesota	- Minn. Stat. §§ 152.021-152.025 (providing recidivist enhancement for
ъл. · · ·	subsequent controlled substances possession conviction)
Mississippi	- Miss. Code Ann. § 41-29-147 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent controlled substances possession conviction)
	- Miss. Code Ann. § 99-19-81 (providing recidivist enhancement for third or
Missouri	subsequent felony conviction) - Mo. Rev. Stat. § 195.295 (providing recidivist enhancement for subsequent
WIISSOUIT	controlled substances possession conviction)
Montana	- Mont. Code Ann. § 45-9-102(2) (providing recidivist enhancement for
Wontana	subsequent conviction of marijuana possession)
	- Mont. Code Ann. § 45-9-102(5) (providing
	recidivist enhancement for subsequent conviction of methamphetamine
	possession)
Nebraska	- Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 28-416(13) (providing recidivist enhancement for
1,001ushu	subsequent conviction of marijuana possession)
	- Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 29-2221(1) (providing recidivist enhancement when
	two prior felony convictions received sentences of more than one year)
Nevada	- Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 453.336 (providing recidivist enhancement for
	subsequent convictions of controlled substances possession)
	1 /

State	Recidivist statute(s) applicable to possession
New	- N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 651:6(II)(a) (providing recidivist enhancement when
Hampshire	two prior convictions received sentences of more than one year)
New Mexico	- N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-31-23 (providing recidivist enhancement for subsequent
	marijuana possession conviction)
	- N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-18-17 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent felony conviction)
New York	- N.Y. Penal Law § 70.70 (providing recidivist enhancement for second felony
	drug conviction)
North	- N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.1 (providing recidivist enhancement for fourth or
Carolina	subsequent felony conviction)
North Dakota	- N.D. Cent. Code, § 12.1-32-09 (providing recidivist enhancement for drug
	possession offenses under N.D. Cent. Code § 19-03.1-23(6))
	Note: does not apply to possession of ½-1 ounces of marijuana
Ohio	- Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2925.11(c)(2)(a) (providing recidivist enhancement
	for second or subsequent conviction of controlled substances possession)
Oklahoma	- 63 Okla. Stat. Ann. § 2-402(B) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent controlled substances convictions)
	- 21 Okla. Stat. Ann. § 51.1 (providing recidivist enhancement if convicted of
	any crime within ten years of prior conviction for offense punishable by
	imprisonment)
Pennsylvania	- 35 Pa. Stat. § 780-113(b) (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
-	subsequent controlled substance conviction)
Rhode Island	- R.I. Gen. Laws § 21-28-4.01(c)(3) (providing recidivist enhancement if
	pleads no contest to second or subsequent marijuana possession offense)
	- R.I. Gen. Laws § 21-28-4.11 (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	conviction of various controlled substance offenses)
	- R.I. Gen. Laws § 21-28-4.14 (providing recidivist enhancement for third
	conviction of various controlled substance offenses)
	- R.I. Gen. Laws § 12-19-21(a) (providing general habitual offender
	enhancement if convicted of two or more felonies arising from separate
	instances and sentenced to imprisonment for a crime punishable by at least one
	year in prison)
South	- S.C. Code Ann. § 44-53-370(d) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
Carolina	or subsequent controlled substance possession conviction)
	- S.C. Code Ann. § 44-53-375(A) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent possession of methamphetamine or cocaine base)
South Dakota	- S.D. Codified Laws § 22-7-7 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	third felony conviction)
	- S.D. Codified Laws § 22-7-8 (providing recidivist enhancement for fourth or
	subsequent felony provided a prior felony was a crime of violence)
	- S.D. Codified Laws § 22-7-8.1 (providing recidivist enhancement for fourth
	or subsequent felony if none of the previous felonies was a crime of violence)
Tennessee	- Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-17-418(e) (providing recidivist enhancement for third
	or subsequent conviction of controlled substances possession)

State	Recidivist statute(s) applicable to possession
Texas	- Tex. Penal Code § 12.43 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent misdemeanor conviction)
	- Tex. Penal Code § 12.42 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent felony conviction)
Utah	- Utah Code Ann. § 58-37-8(2) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent conviction for controlled substances possession)
Vermont	- 18 Vt. Stat. Ann. § 4230(a)(1) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent conviction for marijuana possession)
	- 18 Vt. Stat. Ann. § 4238 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for controlled substances possession)
	Note: does not apply to marijuana possession
	- 13 Vt. Stat. Ann. § 11 (providing recidivist enhancement for fourth or
	subsequent felony conviction)
Virginia	- Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-250.1(A) (providing recidivist enhancement for second
	or subsequent conviction for marijuana possession)
Wisconsin	- Wis. Stat. § 961.41(3g) (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for controlled substances possession)
	- Wis. Stat. § 939.62 (providing recidivist enhancement if convicted of felony
	or of three misdemeanors within previous five years)
Wyoming	- Wyo. Stat. § 35-7-1031(c) (providing recidivist enhancement for third or
	subsequent conviction for possession of small amounts of controlled
	substances)
	- Wyo. Stat. § 35-7-1038 (providing recidivist enhancement for second or
	subsequent conviction for controlled substances possession not covered by §
	35-7-1031(c))